

Universitätsbibliothek Paderborn

Montaigne's Essays

In Three Books. With Notes and Quotations. And an Account of The Author's Life; With a short Character of the Author and Translator, by the late Marquis of Halifax; With the Addition of A Complete Table to each Volume

Montaigne, Michel Eyquem de London, 1743

Chap. 50. Of Democritus and Heraclitus.

urn:nbn:de:hbz:466:1-53388

Of Democritus and Heraclitus.

And the roguish Looks and Gestures of our Lacqueys was also in Use amongst them.

> O Jane, à tergo quem nulla ciconia pinsit, Nec manus auriculus imitata est mobilis albas, Nec linguæ quantum sitiet canis Apula tantum *.

O Janus, who both Ways a Spy dost wear, So that no Scoffer, though behind thee, dare Make a Stork's-Bill, Ass-Ears, or far more long, Than thirsty panting Curs shoot out their Tongue.

The Argian and Roman Ladies always mourn'd in White, as ours did formerly here; and should do still, were I to govern in this Point. But there are whole Books of this Argument.

CHAP. L.

Of Democritus and Heraclitus.

HE Judgment is an Utenfil proper for all Subjects, and will have an Oar in every Thing; which is the Reason, that in these Essays I take Hold of all Occasions; where, though it happen to be a Subject I do not very well understand, I try however, sounding it at a Distance, and finding it too deep for my Stature, I keep me on the firm Shore: And this Knowledge that a Man can proceed no farther, is one Effect of it's Virtue, even in the most inconfidering fort of Men. One while in an idle and frivolous Subject, I try to find out Matter whereof to compose a Body, and then to prop and support it. Another while I employ it in a noble Subject, one that has been toft and tumbled by a thousand Hands, wherein a Man can

Persus, Sat. 1. hardly

MONTAIGNE'S Effays.

364

hardly possibly introduce any Thing of his own, the Way being to beaten on every Side, that he must of Necessity walk in the Steps of another. In fuch a Cafe, 'tis the Work of the Judgment to take the Way that feems best, and of a thousand Paths, to determine that this or that was the best chosen. I leave the Choice of my Arguments to Fortune, and take what she first presents me with; they are all alike to me, I never defign to go through any of them; for I never fee all of any Thing: Neither do they who fo largely promife to shew it to others. Of a hundred Members and Faces that every Thing has, I take one, one while to look it over only, another while to ripple up the Skin, and fometimes to pinch it to the Bones: I give a Stab, not so wide but as deep as I can; and am for the most Part tempted to take it in Hand by fome absolute Gracefulness I discover in it. Did I know myself less, I might, perhaps, venture to handle fomething or other to the Bottom, and to be deceiv'd by my own Inability; but sprinkling here one Word, and there another, Patterns cut from several Pieces and scatter'd without Defign, and without engaging myself too far, I am not responsible for them, or oblig'd to keep close to my Subject, without varying at my own Liberty and Pleasure, and giving up myself to Doubt and Incertainty, and to my own governing Method, Ignorance. All Motions discover us. The very same Soul of Cæsar, that made itself so conspicuous in marshalling and commanding the Battle of Pharsalia, was also seen as solicitous and busy in the softer Affairs of Love. A Man makes a Judgment of a Horse, not only by feeing his Menage in his Airs, but by his very Walk; nay, and by feeing him stand in the Stable. Amongst the Functions of the Soul, there are some of a lower and meaner Form, and he that does not fee her in those inferior Offices, as well as those of nobler Note, never fully discover her; and peradventure, she is best discover'd where the moves her own natural Pace. The Winds of Pattion take most Hold of her in her highest Flights; and the rather, by reason that she wholly applies herself to, and exercifes her whole Virtue upon every particular Subject, and never handles more than one Thing at a Time, and that not according to it, but according to herself. Things in respect to themselves, have, peradventure, their Weight, Measures

Of Democritus and Heraclitus.

Measures and Conditions; but when we once take them into us, the Soul forms them as the pleafes. Death is terrible to Cicero, coveted by Cato, and indifferent to Socrates. Health, Confcience, Authority, Knowledge, Riches, Beauty, and their Contraries, do all strip themselves at their entering into us, and receive a new Robe, and of another Fafhion, from every distinct Soul, and of what Colour, Brown, Bright, Green, Dark; and Quality, Sharp, Sweet, Deep, or Superficial, as best pleases them; for they are not yet agreed upon any common Standard of Forms, Rules, or Proceedings; every one of them is a Queen in her own Dominions. Let us therefore no more excuse ourselves upon the external Qualities of Things; it belongs to us to give ourselves an Account of them. Our Good or Ill has no other Dependance but on ourselves, 'Tis there that our Offerings and our Vows are due, and not to Fortune: She has no Power over our Manners; on the contrary, they draw and make her follow in their Train, and cast her in their own Mould. Why should not I censure Alexander, roaring and drinking at the prodigious Rate he fometimes used to do? Or, if he plaid at Chefs, what String of his Soul was not touched by this idle and childish Game? I hate and avoid it, because it is not Play enough, that it is too grave and ferious a Diversion, and I am asham'd to lay out as much Thought and Study upon that, as would ferve to much better Uses. He did not more pump his Brains about his glorious Expedition into the Indies; and another whom I will not name, took no more Pains to unravel a Passage, upon which depends the Safety of all Mankind. To what a Degree then does this ridiculous Diversion molest the Soul, when all her Faculties shall be summon'd together upon this trivial Account? And how fair an Opportunity she herein gives every one to know, and to make a right Judgment of himself? I do not more thoroughly fift myself in any other Posture than this. What Passion are we exempted from in this infignificant Game? Anger, Spite, Malice, Impatitience, and a vehement Desire of getting the better in a Concern, wherein it were more excusable, to be ambitious of being overcome: For to be eminent, and to excel above the common Rate in frivolous Things, is nothing graceful in a Man of Quality and Honour. What I say in this Example, B b 3

Montaigne's Esfays.

366

may be faid in all others. Every Particle, every Employment of Man, does exalt or accuse him, equally with any other. Democritus and Heraclitus were two Philosophers, the first of whom finding human Condition ridiculous and vain, never appear'd abroad but with a jeering and laughing Countenance: Whereas Heraclitus commisserating that Condition of ours, appear'd always with a sorrowful Look, and Tears in his Eyes.

Ridebat quoties à limine moverat unum
Protuleratque pedem, flebat contrarius alter*.

One always, when he o'er his Threshold stept, Laugh'd at the World, the other always wept.

I am clearly for the first Humour; not because it is more pleafant to laugh than to weep, but because it is ruder, and expresses more Contempt than the other; because I think we can never be sufficiently despised to our Desert. Compassion and Bewailing seem to employ some Esteem of, and Value for the Thing bemoan'd: Whereas the Things we laugh at, are by that expressed to be of no Moment or Repute. I do not think that we are so unhappy as we are vain, or have in us fo much Malice as Folly; we are not fo full of Mischief as Inanity; nor so miserable as we are vile and mean. And therefore Diogenes, who past away his Time in rolling himself in his Tub, and made nothing of the great Alexander, esteeming us no better than Flies, or Bladders puft up with Wind, was a sharper and more penetrating, and confequently, in my Opinion, a juster Judge, than Timon, firnam'd the Man-bater; for what a Man hates, he lays to Heart: This last was an Enemy to all Mankind, did positively desire our Ruin, and avoided our Conversation as dangerous, proceeding from wicked and deprav'd Natures : The other valu'd us fo little, that we could neither trouble nor infect him by our Contagion; and left us to herd with one another, not out of Fear, but Contempt

* Juven. Sas. 10.

of

Of the Vanity of Words.

367

of our Society: Concluding us as incapable of doing Good as Ill. Of the same Strain was Statilius's Answer, when Brutus courted him into the Conspiracy against Cæsar: He was satisfy'd that the Enterprize was just; but he didnot think Mankind so considerable, as to deserve a wise Man's Concern: According to the Doctrine of Hegesias; who said, That a wise Man ought to do nothing but for himself, forasmuch as he only was worthy of it: And to the Saying of Theodorus, That it was not reasonable a wise Man should hazard himself for his Country, and endanger Wisdom for a Company of Fools. Our Condition is as ridiculous as risible.



CHAP. LI.

Of the Vanity of Words.

Rhetorician of Times past, said, That to make little Things appear great, was his Profession. This also a Shoemaker can do; he can make a great Shoe for a little Foot: They would in Sparta have fent fuch a Fellow to be whipp'd, for making Profession of a lying and deceitful Art: And I fancy, that Archidamus, who was King of that Country, was a little surpriz'd at the Answer of Thucydides, when enquiring of him, which was the better Wrestler, Pericles or he; he reply'd, That it was hard to affirm; for when I have thrown him, faid he, he always perfuades the Spectators that he had no Fall, and carries away the Prize. They who paint, pounce and plaister up the Ruins of Women, filling up their Wrinkles and Deformities, are less to blame; because it is no great Matter, whether we see them in their natural Complexions or not. Whereas these make it their Business to deceive, not our Sight only but our Judgments, and to adulterate and corrupt the very Effence of Things. The Republicks that have maintain'd themselves in a regular and well-modell'd Government such as those of Lacedæmon and Crete, had Orators in no very great Esteem. Aristo did wisely define Rhetorick to be a B b 4