

Universitätsbibliothek Paderborn

The Works Of The Right Honourable Joseph Addison, Esq.

In Four Volumes

Addison, Joseph London, 1721

No 569. Monday, July 19.

urn:nbn:de:hbz:466:1-53597

Nº 569. Monday, July 19.

Reges dicuntur multis urgere culullis Et torquere mero, quem perspexisse laborent, An sit amicitia dignus-----

Hor.

O vices are fo incurable as those which men are apt to glory in. One would wonder how drunkenness should have the good luck to be of this number. Anacharsis, being invited to a match of drinking at Corinth, demanded the prize very humouroufly, because he was drunk before any of the rest of the company; for, says he, when we run a race, he who arrives at the goal first is entitled to the reward. On the contrary, in this thirsty generation the honour falls upon him who carries off the greatest quantity of liquor, and knocks down the rest of the company. I was the other day with honest Will. Funnell the West Saxon, who was reckoning up how much liquor had past through him in the last twenty years of his life, which, according to his computation, amounted to twenty three hogsheads of october, four ton of port, half a kilderkin of fmall beer, nineteen barrels of cider, and three glaffes of champaign; besides which, he had assisted at four hundred bowls of punch, not to mention fips, drams, and whets without number. I question not but every Reader's memory will suggest to him several ambitious young men, who are as vain in this particular as Will. Funnell, and can boast of as glorious exploits.

Our modern Philosophers observe, that there is a general decay of moisture in the globe of the earth. This they chiefly ascribe to the growth of vegetables, which incorporate into their own substance many fluid bodies that never return again to their former nature: but, with submission, they ought to throw into their account those innumerable rational beings which fetch their nourishment chiefly out of liquids; especially when we consider that men, compared with their fellow-creatures,

drink much more than comes to their share.

L 2

But



34 The SPECTATOR. Nº 569.

But however highly this tribe of people may think of themselves, a drunken man is a greater monster than any that is to be found among all the creatures which God has made; as indeed there is no character which appears more despicable and desormed, in the eyes of all reasonable persons, than that of a drunkard. Bonosus, one of our own countrymen, who was addicted to this vice, having set up for a share in the Roman Empire, and being deseated in a great battle, hang'd himself. When he was seen by the army in this melancholy situation, notwithstanding he had behaved himself very bravely, the common jest was, that the thing they saw hanging upon the tree before them, was not a man but a bottle.

This vice has very fatal effects on the mind, the body, and fortune of

the person who is devoted to it.

In regard to the mind, it first of all discovers every slaw in it. The sober man, by the strength of reason, may keep under and subdue every vice or folly to which he is most inclined; but wine makes every latent seed sprout up in the soul, and shew it self; it gives sury to the passions, and force to those objects which are apt to produce them. When a young fellow complained to an old Philosopher that his wife was not handsome, Put less water in your wine, says the Philosopher, and you will quickly make her so. Wine heightens indifference into love, love into jealousie, and jealousie into madness. It often turns the good-natured man into an ideot, and the cholerick into an assafssin. It gives bitterness to resentment, it makes vanity insupportable, and displays every little spot of the soul in its utmost deformity.

Nor does this vice only betray the hidden faults of a man, and shew them in the most odious colours, but often occasions faults to which he is not naturally subject. There is more of turn than of truth in a saying of Seneca, That drunkenness does not produce but discover faults. Common experience teaches us the contrary. Wine throws a man out of himself, and insuses qualities into the mind, which she is a stranger to in her sober moments. The person you converse with, after the third bottle, is not the same man who at first sat down at table with you. Upon this maxim is sounded one of the prettiest sayings I ever met with, which is ascribed to Publius Syrus, Qui ebrium ludificat ladit absentem; He who jests upon a man that is drunk, injures the absent.

Thus does drunkenness act in direct contradiction to reason, whose business it is to clear the mind of every vice which is crept into it, and to guard it against all the approaches of any that endeavours to make its entrance. But besides these ill effects which this vice produces in the

person who is actually under its dominion, it has also a bad influence on the mind even in its fober moments; as it infenfibly weakens the understanding, impairs the memory, and makes those faults habitual which are produced by frequent excesses.

I should now proceed to shew the ill effects which this vice has on the bodies and fortunes of men; but these I shall reserve for the subject of

fome future paper.

Nº 571. Friday, July 23.

---- Coelum quid quærimus ultra?

Luc.

S the work I have engaged in, will not only confift of papers of humour and learning, but of feveral Essays moral and divine, I shall publish the following one, which is founded on a former Spectator, and fent me by a particular friend, not questioning but it will please such of my Readers as think it no disparagement to their understandings to give way sometimes to a serious thought.

N your paper of Friday the 9th instant, you had occasion to consider the ubiquity of the God-head, and, at the same time, to shew, that as he is prefent to every thing, he cannot but be attentive to every thing, and privy to all the modes and parts of its existence; or, in other words, that his Omniscience and Omnipresence are coexistent, and run togethers through the whole infinitude of space. This consideration might furnish us with many incentives to devotion and motives to morality, but as this fubject has been handled by feveral excellent writers, I shall consider it in a light wherein I have not feen it placed by others.

First, How disconsolate is the condition of an intellectual Being who is thus present with his Maker, but, at the same time, receives no extraordinary benefit or advantage from this his presence!

Secondly, How deplorable is the condition of an intellectual Being, who feels no other effects from this his prefence but such as proceed from divine wrath and indignation!

Thirdly,