

## Universitätsbibliothek Paderborn

## The Works Of The Right Honourable Joseph Addison, Esq.

In Four Volumes

Addison, Joseph London, 1721

No 39. Saturday, April 14.

urn:nbn:de:hbz:466:1-53633

# N° 37: The SPECTATOR.

When I think how odly this Lady is improved by learning, I look upon her with a mixture of admiration and pity. Amidst these innocent entertainments which she has formed to her self, how much more valuable does she appear than those of her Sex, who employ themselves in diversions that are less reasonable, though more in fashion? What improvements would a woman have made, who is so susceptible of impressions from what she reads, had she been guided to such books as have a tendency to enlighten the understanding and rectifie the passions, as well as to those which are of little more use than to divert the imagination?

But the manner of a Lady's employing her felf usefully in reading shall be the subject of another Paper, in which I design to recommend such particular books as may be proper for the improvement of the Sex. And as this is a subject of a very nice nature, I shall desire my correspondents

to give me their thoughts upon it.

Nº 39. Saturday, April 14.

Multa fero, ut placem genus irritabile vatum, Cum scribo-----

Hor.

A sa perfect Tragedy is the noblest production of human nature, fo it is capable of giving the mind one of the most delightful and most improving entertainments. A virtuous man (says Seneca) strugling with misfortunes, is such a spectacle as Gods might look upon with pleasure: And such a pleasure it is which one meets with in the representation of a well-written Tragedy. Diversions of this kind wear out of our thoughts every thing that is mean and little. They cherish and cultivate that humanity which is the ornament of our nature. They soften insolence, sooth affliction, and subdue the mind to the dispensations of Providence.

It is no wonder therefore that in all the polite nations of the world, this part of the Drama has met with publick encouragement.

Vol. II.

Qqq

The



The modern Tragedy excels that of Greece and Rome, in the intricacy and disposition of the Fable; but, what a Christian writer would be ashamed to own, falls infinitely short of it in the moral part of the performance.

This I may shew more at large hereafter; and in the mean time, that I may contribute something towards the improvement of the English Tragedy, I shall take notice, in this and in other following papers, of some

particular parts in it that feem liable to exception.

Aristotle observes, that the Iambick verse in the Greek tongue was the most proper for Tragedy: because at the same time that it lifted up the difcourfe from Profe, it was that which approached nearer to it than any other kind of Verse. For, fays he, we may observe that men in ordinary discourse very often speak Iambicks, without taking notice of it. We may make the same observation of our English Blank verse, which often enters into our common discourse, though we do not attend to it, and is fuch a due medium between Rhyme and Profe, that it feems wonderfully adapted to Tragedy. I am therefore very much offended when I fee a Play in Rhyme; which is as abfurd in English, as a Tragedy of Hexameters would have been in Greek or Latin. The Soloccifm is, I think, still greater, in those Plays that have some Scenes in Rhyme and some in Blank verse, which are to be looked upon as two several languages; or where we fee fome particular Similes dignified with Rhyme, at the fame time that every thing about them Iyes in Blank verse. I would not however debar the Poet from concluding his Tragedy, or, if he pleases, every Act of it, with two or three Couplets, which may have the same effect as an Air in the Italian Opera after a long Recitativo, and give the Actor a graceful Exit. Besides, that we see a diversity of numbers in some parts of the Old Tragedy, in order to hinder the ear from being tired with the same continued modulation of voice. For the same reason I do not dislike the speeches in our English Tragedy that close with an Hemiflick, or half verse, notwithstanding the person who speaks after it begins a new verse, without filling up the preceding one; nor with abrupt paufes and breakings-off in the middle of a verfe, when they humour any Paffion that is expressed by it.

Since I am upon this subject, I must observe that our English Poets have succeeded much better in the Stile, than in the Sentiments of their Tragedies. Their language is very often noble and sonorous, but the sense either very trisling or very common. On the contrary, in the ancient Tragedies, and indeed in those of Corneille and Racine, though the expressions

#### The SPECTATOR. Nº 39:

pressions are very great, it is the thought that bears them up and swells them. For my own part, I prefer a noble fentiment that is depressed with homely language, infinitely before a vulgar one that is blown up with all the found and energy of expression. Whether this defect in our Tragedies may rife from want of genius, knowledge, or experience in the writers, or from their compliance with the vicious taffe of their readers, who are better judges of the language than of the fentiments, and confequently relish the one more than the other, I cannot determine. But I believe it might rectifie the conduct both of the one and of the other, if the writer laid down the whole contexture of his dialogue in plain Englifb, before he turned it into blank verse; and if the reader, after the perufal of a fcene, would confider the naked thought of every speech in it, when divested of all its Tragick ornaments; by this means, without being imposed upon by words, we may judge impartially of the thought, and confider whether it be natural or great enough for the person that utters it, whether it deserves to shine in such a blaze of eloquence, or shew it felf in such a variety of lights as are generally made use of by the writers of our English Tragedy.

I must in the next place observe, that when our thoughts are great and just, they are often obscured by the founding phrases, hard metaphors, and forced expressions in which they are cloathed. Shakespear is often very faulty in this particular. There is a fine observation in Ariflotle to this purpose, which I have never seen quoted. The expression, fays he, ought to be very much laboured in the unactive parts of the fable, as in descriptions, similitudes, narrations, and the like; in which the opinions, manners, and passions of men are not represented; for these, (namely the opinions, manners and passions) are apt to be obscured by pompous phrases, and elaborate expressions. Horace, who copied most of his criticisms after Aristotle, seems to have had his eye on the foregoing rule, in the following verfes:

Et tragicus plerumque dolet sermone pedestri. Telephus et Peleus, cum pauper et exul uterque, Projicit ampullas et sesquipedalia verba, Si curat cor spectantis tetigisse querelà.

Tragadians too lay by their state, to grieve. Peleus and Telephus, exil'd and poor, Forget their fwelling and gigantick words.

Ld. Roscommon.

Qqq2

Among



### The SPECTATOR. 484

Among our modern English Poets, there is none who was better turned for Tragedy than Lee; if instead of favouring the impetuosity of his genius, he had restrained it, and kept it within its proper bounds. His thoughts are wonderfully fuited to Tragedy, but frequently lost in fuch a cloud of words, that it is hard to fee the beauty of them: There is an infinite fire in his works, but so involved in smoak, that it does not appear in half its luftre. He frequently fucceeds in the paffionate parts of the Tragedy, but more particularly where he flackens his efforts, and eases the stile of those Epithets and Metaphors, in which he so much abounds. What can be more natural, more foft, or more passionate, than that line in Statira's speech, where she describes the charms of Alexander's conversation?

Then he would talk: Good Gods! how he would talk!

That unexpected break in the line, and turning the description of his manner of talking into an admiration of it, is inexpressibly beautiful, and wonderfully fuited to the fond character of the person that speaks it. There is a simplicity in the words, that outshines the utmost pride

of expression.

Otway has followed Nature in the language of his Tragedy, and therefore shines in the passionate parts, more than any of our English Poets. As there is fomething familiar and domestick in the fable of his Tragedy, more than in those of any other Poet, he has little pomp, but great force in his expressions. For which reason, though he has admirably succeeded in the tender and melting part of his Tragedies, he fometimes falls into too great a familiarity of phrase in those parts, which, by Aristotle's rule, ought to have been raifed and supported by the dignity of expreffion.

It has been observed by others, that this Poet has founded his Tragedy of Venice Preserved on so wrong a Plot, that the greatest characters in it are those of rebels and traitors. Had the Heroe of his Play discovered the same good qualities in the defence of his country, that he shewed for its ruine and subversion, the audience could not enough pity and admire him: But as he is now represented, we can only say of him what the Roman Historian fays of Catiline, that his fall would have been glorious (si pro patria sic concidisset) had he so fallen in the service of

his country.

spalmoscoll bil distribute

Monday,