

Universitätsbibliothek Paderborn

The Works Of The Right Honourable Joseph Addison, Esq.

In Four Volumes

Addison, Joseph London, 1721

N° 207. Saturday, October 27.

urn:nbn:de:hbz:466:1-53621

180 The SPECTATOR. Nº 207.

" and that the word Glass should be Bottle; and therefore has ordered me to inform you of this mistake, and to desire you to publish the

of following errata: In the paper of Saturday, October 13, Col. 3, Line 11, for Glass read Bottle.

Tours, Robin Good-fellow.

Nº 207. Saturday, October 27.

Omnibus in terris, quæ sunt à Gadibus usque Auroram et Gangem, pauci dignoscere possunt Vera bona, atque illis multùm diversa, remotâ Erroris nebulâ----

luv.

N my last Saturday's paper I laid down some thoughts upon Devotion in general, and shall here shew what were the notions of the most refined heathens on this subject, as they are represented in Plato's dialogue upon prayer, entitled, Alcibiades the Second, which doubtless gave occasion to Juvenal's tenth Satyr, and to the second Satyr of Persius; as the last of these Authors has almost transcribed the preceding dialogue, entitled, Alcibiades the First, in his fourth Satyr.

The Speakers in this dialogue upon prayer, are Socrates and Alcibiades; and the substance of it (when drawn together out of the intricacies and

digreffions) as follows.

Socrates meeting his Pupil Alcibiades, as he was going to his devotions, and observing his eyes to be fixed upon the earth with great seriousness and attention, tells him, that he had reason to be thoughtful on that occasion, since it was possible for a man to bring down evils upon himself by his own prayers, and that those things which the gods send him in answer to his petitions might turn to his destruction: this, says he, may not only happen when a man prays for what he knows is mischievous in its own nature, as Oedipus implored the gods to sow dissension between his sons; but when he prays for what he believes would be for his good, and against what he believes would be to his detriment. This the Philosopher

losopher shews must necessarily happen among us, since most men are blinded with ignorance, prejudice, or passion, which hinder them from seeing fuch things as are really beneficial to them. For an instance, he asks Alcibiades, Whether he would not be thoroughly pleased if that God to whom he was going to address himself, should promise to make him the Sovereign of the whole earth? Alcibiades answers, That he should doubtless look upon such a promise as the greatest favour that could be bestowed upon him. Socrates then asks him, If after receiving this great favour he would be content to lose his life? or if he would receive it though he was fure he would make an ill use of it? To both which questions Alcibiades answers in the negative. Socrates then shews him from the examples of others, how these might very probably be the effects of such a blefling. He then adds, that other reputed pieces of good fortune, as that of having a fon, or procuring the highest post in a government, are fubject to the like fatal confequences; which nevertheless, fays he, men ardently defire, and would not fail to pray for, if they thought their prayers might be effectual for the obtaining of them.

Having established this great point, That all the most apparent blessings in this life are obnoxious to such dreadful consequences, and that no man knows what in its events would prove to him a blessing or a curse,

he teaches Alcibiades after what manner he ought to pray.

In the first place, he recommends to him, as the Model of his devotion, a short prayer, which a Greek Poet composed for the use of his friends, in the following words; O Jupiter, give us those things which are good for us, whether they are such things as we pray for, or such things as we do not pray for; and remove from us those things which are burtful, though they are such things as we pray for.

In the fecond place, that his Disciple may ask such things as are expedient for him, he shews him, that it is absolutely necessary to apply himself to the study of true wisdom, and to the knowledge of that which is his chief good, and the most suitable to the excellency of his nature.

In the third and last place he informs him, that the best methods he could make use of to draw down blessings upon himself, and to render his prayers acceptable, would be to live in a constant practice of his duty towards the gods, and towards men. Under this head he very much recommends a form of prayer the Lacedemonians made use of, in which they petition the gods, to give them all good things, so long as they are virtuous. Under this head likewise he gives a very remarkable account of an Oracle to the following purpose.

When

When the Athenians in the war with the Lacedemonians received many defeats both by fea and land, they fent a message to the Oracle of Jupiter Ammon, to ask the reason why they who erected so many temples to the gods, and adorned them with fuch coftly offerings; why they who had instituted so many festivals, and accompanied them with such pomps and ceremonies; in short, why they who had slain so many hecatombs at their Altars, should be less successful than the Lacedemonians, who fell so short of them in all these particulars. To this, says he, the Oracle made the following reply; I am better pleased with the prayer of the Lacedemonians, than with all the oblations of the Greeks. As this prayer implyed and encouraged virtue in those who made it; the Philosopher proceeds to shew how the most vicious man might be devout, fo far as victims could make him, but that his offerings were regarded by the gods as bribes, and his petitions as blasphemies. He likewife quotes on this occasion two verses out of Homer, in which the Poet fays, that the fcent of the Trojan facrifices was carried up to heaven by the winds; but that it was not acceptable to the gods, who

were displeased with Priam and all his people.

The conclusion of this dialogue is very remarkable. Socrates having deterred Alcibiades from the prayers and facrifices which he was going to offer, by fetting forth the above-mentioned difficulties of performing that duty as he ought, adds these words, We must therefore wait till fuch time as we may learn how to behave our selves towards the gods, and towards men. But when will that time come, fays Alcibiades, and who is it that will instruct us? for I would fain see this man, whoever he is. It is one, fays Socrates, who takes care of you; but as Homer tells us, that Minerva removed the Mist from Diomedes his eyes, that he might plainly discover both gods and men; so the darkness that hangs upon your mind must be removed, before you are able to discern what is good and what is evil. Let him remove from my mind, fays Alcibiades, the darkness, and what else he pleases; I am determined to refuse nothing he shall order me, whoever he is, so that I may become the better man by it. The remaining part of this dialogue is very obscure: there is fomething in it that would make us think Socrates hinted at himself, when he spoke of this divine teacher who was to come into the world, did not he own that he himself was in this respect as much at a loss, and in as great diffrefs as the rest of mankind.

Some learned men look upon this conclusion as a prediction of our Saviour, or at least that Socrates, like the High-priest, prophesied unknowingly, and pointed at that divine teacher who was to come into the world fome ages after him. However that may be, we find that this great Philosopher saw, by the light of reason, that it was suitable to the goodness of the divine nature, to send a person into the world who should instruct mankind in the duties of religion, and, in particular, teach them

how to pray. Whover reads this abstract of Plato's discourse on prayer, will, I believe, naturally make this reflection, That the great Founder of our religion, as well by his own example, as in the form of prayer which he taught his Disciples, did not only keep up to those rules which the light of nature had fuggested to this great Philosopher, but instructed his Difciples in the whole extent of this duty, as well as of all others. He directed them to the proper object of adoration, and taught them, according to the third rule above-mentioned, to apply themselves to him in their closets, without show or oftentation, and to worship him in Spirit and in Truth. As the Lacedemonians in their form of prayer implored the Gods in general to give them all good things fo long as they were virtuous, we ask in particular that our offences may be forgiven, as we forgive those of others. If we look into the second rule which Socrates has prescribed, namely, That we should apply our selves to the knowledge of fuch things as are best for us, this too is explained at large in the doctrines of the Gospel, where we are taught in several instances to regard those things as curses, which appear as blessings in the eye of the world; and on the contrary, to esteem those things as blessings, which to the generality of mankind appear as curses. Thus in the form which is prescribed to us, we only pray for that happiness which is our chief good, and the great end of our existence, when we petition the supreme Being for the coming of his kingdom, being follicitous for no other temporal bleffing but our daily fustenance. On the other fide, we pray against nothing but fin, and against evil in general, leaving it with omniscience to determine what is really fuch. If we look into the first of Socrates his rules of Prayer, in which he recommends the above-mentioned form of the ancient Poet, we find that Form not only comprehended, but very much improved in the petition, wherein we pray to the fupreme Being that his Will may be done: which is of the same force with that Form which our Saviour used, when he prayed against the most painful and most ignominious of deaths, Nevertheless not my will, but thine be done. This comprehensive petition is the most humble, as well as the most prudent, that can be offered up from the creature to his Creator, as it sup-

184 The SPECTATOR. Nº 209.

poses the supreme Being wills nothing but what is for our good, and that he knows better than our selves what is so.

N° 209. Tuesday, October 30.

Τιευαικός έδε χρημ' ανήρ ληίζεται Έλλης αμείνου, έδε βίγιου κακής.

Simonides.

THERE are no Authors I am more pleased with, than those who shew humane nature in a variety of views, and describe the several ages of the world in their different manners. A Reader cannot be more rationally entertained, than by comparing the virtues and vices of his own times, with those which prevailed in the times of his sore-fathers; and drawing a parallel in his mind between his own private character, and that of other persons, whether of his own age, or of the ages that went before him. The contemplation of mankind under these changeable colours, is apt to shame us out of any particular vice, or animate us to any particular virtue; to make us pleased or displeased with our selves in the most proper points, to clear our minds of prejudice and prepossessing the most proper points, to clear our minds of prejudice and prepossessing the most proper points of temper which inclines us to think amiss of those who differ from our selves.

If we look into the manners of the most remote ages of the world, we discover humane nature in her simplicity; and the more we come downward towards our own times, may observe her hiding her self in artisces and refinements, polished insensibly out of her original plainness, and at length entirely lost under form and ceremony, and (what we call) good breeding. Read the accounts of men and women as they are given us by the most ancient writers, both sacred and prophane, and you would think you were reading the history of another species.

Among the writers of antiquity, there are none who instruct us more openly in the manners of their respective times in which they lived, than those who have employed themselves in satyr, under what dress soever it may appear; as there are no other Authors whose province it is to enter