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## Zusammenfassung

Wir untersuchen auf kompakten reell-hyperbolischen Räumen den Zusammenhang zwischen gewissen Distributionen auf dem Phasenraum, sog. PattersonSullivan Distributionen, und dynamischen Zetafunktionen, die mithilfe von Daten des geodätischen Flusses definiert werden und die bekannte logarithmische Ableitung der Selbergschen Zetafunktion verallgemeinern. Für den Flächenfall wurde dies zuerst in $[\mathbf{A Z 0 7}]$ getan, wir konzentrieren uns auf den höher-dimensionalen Fall.

## Summary

Given a compact real hyperbolic space we study the connection between certain phase space distributions, so called Patterson-Sullivan distributions, and dynamical zeta functions. These zeta functions generalize logarithmic derivatives of classical Selberg zeta functions which are defined by closed geodesics which is data from the geodesic flow on phase space. Patterson-Sullivan distributions are asymptotically equivalent to Wigner distributions which play a key role in quantum ergodicity but they are also invariant under the geodesic flow.

The surface case was studied before in $[\mathbf{A Z 0 7}]$ and thus the emphasis in this work lies on the higher dimensional case.
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## CHAPTER 1

## Statement of results

This work is mainly based on the articles [AZ07] by N. Anantharaman and S. Zelditch and [Zel89] by the latter author. We will shortly summarize the results from $[\mathbf{A Z 0 7}]$ and $[\mathbf{Z e l 8 9}]$, which we want to examine.

Let $X_{\Gamma}$ be a compact hyperbolic surface which can be written as

$$
X_{\Gamma}:=\Gamma \backslash G / K
$$

Here $G=\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}), K=P S O(2)$ and $\Gamma \subset P S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is a cocompact, discrete and torsionfree subgroup of $G$. We also consider the right-regular representation $\pi_{R}$ of $G$ on $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash G)$ defined by

$$
g \cdot f(x)=f(x g)
$$

Since $\Gamma$ is cocompact, this unitary representation decomposes into a discrete sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash G)=\bigoplus_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} m_{\pi} V_{\pi} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{\pi} \in \mathbb{N}$. We call the irreducible component $V_{\pi}$ spherical, if it possesses a $K$-fixed vector. These $K$-fixed vectors are unique up to constants, smooth and give an orthogonal basis of $L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)$ of Laplace eigenfunctions. We fix such a normalized basis $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}_{n}$ with eigenvalues $-\left(\rho_{0}^{2}+\lambda_{n}^{2}\right)$. It can be shown that one can either assume $\lambda_{n} \in i \mathbb{R}$ or $\lambda_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. In the former case, we say that $\lambda_{n}$ is in the complementary series, else we say that $\lambda_{n}$ is in the principal series. There are only finitely many $\lambda_{n}$ in the complementary series. Associated to $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}_{n}$ there are some specific distributions on phase space. They are called Wigner distributions and are given by

$$
W_{n}(\sigma):=\left\langle\operatorname{Op}(\sigma) \varphi_{n}, \varphi_{n}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)}
$$

for $\sigma \in C^{\infty}\left(S^{*} X_{\Gamma}\right)$. Here one needs a calculus for pseudodifferential operators, i.e. an assignment

$$
C^{\infty}\left(S^{*} X_{\Gamma}\right) \rightarrow B\left(L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)\right), a \mapsto \operatorname{Op}(a)
$$

This is the data from the quantum side we need.
On the side of classical dynamics, we consider the geodesic flow on $T^{*} X_{\Gamma}$. Since the geodesic flow preserves the metric on $T^{*} X_{\Gamma}$, it can be considered as a mapping on phase space $S^{*} X_{\Gamma} \cong S X_{\Gamma}$, which can also be identified with $\Gamma \backslash G$. Under this identification the geodesic flow is given by right translation with $\exp t H_{0}$, where $\mathfrak{a}=\mathbb{R} H_{0}=\operatorname{Lie}(A)$ comes from an Iwasawa decomposition of $G=A N K$. Periodic orbits of the geodesic flow are called closed geodesics and it can be shown that there is a bijection between closed geodesics and nontrivial conjugacy classes $[\gamma]$ in $\Gamma$. The smallest possible period is called the length of $[\gamma]$ and denoted by $L_{\gamma}$. Finally, a closed geodesic $[\gamma]$ is called prime, if there is no $\gamma_{0} \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}, n>1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\gamma_{0}^{n} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this data from classical mechanics, in [AZ07] a dynamical zeta function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}(k ; \sigma):=\sum_{[\gamma] \neq 1} \frac{e^{-k L_{\gamma}}}{1-e^{-L_{\gamma}}} \cdot \int_{\gamma_{0}} \sigma \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(k)>1$, is defined. Here $\left[\gamma_{0}\right]$ is the unique prime closed geodesic belonging to $[\gamma]$ and $\sigma$ is a test function on phase space, that is $\sigma \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash G)$.

For $\sigma \equiv 1$ constant, $\mathcal{Z}(\cdot ; \sigma)$ just equals the logarithmic derivative of the dynamical Selberg zeta function $Z_{S}$

$$
\frac{d}{d k} \ln Z_{S}(k)=\sum_{[\gamma] \neq 1} \frac{e^{-k L_{\gamma}}}{1-e^{-L_{\gamma}}} \cdot L_{\gamma_{0}}
$$

In this context Anantharaman and Zelditch show the following theorem, see [AZ07, Th.1.3]:

THEOREM 1.0.1. If $\sigma$ is a real analytic function on $S X_{\Gamma}$, then $\mathcal{Z}(\cdot ; \sigma)$ admits a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$. The poles in the critical strip $0<\operatorname{Re}(k)<1$ appear at $s=\frac{1}{2}+i \lambda$, where $-\left(\frac{1}{4}+\lambda^{2}\right)$ is an eigenvalue of the Laplacian. The residue at $k=\frac{1}{2}+i \lambda$ is given by

$$
\sum\left\langle\sigma, \widehat{\operatorname{PS}}_{\varphi}\right\rangle
$$

where this finite sum runs over all (normalized) Patterson-Sullivan distributions $\widehat{P S}_{\varphi}$ associated with an eigenfunction $\varphi$ for the eigenvalue $-\left(\frac{1}{4}+\lambda^{2}\right)$.

Here, (normalized) Patterson-Sullivan distributions $\widehat{\mathrm{PS}}_{\varphi}$ are certain other kind of phase space distributions which one can associate to the eigenfunctions $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}_{n}$, but in contrast to Wigner distributions they are invariant under the geodesic flow. They were first defined in $[\mathbf{A Z 0 7}]$ using the same calculus which was used to construct Wigner distributions. See [HHS12] for an extension of this calculus and a definition of Patterson-Sullivan distributions by this calculus for arbitrary compact locally symmetric spaces. Patterson-Sullivan distributions also play a role in quantum unique ergodicity, since they are asymptotically equivalent to Wigner distributions, see [AZ07], [HHS12].

Note that if the spectrum is simple, i.e. if $m_{\pi}=1$ for all spherical components $V_{\pi}$, this theorem yields a definition of Patterson-Sullivan distributions, which only uses knowledge of the length spectrum $\left\{L_{\gamma}:[\gamma]\right.$ conjugacy class in $\left.\Gamma\right\}$. See for example the survey article [Sar11] for more information on simple spectra of the Laplacian.
[AZ07] presents two kinds of proofs for Theorem 1.0.1. The first one uses thermodynamic formalism, the second one is of representation theoretical nature and uses a generalized Selberg trace formula, which can be found in $[\mathbf{Z e l 8 9}]$. We will pursue the second proof as this seems to be more feasible in generalizing 1.0.1 to higher dimensional spaces. It has the disadvantage as it so far only works for test functions $\sigma$, which have only finitely many nontrivial components in the decomposition (1.1). On the other hand this approach makes it possible also to determine poles/residues of $\mathcal{Z}(\sigma)$ outside the strip $0<\operatorname{Re}(k)<1$. Furthermore, a generalized Selberg trace formula could be of independent interest, as it connects periodic orbit measures to Wigner distributions.

We will now come to the content of our work. $X_{\Gamma}:=\Gamma \backslash G / K$ will be a compact locally symmetric space of (real) rank one. Here $G$ is a real semisimple, noncompact Lie group with finite center, $K \subset G$ a maximal compact subgroup and $\Gamma \subset G$ a discrete, cocompact and torsionfree subgroup. We also fix an Iwasawa decomposition $G=A N K$ and set $M=Z_{K}(A)$ for the centralizer of $A$ in $K$. In the course of this work, we will specialize $X_{\Gamma}$ to be a compact real hyperbolic locally symmetric
space, this means $G=S O(1, n)$. We restrict to real rank one symmetric spaces, as this ensures the absence of non trivial elliptic elements in the uniform lattice $\Gamma$. The major reason for specializing further to real hyperbolic spaces is that in this case $M$ acts on $N$ with a one dimensional slice and the differential equation which will occur during this work can be solved on this simple slice.

The representation theoretic proof of Theorem 1.0.1 relies mainly on three results, which we will now explain. The first one is an observation using the fact that Patterson-Sullivan distributions are invariant under the geodesic flow. Then one uses the result that the representation of $A$ on irreducible components $V_{\pi}$ of $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash G)$, which we obtain by restricting the right-regular representation of $G$, is particularly simple. More precisely, if $G=P S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $V_{\pi}$ is a spherical component of (1.1), the representation of $A$ on $V_{\pi}$ has exactly two invariant subspaces, one of which is generated by the $K$-fixed vector in $V_{\pi}$. If $V_{\pi}$ is not spherical, then it has already a cyclic vector, see [AZ07, Prop. 2.2.]. This yields that in the proof instead of considering all possible $\sigma \in C^{\infty}\left(S X_{\Gamma}\right)$ one can restrict to $\sigma$ coming from three basic series. For $X_{\Gamma}$ a real hyperbolic space we can generalize the result on the action of $A$ on the spherical spectrum. It will turn out that if $X_{\Gamma}$ is of dimension at least 3 , the action of $A$ on any spherical component $V_{\pi}$ is already irreducible, the $K$-fixed vector being cyclic, see Theorem 8.1.3. Here we use the fact that the set of $M$-invariants in the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{n})$ is generated by the (euclidean) Laplacian on $\mathfrak{n}$. If $V_{\pi}$ is not spherical, we state a result, but we will not use it, see Proposition 8.1.2.

The procedure for defining $\mathcal{Z}(\sigma)$ is computing the (geometric) trace of a suitable trace class operator $\sigma \cdot \pi_{R}(f)$, which depends on $\sigma$ and a suitable function $f \in C^{\infty}(G)$. Here $\pi_{R}(f)$ is just the Fourier transform of $f$ with respect to the representation $\pi_{R}$ and $\sigma$ is viewed as a multiplication operator. The trace can be computed in two ways, the first one is the so-called geometric, the latter one is called the spectral trace. We start by computing the geometric trace and thus, the next ingredient is a generalization of Selberg's trace formula depending on $\sigma$ coming from the three series. We first mention that the computation of the trace formula heavily depends on the rank one assumption. Namely, if $X_{\Gamma}$ is a locally symmetric compact space of (real) rank one, then all nontrivial elements in $\Gamma$ are hyperbolic which means they are conjugate to some element $m a \in M A$. We state a trace formula for general compact locally symmetric spaces of rank one in Theorem 5.2.4.

We further specialize $\sigma$ to be a function in $C\left(S X_{\Gamma}\right)$, which is only allowed to have finitely many nontrivial components in the spherical spectrum and no components in the nonspherical spectrum. Hence in the case of a real hyperbolic space, Lemma 8.1.1 allows us now to reduce to the case where $\sigma$ equals some eigenfunction $\varphi$ on $X_{\Gamma}$. The obstacle that occurs in computing a satisfactory trace formula now, is a factor we call the weight function $I_{\gamma}(\sigma)$. It is a real valued function on $N$ and depends on the element $\gamma$ in $\Gamma$ and the function $\sigma .{ }^{1}$ Basically, for $n \in N, I_{\gamma}(\sigma)(n)$ is the integral of the $n$-translation of $\sigma$ over the prime closed geodesic belonging to $[\gamma]$. For $\varphi=\sigma \equiv 1$ this weight function is constant and just equals $L_{\gamma_{0}}$ but for non constant eigenfunctions the evaluation of the weight function is more complicated. Since $\varphi$ is a Laplace eigenfunction by assumption, we obtain a differential equation using an expression for the Casimir $\Omega$ from Chapter 2.1. But this differential equation is a priori an equation on $N$ and thus it is not clear how $I_{\gamma}(\varphi)$, which is as mentioned above a real valued function on $N$, depends in higher dimensions on its value at the neutral element, which is just $\int_{\gamma_{0}} \varphi$ from the

[^0]definition of $\mathcal{Z}$. We circumvent this problem by decomposing $I_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ into a sum
$$
I_{\gamma}(\varphi)=\sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} d_{\pi} \chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)
$$
see Theorem 5.3.1. Here $\widehat{M}$ consists of all irreducible representations $\left(\pi, V_{\pi}\right)$ of $M$, $d_{\pi}$ is the dimension of $V_{\pi}, \chi_{\pi}=\operatorname{Tr}(\pi)$ the character of $\pi$ and $*$ denotes convolution. Then $d_{\pi} \chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ is the projection of $I_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ in the space of $M$-finite functions of type $\check{\pi}, \check{\pi}$ the contragradient representation to $\pi$. Furthermore, each $\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ is also a Casimir eigenfunction with the same eigenvalue as $\varphi$. The observation which helps us now is the fact that in real hyperbolic spaces, the subgroup $M$ acts transitively on spheres in $N$ if the dimension of the real hyperbolic space is at least 3, i.e. slices for this action of $M$ on $N$ are one dimensional. For any slice $S$ we can now restrict the equation for each $\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ to $S$ and the results of Chapter 3 and 4 allow us to determine $\left.\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right|_{S}$ as a product of a hypergeometric function with a monomial and a scalar which is connected to $I_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ at the neutral element, see equations (5.18) and (5.19).

The resulting trace formula is now sufficient to define a zeta function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}(k ; \sigma):=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} c(\gamma, \sigma, \pi, k) e^{\left(-k+\rho_{0}\right) L_{\gamma}}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which converges at least on the half plane $\left\{k \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}\right\}$ and generalizes the one from $[\mathbf{A Z 0 7}]$. Here $\rho_{0}$ is a number depending only on the dimension and $\sigma$ is as above and the coefficients $c(\gamma, \sigma, \pi, k)$ depends on $k$, the test function $\sigma, \pi$ in $\widehat{M}$ and the period length $L_{\gamma_{0}}$ of the prime geodesic $\left[\gamma_{0}\right]$.

The meromorphic continuation now follows from the computation of the spectral trace by using the basis of eigenfunctions $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}_{n}$. The preliminary result of the trace, for an eigenfunction $\varphi$, is given in Proposition 6.1.3. It uses a calculus for pseudodifferential operators from $[\mathbf{S c h} 10]$, see also $[\mathbf{H S}]$, which is adapted to the rank one setting. Proposition 6.1.3 is valid for any locally symmetric compact space of rank one, but it only involves Wigner distributions. To connect it with Patterson-Sullivan distributions we have to perform computations similar to the ones on the geometric side. In particular, we again encounter a differential equation, which we can only solve when the underlying space is real hyperbolic. The final result for the spectral trace can be found in Theorem 6.2.18.

The meromorphic continuation now follows by standard arguments, we only mention that we have to make some explicit computations for functions on hyperbolic space. These calculations also seem to be possible in other rank one spaces by the classification results but we have not tried to do so.

The main result is as follows, see Proposition 8.1.2.
ThEOREM 1.0.2. Let $X_{\Gamma}$ be a compact, locally symmetric real hyperbolic space and $\sigma$ a function in $C^{\infty}\left(S X_{\Gamma}\right)$ with only finitely many nontrivial components in the spherical spectrum and no components in the non-spherical spectrum. The associated zeta function $\mathcal{Z}(\sigma)$ defines a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$. In the strip $\rho_{0}-\frac{1}{2}<\operatorname{Re}(k)<\rho_{0}+\frac{1}{2}$ the poles of $\mathcal{Z}(\sigma)$ are at $k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda$, where $-\left(\rho_{0}^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)$ is an eigenvalue of the Laplacian, and $k=\rho_{0}$. Their residues are determined by Patterson-Sullivan distributions. If $\lambda$ comes from the principal series, then the residue at $k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda$ is given (up to a non-zero constant) by normalized PattersonSullivan distributions $\widehat{\mathrm{PS}}_{\varphi}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum\left\langle\sigma, \widehat{\mathrm{PS}}_{\varphi}\right\rangle \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum runs over all eigenfunctions $\varphi$ with eigenvalue $-\left(\lambda^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)$.

Remark 1.0.3. Let $\sigma=\varphi$ be a Laplace eigenfunction. There are two statements in Theorem 1.3 from [ $\mathbf{A Z 0 7}$ ] which we cannot verify in Theorem 1.0.2 in the case of a compact surface.

The first one is about the form of the zeta function $\mathcal{Z}(\sigma)$ from (1.3). It seems to come from an incomplete integral substitution in $[\mathbf{A Z O 7}]$ which is used to get from equation (9.8) to (9.9). Our definition (1.4) differs in the surface case by a constant which depends on $k \in \mathbb{C}$, the geodesic $[\gamma]$ and the eigenvalues from the $K$-fixed vectors in the spherical components of $\sigma$, see Section 8.2 for the definition. This constant is furthermore holomorphic in $k$ on $\{k \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re}(k)>0\}$ and approaches 1 , as $L_{\gamma}$ goes to infinity. After normalizing $\mathcal{Z}(\sigma)$ this constant is

$$
\left(\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-1}\right)^{k-1 / 2} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(k-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{i r}{2}, k-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{i r}{2}, k ; 1-\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-1}\right)
$$

where $-\frac{1}{2}\left(r^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right)$ is the eigenvalue of $\varphi$, see Section 8.2. We can only deduce from (1.4) that (1.3) has a meromorphic continuation to the half plane $\{k \in \mathbb{C}$ : $\operatorname{Re}(k)>0\}$ with the same poles and residues as (1.4). In particular, in the strip $0<\operatorname{Re}(k)<1$ the residues of (1.4) are given by (normalized) Patterson-Sullivan distributions.

The second difference is about the location and the residues of the poles of the continuation of $\mathcal{Z}(\sigma)$ in the strip $0<\operatorname{Re}(k)<1$. Here the problem seems to be that the constant $\mu_{0}(s)$ in $[\mathbf{A Z 0 7}]$ which relates Wigner- to Patterson-Sullivan distributions could have poles at values $s=1 / 2+i r$, if $-\left(1 / 4+r^{2}\right)$ is an eigenvalue from the complementary series, which are not considered in [AZ07]. We can only recover the result on the poles/residues from Theorem 1.3 in $[\mathbf{A Z 0 7}]$ in the case where the pole $k=\frac{1}{2}+i \lambda$ corresponds to an eigenvalue $-\left(\frac{1}{4}+\lambda^{2}\right)$ from the principal series.

In the complementary series case, the poles/residue are more complicated. Especially, it makes a difference if $-\rho_{0}^{2}$ is an eigenvalue or not.

## CHAPTER 2

## Preliminaries

In this chapter we collect some facts about the geometry of semisimple Lie groups $G$ and locally symmetric spaces. In Section 2.1 we compute a formula for the Casimir operator $\Omega$ which becomes useful if we apply $\Omega$ to functions in $C(A \backslash G / K)$ for a fixed Iwasawa decomposition $G=A N K$. In Section 2.2 we discuss the geometry and dynamics of locally symmetric spaces $\Gamma \backslash G / K$. In Section 2.3 we discuss a model for real hyperbolic spaces $G / K$ which makes it possible to do some concrete computations in Section 2.5 where we compute the spherical transform $\mathcal{S}(f)$ of a certain bi- $K$-invariant function $f$.

### 2.1. Some computations on the Casimir element

In [Zel89, p.40] a decomposition of the Casimir operator in $S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is given, which we want to generalize to arbitrary semisimple Lie algebras. The result is formula (2.3), see also the example at the end of the section.

In what follows let $G$ be a connected, noncompact and semisimple Lie group with finite center and $\mathfrak{g}$ be its Lie algebra with fixed Cartan decomposition

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}
$$

and Cartan involution $\theta$. Let $K$ be the analytic subgroup of $G$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{k}$. Then $K$ is compact. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ be a maximal abelian subspace and $A=\exp \mathfrak{a}$.

Further, let $\mathfrak{m}$ and $M$ be the centralizers of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{k}$ resp. $K$. Then we have the Iwasawa decomposition

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{k}
$$

which gives the decompositions

$$
G=N A K=A N K=K A N
$$

where $\mathfrak{n}$ is a nilpotent Lie subalgebra and $N=\exp \mathfrak{n}$. By slight abuse of notation, we will call all these decompositions Iwasawa decomposition of $G$. If we fix $K$, the Iwasawa decomposition is unique up to conjugation in $K$, that is, if

$$
G=K A N=K A_{1} N_{1},
$$

then there is an element $k \in K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=k A k^{-1} \text { and } N_{1}=k N k^{-1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

also $\mathfrak{a}^{k}:=\operatorname{Ad}(k) \mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{a}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{n}^{k}:=\operatorname{Ad}(k) \mathfrak{n}=\mathfrak{n}_{1},[\mathbf{G V 8 8},(2.2 .12)]$.
Let $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$, then

$$
B_{\theta}(\cdot, \cdot):=-B(\cdot, \theta \cdot)
$$

defines an inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$. We also have the root space decomposition

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{0} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}
$$

where

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}=\{X \in \mathfrak{g}: \operatorname{ad}(H) X=\alpha(H) X \text { for all } H \in \mathfrak{a}\}
$$

for $\alpha \neq 0$ is called the root space of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\alpha$ and

$$
\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})=\left\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}^{*}-\{0\}: \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \neq\{0\}\right\} .
$$

We temporarily assume that the rank $n=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is arbitrary. We need some lemmata:

Lemma 2.1.1. For each $\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}) \cup\{0\}$ we have $\theta \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}=\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$.
Proof. [HN12, 12.3.2]
Lemma 2.1.2. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{a}^{*}$ with $\alpha+\beta \neq 0$, then $B\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}\right)=0$.
Proof. [HN12, 12.3.4]
Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ be any basis of orthonormal elements of $\mathfrak{n}$ with respect to $B_{\theta}(\cdot, \cdot)$ and set

$$
Z_{i}=-\theta X_{i}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, m$. Then

$$
B\left(X_{i}, Z_{j}\right)=-B\left(X_{i}, \theta X_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}
$$

Further, let $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{n}$ and $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{k}$ be any orthonormal bases of $\mathfrak{a}$ resp. $\mathfrak{m}$ with respect to $B_{\theta}(\cdot, \cdot) .^{1}$

Then

$$
H_{1}, \ldots, H_{n}, M_{1}, \ldots, M_{k}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{m}
$$

is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. We denote the dual basis with respect to $B_{\theta}(\cdot, \cdot)$ with

$$
H^{1}, \ldots, H^{n}, M^{1}, \ldots, M^{k}, X^{1}, \ldots, X^{m}, Z^{1}, \ldots, Z^{m}
$$

Since

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{0}=\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{m}
$$

while $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$, we see by Lemma 2.1.2 that

$$
H^{i}=H_{i}
$$

and

$$
M^{i}=-M_{i} .
$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.1.2,

$$
X^{i}=Z_{i}
$$

and

$$
Z^{i}=X_{i}
$$

There is an object of special interest, the Casimir operator $\Omega$, which is an element of $U(\mathfrak{g})$, the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. More precisely, $\Omega$ lies in $Z(\mathfrak{g})$, that means it commutes with every element in $U(\mathfrak{g})$. If $Q_{j}$ is any basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $Q^{j}$ the dual basis with $B_{\theta}\left(Q_{i}, Q^{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$, then $\Omega$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega:=\sum_{j} Q_{j} Q^{j} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is independent of the choice of $Q_{j},[\mathbf{G V 8 8},(2.6 .58)]$. Consequently, we can write the Casimir operator as

$$
\Omega=\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{k} M_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(X_{i} Z_{i}+Z_{i} X_{i}\right) .
$$

[^1]We work on the last sum:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(X_{i} Z_{i}+Z_{i} X_{i}\right) & =-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(X_{i} \theta X_{i}+\theta X_{i} X_{i}+X_{i}^{2}-X_{i}^{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i}\left(X_{i}-\theta X_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(X_{i}+\theta X_{i}\right) X_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i}\left(2 X_{i}-\left(X_{i}+\theta X_{i}\right)\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(X_{i}+\theta X_{i}\right) X_{i}=(*)
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
W_{i}:=X_{i}+\theta X_{i}
$$

then

$$
W_{i} \in \mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{k}}}=\mathfrak{m} \oplus(1+\theta) \mathfrak{n}
$$

Thus,

$$
(*)=2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i}^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(X_{i} W_{i}+W_{i} X_{i}\right)
$$

Now,

$$
\left[W_{i}, X_{i}\right]=\left[X_{i}+\theta X_{i}, X_{i}\right]=\left[\theta X_{i}, X_{i}\right] \in \mathfrak{a}
$$

More precisely, a direct computation shows that

$$
\left[\theta X_{i}, X_{i}\right]=H_{\alpha}
$$

if $X_{i} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$, see $[\mathbf{G V 8 8},(4.2 .1)]$, where $H_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{a}$ is defined by

$$
\alpha(H)=B\left(H_{\alpha}, H\right)
$$

for all $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. Therefore,

$$
(*)=2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i}^{2}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i} W_{i}-2 H_{\rho}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{k} M_{i}^{2}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i}^{2}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i} W_{i}-2 H_{\rho} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if

$$
2 \rho=\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})^{+}} \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}\right) \alpha
$$

This decomposition (2.3) is the central result of this section, as it will suffices for our purpose, see Chapter 4.

Remark 2.1.3. The homogeneous space $X=G / K$ is a symmetric space, in particular, it is a Riemannian space $(X, g)$ with metric $g$. Using this metric one can define a special differential operator $\Delta$ called Laplace- or Laplace-Beltramioperator, see [Hel01, Ch. II §2.4]. The Laplace operator equals $-\Omega$ on $C^{\infty}(X)$, see for example [Sch10, p.97]. This means, if we let elements $X$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ act on functions $f \in C^{\infty}(G / K)$ by

$$
X \cdot f(g):=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} f(g \exp t X)
$$

as left invariant differential operators and extend this definition to $U(\mathfrak{g})$, then

$$
\Delta f=-\Omega f
$$

That is, we have shown in this section, that

$$
\Delta=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}^{2}+2 H_{\rho}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i}^{2}
$$

see also [AJ99, (4.4.2)],
Now we apply the computation to the case of $S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$.
EXAMPLE 2.1.4. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ with the standard basis $H=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$, $V=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right), W=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)$. Then the Cartan-Killing form $B$ is defined by the matrix

$$
8\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

in particular

$$
B(H, H)=8=-B(W, W)
$$

Let $X=\frac{1}{2} V+\frac{1}{2} W=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$. We want to express $\Omega$ in terms of $H, X$ and $W$. We see that $Z=-2 \theta X$ satisfies

$$
B(X, Z)=8
$$

since $\theta V=-V$ and $\theta W=W$. Thus,

$$
8 \Omega=H^{2}+4 X^{2}-4 X W-2 H
$$

is (eight times) the operator from above, see also [Zel89, p.40].
Remark 2.1.5. This example shows a difference between [AZ07] and our work. For the formula (2.3) we have worked with an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{n}$ with respect to $B_{\theta}$, while in $[\mathbf{A Z 0 7}]$ the vector $X$ from Example 2.1.4 is used to identify $N$ with $\mathbb{R}, \exp t X \rightarrow t$. But $B_{\theta}(X, X)=4$ not 1. The difference comes from the fact that in $[\mathbf{A Z 0 7}]$ the invariant form $\tilde{B}(X, Y)=2 \cdot \operatorname{tr}(X Y)$ is implicitly used. This is off by the factor 2 from our definition $B(X, Y)=4 \cdot \operatorname{tr}(X Y)$.

### 2.2. Geometry and dynamics of (locally) symmetric spaces

We summarize some notions and facts on the geometry of the (locally) symmetric spaces $X$ and $X_{\Gamma}$. We will mainly cite from the articles [Gan77b] and [Gan77a].

Let $G$ be a semisimple, noncompact connected Lie group of real rank one with finite center, maximal compact subgroup $K$ and Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We fix a Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$. Let $X=G / K$ be the associated (Riemannian) symmetric space and $\Gamma \subset G$ a discrete co-compact and torsionfree subgroup. For short we call $\Gamma$ a uniform lattice in $G$. Then $\Gamma$ acts freely (by isometries) on $X$ and the locally symmetric space $X_{\Gamma}:=\Gamma \backslash X$ is a compact (Riemannian) manifold with simply connected covering $X$, in particular the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(X)$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma$. Further for any $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ we put

$$
|Z|:=-B(Z, \theta Z),
$$

where $B$ denotes the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\theta$ the Cartan involution. We fix an Iwasawa decomposition $G=A N K$ and set $M$ resp. $M^{\prime}$ to be the centralizer resp. normalizer of $A$ in $K$. Then $M$ is normal in $M^{\prime}$ and the quotient group $M^{\prime} / M$ is called the Weyl group $W$. Since the rank of $G$ is one, $W$ is isomorphic to the group with two elements and we denote the nontrivial element in $W$ by $w$. On $G$ we fix a Haar measure $d g$ such that

$$
\int_{G} f(g) d g=\int_{A N K} f(a n k) d k d n d a
$$

for integrable function $f$ on $G$, where $d a$ and $d n$ are defined by the euclidean structure on $A$ and $N$ coming from the inner product $B_{\theta}(.,$.$) . The Haar measure$ $d k$ is assumed to give $K$ unit mass. Then we fix also a $G$-invariant measure $d x$ on $\Gamma \backslash G$ such that

$$
\int_{G} f(g) d g=\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma x) d x
$$

for all $f \in C_{c}(G)$.
We call $x \in G$ elliptic, if it is conjugated to some element in $K$, which implies in particular that every elliptic element is semisimple, i.e. $\operatorname{Ad}(x) \in \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ is semisimple. If $x \in G$ is semisimple but not elliptic, we call it hyperbolic. ${ }^{2}$ It is known that $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is elliptic iff it is of finite order, which is again equivalent to $\gamma$ having a fixedpoint in $X$. Since $\Gamma$ is torsionfree, every nontrivial element $\gamma$ in $\Gamma$ is hyperbolic. Finally, we note:

Proposition 2.2.1. Every $\gamma \in \Gamma-\{e\}$ is conjugated to some element $a_{\mathfrak{k}} a_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in the Cartan subgroup $A_{\mathfrak{k}} A$. Here $A_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is a subgroup contained in $K$. Even more, by possibly conjugating $a_{\mathfrak{k}} a_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with the nontrivial Weyl group element $w$ one can assume that $\gamma \in \Gamma-\{e\}$ is conjugated to some $\delta_{\gamma}=m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma} \in M A^{+}$.

Proof. See [Wil91, Cor.11.5].
Note that $a_{\gamma}$ is uniquely determined in $A^{+}$by $\gamma$ while $m_{\gamma}$ is determined up to conjugacy in $M$, see [Wal76, Lem.6.6]. In particular, $a_{\gamma}$ and $a_{\mathfrak{p}}$ generate the same (cyclic) subgroup of $A$ and $\left|\log a_{\gamma}\right|=\left|\log a_{\mathfrak{p}}\right|$, see the proof of [Wil91, Prop.11.4]. By $G_{\gamma}$ and $\Gamma_{\gamma}=\Gamma_{\gamma} \cap \Gamma$ we denote the centralizer of $\gamma$ in $G$ and $\Gamma$.

Proposition 2.2.2. $\Gamma_{\gamma}$ is co-compact in $G_{\gamma}$ which is reductive and unimodular. Furthermore, the centralizer $\Gamma_{\gamma}$ of any $\gamma$ in $\Gamma-\{e\}$ is always an infinite cyclic group.

Proof. See [Gan77a, p. 407] for the claim on $G_{\gamma}$ and [Gan77a, Lem. 4.1.] for the claim on $\Gamma_{\gamma}$.

Then we call $\gamma$ primitive if $\Gamma_{\gamma}$ is generated by $\gamma$. Since $\Gamma$ is torsionfree, every $\gamma \in \Gamma-\{e\}$ is the unique positive power of a primitive element $\gamma_{0}$.

A geodesic loop in $X_{\Gamma}$ is the image of closed path in $X$ under the orbit map $X \rightarrow X_{\Gamma}$. We call it a closed geodesic if it is a geodesic when viewed as a subset of $X$. It is well-known that there is a one-to-one correspondence between closed geodesics and nontrivial conjugacy classes in $\Gamma$, [Gan77a, p. 404]. We call a closed geodesic a prime geodesic, if the corresponding conjugacy class contains a primitive element. By $C \Gamma$ we denote the set of conjugacy classes in $\Gamma$. For $[\gamma] \in C \Gamma$ let $l_{\gamma}:=\left|\log a_{\gamma}\right|$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{\gamma}=\inf _{x \in G}\left|x^{-1} \gamma x\right|, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [Gan77a, p. 413]. Here for $g \in G,|g|:=|X|$, if $g=k \exp X$ with $k \in K$ and $X \in \mathfrak{p}$. We fix a Haar measure $d x_{\gamma}$ on $G_{\gamma}$ analogous to the Haar measure on $G$, following the Iwasawa decomposition of $G_{\gamma}=A_{\gamma} K_{\gamma} N_{\gamma}$ such that $K_{\gamma}$ has unit measure. We also have a Haar measure $d \dot{x}_{\gamma}$ on the quotient $\Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\gamma}$. and we set $\left|\Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\gamma}\right|:=\int_{\Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\gamma}} d \dot{x}_{\gamma}$. We can make this more precise, if we consider the centralizer of $\alpha_{\gamma} \gamma \alpha_{\gamma}^{-1}=\delta_{\gamma}$. Then $G_{\delta_{\gamma}}=A\left(G_{\delta_{\gamma}} \cap K\right)=A\left(G_{\delta_{\gamma}} \cap M\right)$, see [Gan77a, p. 414]. As before we fix Haar measures $d x_{\delta_{\gamma}}=d a d k_{\delta_{\gamma}}$ on $G_{\delta}$ following the Iwasawa decomposition of $G_{\delta_{\gamma}}$ such that $K_{\delta_{\gamma}}:=\left(K \cap G_{\delta_{\gamma}}\right)=\left(M \cap G_{\delta_{\gamma}}\right)=: M_{\delta_{\gamma}}$ has unit

[^2]measure with respect to $d k_{\delta_{\gamma}}$. We set $\left|\Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\gamma}\right|:=\int_{\Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\gamma}} d \dot{x}_{\gamma}$ etc.. The number $l_{\gamma}$ can now be related to $\Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\gamma}$.

Proposition 2.2.3. For $\gamma \in \Gamma$ let $\delta_{\gamma}=\alpha_{\gamma} \gamma \alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} \in M A^{+}$and $H_{\gamma}:=\alpha_{\gamma} \Gamma_{\gamma} \alpha_{\gamma}^{-1}$. Furthermore, let $K_{\delta_{\gamma}}$ and $G_{\delta_{\gamma}}$ be the centralizer of $\delta_{\gamma}$ in $K$ resp. $G$. If we identify $A$ with $G_{\delta_{\gamma}} / M_{\delta_{\gamma}}$ then

$$
\left|\Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\gamma}\right|=\left|H_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\delta_{\gamma}}\right|=\left|H_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\delta_{\gamma}} / K_{\delta_{\gamma}}\right|=\left|A /\left\langle a_{\gamma_{0}}\right\rangle\right|=\left|\log a_{\gamma_{0}}\right|=l_{\gamma_{0}}=l_{\gamma} j^{-1}
$$

Here |.| always denotes the volume with respect to the invariant measure on quotient space, i.e. $\left|\Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\gamma}\right|=\int_{\Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\gamma}} d \dot{x}_{\gamma},\left|H_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\delta_{\gamma}}\right|=\int_{H_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\delta_{\gamma}}} d \dot{x}_{\delta_{\gamma}}$ etc.

Proof. See also [Gan77a, (4.5) pp. 414]. Assume that $\gamma$ is conjugated to $\delta_{\gamma}=m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma} \in M A^{+}$, i.e. $\alpha_{\gamma} \gamma \alpha_{\gamma^{-1}}=\delta_{\gamma}$ for some $\alpha_{\gamma} \in G$. The centralizer $G_{\delta_{\gamma}}$ of $\delta_{\gamma}$ in $G$ equals now $M_{m_{\gamma}} A$, where $M_{m_{\gamma}}$ is the centralizer of $m_{\gamma}$ in $M$, see [Wi191, p.185]. Let $\gamma=\gamma_{0}^{j}$ with $\gamma_{0}$ primitive and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\gamma_{0}$ is conjugated to $m_{\gamma_{0}} a_{\gamma_{0}} \in M A^{+}$, then it follows by the uniqueness of $a_{\gamma}$ that $a_{\gamma}=a_{\gamma_{0}}^{j}$. Then

$$
H_{\gamma}=\alpha_{\gamma} \Gamma_{\gamma} \alpha_{\gamma}^{-1}=\alpha_{\gamma}\left\langle\gamma_{0}\right\rangle \alpha_{\gamma^{-1}} \subset G_{\delta_{\gamma}}=M_{m_{\gamma}} A \subset M A
$$

If $\delta^{\prime}=m^{\prime} a^{\prime} \in H_{\gamma}$, where $a^{\prime} \in A$ and $m^{\prime} \in M$, it follows that

$$
m^{\prime} \in M_{m_{\gamma}}=G_{\delta_{\gamma}} \cap M=M_{\delta_{\gamma}}=K_{\delta_{\gamma}}
$$

Therefore, the action of $H_{\gamma}$ on $G_{\delta_{\gamma}} / K_{\delta_{\gamma}}$ equals the action of $\left\{a^{\prime}: \delta^{\prime}=m^{\prime} a^{\prime} \in H_{\gamma}\right\}$ by left translation on $A$, where we identified

$$
G_{\delta_{\gamma}} / K_{\delta_{\gamma}}=M_{\delta_{\gamma}} A / M_{\delta_{\gamma}}
$$

with $A$. Now $\left\{a^{\prime}: \delta^{\prime}=m^{\prime} a^{\prime} \in H_{\gamma}\right\}=\langle\tilde{a}\rangle$, where $\tilde{a} \in A$ such that $\alpha_{\gamma} \gamma_{0} \alpha_{\gamma^{-1}}=$ $\tilde{a} \tilde{m} \in A M$. Since $\gamma_{0}$ is also conjugated to $a_{\gamma_{0}} m_{\gamma_{0}} \in A^{+} M$, we deduce by possibly replacing $\alpha_{\gamma}$ with $\alpha_{\gamma} w$, that $\tilde{a} \in\left\{a_{\gamma_{0}}, a_{\gamma_{0}}^{-1}\right\}$, i.e. $\langle\tilde{a}\rangle=\left\langle a_{\gamma_{0}}\right\rangle$. If we fix a Haar measure on $G_{\delta_{\gamma}}=K_{\delta_{\gamma}} A$ as we did for $G_{\gamma}$ with normalized measure on $K_{\delta_{\gamma}}$, then we get by unimodularity

$$
\left|\Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\gamma}\right|=\left|H_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\delta_{\gamma}}\right|=\left|H_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\delta_{\gamma}} / K_{\delta_{\gamma}}\right|=\left|A /\left\langle a_{\gamma_{0}}\right\rangle\right|=\left|\log a_{\gamma_{0}}\right|=l_{\gamma_{0}}=l_{\gamma} j^{-1}
$$

One can show the following.
Proposition 2.2.4. The set

$$
\left\{l_{\gamma}: 1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma\right\}
$$

is bounded away from zero and has no upper bound.
Proof. See [Gan77a, Th. 4.4.].
We denote its infimum by $l_{\text {inf }}$. The geodesic flow $G_{t}$ on the tangent bundle $T X$ is given by

$$
G_{t}(V):=\gamma_{V}^{\prime}(t)
$$

see [Hil05, Ex. 1.1] where $V \in T_{x} X$ and $\gamma_{V}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is the (unique) geodesic with $\gamma_{V}^{\prime}(0)=V$ and $\gamma_{V}(0)=x .{ }^{3} G_{t}$ preserves the Riemannian metric on $T X$, in particular $G_{t}$ maps the spherical bundle $S X$ into itself.

Lemma 2.2.5. The bundle $S X$ can be identified with $G / M$. Also in the same vein

$$
S X_{\Gamma} \cong \Gamma \backslash G / M
$$

[^3]Proof. See [Hil05, p.15]. We have the identification

$$
T X=T(G / K) \cong G \times_{K}(\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{k})=G \times_{K} \mathfrak{p}
$$

The bundle $S X$ gets identified with $G / M$, since $K$ acts transitively on the spheres in $\mathfrak{p}$, i.e. the unit sphere in $\mathfrak{p}$ can be written as $K / M$. Thus $S X \cong$ $G / K \times K / M \cong G / M$. For $S X_{\Gamma}$ we project from $X$ to $\Gamma \backslash X$.

The geodesic flow on the spherical bundle $S X \cong G / M$ is then given by righttranslation with $A$, i.e. by

$$
\left(g M, \exp \left(t H_{1}\right)\right) \mapsto G_{t}(g M)=g \exp \left(-t H_{1}\right) M,
$$

where $H_{1}$ is the unique unit vector in $\mathfrak{a}^{+}$, see [BO95, p.89]. It also projects to a flow on $\Gamma \backslash G / M$ via

$$
\left(\Gamma g M, \exp \left(t H_{1}\right)\right) \mapsto G_{t}(\Gamma g M)=\Gamma g \exp \left(-t H_{1}\right) M
$$

We already remarked that a closed geodesic corresponds to a closed orbit of the geodesic flow. We want to make this more precise. Let $\gamma \neq e$ be conjugated to $m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}$ via $\alpha_{\gamma}$. Then the corresponding orbit is given by

$$
c_{\gamma}:=\left\{\Gamma \alpha_{\gamma^{-1}} \exp \left(-t H_{1}\right) M: t \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \subset \Gamma \backslash G / M
$$

see [BO95, p.90]. Note that indeed $G_{t}\left(\Gamma \alpha_{\gamma^{-1}} M\right)=\Gamma \alpha_{\gamma^{-1}} M$ for $t=l_{\gamma}$ and that $\gamma$ is primitive iff $t=l_{\gamma}$ is the smallest $t>0$ with $G_{t}\left(\Gamma \alpha_{\gamma^{-1}} M\right)=\Gamma \alpha_{\gamma^{-1}} M$.

Assume now that a continuous, left- $\Gamma$ - and right- $K$-invariant function $\sigma$ is given. By the the same arguments as in the proof of 2.2 .3 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{H_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\delta_{\gamma}}} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma^{-1}} x\right) d x & =\int_{H_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\delta_{\gamma}} / K_{\delta_{\gamma}}} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma^{-1}} x\right) d x \\
& =\int_{A /\left\langle a_{\gamma_{0}}\right\rangle} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma^{-1}} x\right) d x \\
& =: \int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \sigma,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\gamma_{0}}=\left\{\Gamma \alpha_{\gamma^{-1}} \exp \left(-t H_{1}\right) M: 0 \leq t \leq l_{\gamma_{0}}\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the prime, closed orbit in $S X_{\Gamma}$ belonging to $\gamma$. Furthermore, we note an easy observation:

Proposition 2.2.6. The mapping $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, g \mapsto \int_{H_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\delta_{\gamma}}} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma^{-1}} x g\right) d x$ is invariant under left translation by elements of $\in G_{\delta_{\gamma}}$. That is, for all $z \in G_{\delta_{\gamma}}$

$$
\int_{H_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\delta_{\gamma}}} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma^{-1}} x z g\right) d x=\int_{H_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\delta_{\gamma}}} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma^{-1}} x g\right) d x .
$$

Proof. This follows, because $H_{\gamma}$, which is discrete, and $G_{\delta_{\gamma}}=M_{m_{\gamma}} A$ are unimodular. By [Wil91, p. 5] the measure $d x$ on $H_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\delta_{\gamma}}$ is invariant under left translation by elements in $G_{\delta_{\gamma}}$, that is $\int_{H_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\delta_{\gamma}}} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma^{-1}} x z g\right) d x=\int_{H_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\delta_{\gamma}}} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma^{-1}} x g\right) d x$ for all $z \in G_{\delta_{\gamma}}$ and continuous functions $\sigma$.

### 2.3. Real hyperbolic spaces

We collect some facts concerning real hyperbolic symmetric spaces. We follow [Koo84] and [Qui06].

The real hyperbolic symmetric spaces $X$ form one of the three main series for real symmetric spaces of noncompact type of rank one. If $X$ is a real hyperbolic space of dimension $l$, it can be described as a quotient $X=\tilde{G} / \tilde{K}$, where $\tilde{G}=O(1, l)$
and $\tilde{K} \subset G$ is a maximal compact subgroup in $\tilde{G}$ isomorphic to $O(l)$. Here $O(1, l)$ is the group of real $(l+1) \times(l+1)$ matrices which preserve the quadratic form

$$
[x, y]=x_{0} y_{0}-x_{1} y_{1}-\ldots x_{l} y_{l}
$$

on $\mathbb{R}^{l+1}$.
Furthermore $\tilde{K}=\{ \pm 1\} \times S O(l)$. Real hyperbolic spaces can also be written as $X=G / K$, where $G=S O_{o}(1, l)$ is the connected component of identity in $S O(1, l)$ and $S O(1, l) \subset O(1, l)$ is the subgroup of elements with determinant 1. Further, $K$ equals $\{1\} \times S O(l)$. This group $G$ has the advantage of being semisimple while $\tilde{G}$ is only reductive.

We denote the Lie algebras of $G$ and $K$ by $\mathfrak{g}$ resp. $\mathfrak{k}$. Then we have the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ with Cartan involution $\theta$ defined by

$$
\theta(X):=J X J
$$

where

$$
J:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & I_{l}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$I_{l}$ the identity matrix in $S O(l)$. The Iwasawa decomposition reads $G=N A K$, where $A=\exp \mathfrak{a} \cong \mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ is maximal abelian and $N=\exp \mathfrak{n} \cong \mathbb{R}^{l-1}, \mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is an abelian Lie subalgebra. We will make the identification of $A$ resp. $\mathfrak{a}$ with $\mathbb{R}$ and of $N$ resp. $\mathfrak{n}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{l-1}$ more precise below, see (2.6) and (2.8). The root space decomposition is simply

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}
$$

Here $\alpha$ is the unique positive root of the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ with $\mathfrak{n}=\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$,

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}=\{X \in \mathfrak{g}:[H, X]=\alpha(H) X \text { for all } H \in \mathfrak{a}\}
$$

It follows that $\rho=\frac{l-1}{2} \alpha$. We can also decompose $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ into $\mathfrak{g}_{0}=\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$, where $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{k}$ is the Lie algebra of the centraliser $M$ of $A$ in $K$. In our setting $M$ is just $\{1\} \times S O(l-1) \times\{1\}$. The subgroup $A$ is given by the set of all matrices of the form

$$
a_{t}:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cosh t & 0 & \sinh t \\
0 & I_{l-1} & 0 \\
\sinh t & 0 & \cosh t
\end{array}\right)
$$

$t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $I_{l-1} \in S O(l-1)$ the identity matrix. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ of $A$ is spanned by

$$
H_{0}:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0_{l-1} & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $0_{l-1}$ is the null matrix in $\mathfrak{s o}(l-1)$. One computes $\alpha\left(H_{0}\right)=1, B\left(H_{0}, H_{0}\right)=$ $2(l-1) \alpha\left(H_{0}\right)^{2}=2(l-1)$ and $\rho_{0}:=\rho\left(H_{0}\right)=\frac{l-1}{2}$, see [GV88, p.135]. Here

$$
B(X, Y)=(l-1) \operatorname{Tr}(X Y)=\operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{ad} X \operatorname{ad} Y)
$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ denotes the Cartan-Killing form. We identify $A$ resp. $\mathfrak{a}$ with $\mathbb{R}$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow A, t \mapsto t H_{0} \mapsto \exp t H_{0} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subgroup $N$ is given by

$$
n_{u}:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1+\frac{1}{2}|u|^{2} & u^{T} & -\frac{1}{2}|u|^{2} \\
u & I_{l-1} & -u \\
\frac{1}{2}|u|^{2} & u^{T} & 1-\frac{1}{2}|u|^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$u \in \mathbb{R}^{l-1}$. Its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ consists of all matrices $X_{u}, u \in \mathbb{R}^{l-1}$, of the form

$$
X_{u}:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & u^{T} & 0 \\
u & 0_{l-1} & -u \\
0 & u^{T} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $0_{l-1}$ the zero matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{(l-1) \times(l-1)}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\theta}\left(X_{e_{1}}, X_{e_{1}}\right)=-B\left(X_{e_{1}}, \theta X_{e_{1}}\right)=4(l-1), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{l-1}$. Similar to (2.6) the identification here is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{R}^{l-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow N, u \mapsto X_{u} \mapsto \exp X_{u} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conjugation by $A$ resp. $M$ on $N$ can now be described as

$$
a_{t} n_{u} a_{-t}=n_{e^{t} u} \text { resp. } m n_{u} m^{-1}=n_{m \cdot u} .
$$

Furthermore,

$$
n_{u} n_{u^{\prime}}=n_{u+u^{\prime}}
$$

while

$$
\operatorname{Ad}\left(a_{t}\right) X_{u}=e^{t} X_{u}=X_{e^{t} u}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ad}(m) X_{u}=X_{m \cdot u} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a_{t} \in A, m \in M \cong S O(l-1)$ and $X_{u} \in \mathfrak{n} \cong \mathbb{R}^{l-1}$. We further recall that the Weyl group $W=N_{K}(A) / M$, where $N_{K}(A)$ is the normalizer of $A$ in $K$, is isomorphic to the symmetric group of two elements.

The space $X=G / K$ can be described as the image of the open set

$$
\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{l+1}:[x, x]>0\right\}
$$

under the mapping from $\mathbb{R}^{l+1}$ to the unit ball $B\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{l}$ given by

$$
x \mapsto y, y_{i}=x_{i} x_{0}^{-1} .
$$

The group $G$ acts then on $B\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ by fractional linear transformation, that is if $g \in G$ is given by

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
a & b^{T}  \tag{2.10}\\
c & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $a \in \mathbb{R}, b, c \in \mathbb{R}^{l}$ and $d \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times l}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \cdot y=(d y+c)(\langle b, y\rangle+a)^{-1} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\langle.,$.$\rangle denotes the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^{l}$. One can show that, see [vDH97, p.111],

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-|g \cdot y|^{2}=\frac{1-|y|^{2}}{|\langle b, y\rangle+a|^{2}}, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|$.$| means the euclidean norm derived from \langle.,$.$\rangle on \mathbb{R}^{l}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-|g \cdot 0|^{2}=|a|^{-2} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3.1. The mapping

$$
g \mapsto 1-|g \cdot 0|^{2}
$$

from $S O_{o}(1, l) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bi-K-invariant.

Proof. Since $K$ equals the stabilizer of 0 in $G$, the right- $K$-invariance is clear. For the left- $K$-invariance we note that the product of $g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b^{t} \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ as in (2.10) and $k=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & f\end{array}\right), f \in S O(l)$, is of the form

$$
k \cdot g=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b^{t} \\
f \cdot c & f \cdot d
\end{array}\right)
$$

it follows from (2.13) that for $k \in K$

$$
1-|k g \cdot 0|^{2}=1-|g \cdot 0|^{2}
$$

For later purpose let us make two computations. For $n_{u} \in N$ and $a_{t} \in A$ resp. $m \in M$ we have by (2.13)

$$
\begin{align*}
1-\left|a_{t} n_{u} \cdot 0\right|^{2} & =\left(\cosh t\left(1+\frac{1}{2}|u|^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}|u|^{2} \sinh t\right)^{-2}  \tag{2.14}\\
& =\left(\cosh t+\frac{|u|^{2}}{2} e^{t}\right)^{-2} \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

For the next computation we identify $M$ with $S O(l-1)$ and $m$ with the $(l-$ $1) \times(l-1)$-matrix $(m)_{i, j=1}^{l-1}$ in $S O(l-1)$. Let $(m)_{1,1}$ be the first entry on the diagonal. Then by (2.13)
$1-\left|n_{-u} a_{t} m n_{u} \cdot 0\right|^{2}=1-\left|n_{-u} a_{t} n_{m \cdot u} \cdot 0\right|^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(\left(1+\frac{|u|^{2}}{2}\right)\left(\cosh t+\frac{|u|^{2}}{2} e^{-t}\right)-\langle u, m \cdot u\rangle+\frac{|u|^{2}}{2}\left(\sinh t-\frac{|u|^{2}}{2} e^{-t}\right)\right)^{-2} \\
& =\left(-\langle u, m \cdot u\rangle+\left(1+|u|^{2}\right) \cosh t\right)^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, for $u=r \cdot e_{1}=(r, 0, \ldots, 0)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{l-1}, r \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\left|n_{-r e_{1}} a_{t} m n_{r e_{1}} \cdot 0\right|^{2}=\left(-(m)_{1,1} r^{2}+\left(1+r^{2}\right) \cosh t\right)^{-2} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, let $H: S O_{o}(1, l) \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ be the Iwasawa projection to $S O_{o}(1, l)=K A N$ defined by

$$
H\left(k a_{t} n\right)=H\left(k \exp t H_{0} n\right):=t H_{0}
$$

where $H_{0} \in \mathfrak{a}$ with $\alpha\left(H_{0}\right)=1$. From [Koo84, (5.9)] we cite that for $g=(g)_{i, j=1}^{l+1}$ in $S O_{o}(1, l)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(g)=\ln \left|g_{1,1}+g_{0, l+1}\right| \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then let

$$
w:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{l-1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

be a representative for the nontrivial Weyl group element in $K=\{1\} \times S O(l)$. From (2.17) we conclude that

$$
H\left(n_{u} w\right)=t H_{0}
$$

with $t=\ln \left(1+|u|^{2}\right)$. Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(n_{-u} w\right)=H\left(n_{u} w\right)=\ln \left(1+|u|^{2}\right) H_{0} . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.4. Spherical Transforms

In this section we recall some facts about spherical transforms on semisimple Lie groups. We start by the general definition of a spherical function and spherical transform. In the next section we explicitly calculate the spherical transform of a function $f_{k}$ depending on some complex parameter $k$ when $G / K$ is a real hyperbolic space.

Let $G=N A K$ be a semisimple, noncompact Lie group, $A: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ the corresponding projection such that

$$
g=n(g) \exp A(g) k(g)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle g K, k M\rangle:=A\left(k^{-1} g\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the so-called horocycle bracket where $X=G / K$ and boundary $B=K / M$.
Definition 2.4.1. [Hel01]
For $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G / K)$ we define its Fourier transform by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(f, \lambda, b):=\int_{G / K} f(g K) e^{(-i \lambda+\rho)\langle g K, b\rangle} d(g K) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the horocycle bracket.
Definition 2.4.2. We call a function in $C^{\infty}(G / / K)$ (elementary) spherical, if it is an eigenfunction of $U\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{K}$, the subset of $K$-invariants in $U\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$.

The following theorem gives the integral formula for spherical functions. It describes spherical functions as integral transforms of the wave $e^{(i \lambda+\rho) A(\cdot)}$.

Theorem 2.4.3. Every spherical function is given by

$$
\varphi_{\lambda}(g)=\int_{K} e^{(i \lambda+\rho) A(k g)} d k
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^{*}$ and $\varphi_{\lambda}=\varphi_{s \lambda}$ for $s \in W$.
Proof. [Hel01, p.418]
Note that $A($.$) is bi- M$-invariant, hence the domain of integration can be changed to $K / M$ if $d k_{M}$ corresponds to the (normalized) measures $d k$ and $d m$.

We state some useful formulae for spherical functions.
Remark 2.4.4. If the real rank of $G$ is one and $H: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}, H(k n a):=\log a$, where $\exp \log a=a$, corresponds to the Iwasawa decomposition $G=K A N$, then $H\left(g^{-1} k\right)=-A\left(k^{-1} g\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\lambda}(g) & =\int_{K} e^{(i \lambda+\rho) A(k g)} d k \\
& =\int_{K} e^{(i \lambda+\rho) A\left(k^{-1} g\right)} d k \text { by unimodularity } \\
& =\int_{K} e^{(-i \lambda-\rho) H\left(g^{-1} k\right)} d k \\
& =\int_{K} e^{(i \lambda-\rho) H\left(g^{-1} k\right)} d k \text { by Weyl group invariance } \\
& \left.=\int_{K} e^{(i \lambda-\rho) H(g k)} d k, \text { see [Sch84, p. } 89\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

With this preparation we can define the spherical transform on bi- $K$-invariant functions.

Definition 2.4.5. See [Hel01, p.457]
Let $f \in C^{\infty}(G / / K)$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}^{*}}$. We define define the spherical transform of $f$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}(f, \lambda):=\int_{G} f(g) \varphi_{-\lambda}(g) d g \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever the integral is finite and where $\varphi_{\lambda}(g)=\int_{K} e^{(i \lambda+\rho) A(k g)} d k$ is an elementary spherical function.

From Remark 2.4.4 one can deduce that the spherical transform can be factorized into a product of the euclidean Fourier transform on $A$

$$
\hat{f}(\lambda):=\int_{A} f(a) e^{-i \lambda \log a} d a
$$

and the Abel transform, see Remark 5.4.2 for the definition of the Abel transform $F_{f}$.

Proposition 2.4.6. The spherical transform can be factorized as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}(f, \lambda) & =\widehat{F_{f}}(\lambda) \\
& =\int_{A} \int_{N} f(a n) e^{(-i \lambda+\rho) \log a} d n d a
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. [Hel01, Ch.II $\S 5$ (37)] or [GV88, Prop.3.3.1.]
Theorem 2.4.7. (Harish-Chandra's c-function)
Let $G$ be semisimple, noncompact of real rank one. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^{*}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(i \lambda) \in \mathfrak{a}_{+}^{*}$ the integral

$$
c(\lambda)=\int_{\bar{N}} e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H(\bar{n})} d \bar{n}
$$

converges absolutely and admits a meromorphic extension in the parameter $\lambda$ to $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*} \cong \mathbb{C}$. Here the measure d $\bar{n}$ on $\bar{N}:=\theta N$ is normalized such that $\int_{\bar{N}} e^{-2 \rho(H(\bar{n}))} d n=$ 1.

Proof. [Hel01, p.436]

### 2.5. The spherical transform of $f_{k}$

In this section we specialize to $G=S O_{o}(1, l)$. We want to compute the spherical transform of the bi- $K$-invariant function, see Lemma 2.3.1,

$$
f_{k}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g \mapsto\left(1-|g \cdot 0|^{2}\right)^{k / 2}
$$

depending on $k \in \mathbb{C}$. We will use $f_{k}$ to obtain the zeta function $\mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$, see Section 5.4. The spherical transform of $f_{k}$ will in turn produce the meromorphic continuation of $\mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ to $\mathbb{C}$ via the spectral trace of the operator $\varphi_{n} \cdot \pi_{R}\left(f_{k}\right)$ in Chapter 7.

The spherical transform of $f_{k}$ is given by Proposition 2.4.6 by

$$
\mathcal{S}\left(f_{k}, \mu\right)=\int_{A} \int_{N} f_{k}(a n) e^{(-i \mu+\rho) \log a} d n d a
$$

Now we identify $A$ with $\mathbb{R}$ by $a_{t}=\exp t H_{0} \mapsto t, \alpha\left(H_{0}\right)=1$, and $N$ with $\mathbb{R}^{l-1}$, $\exp X_{u} \mapsto u$, see (2.8). From (2.14ff) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}\left(a_{t} \exp X_{u}\right)=\left(\cosh t+\frac{|u|^{2}}{2} e^{t}\right)^{-k} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spherical transform of $f_{k}$ is hence given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}\left(f_{k}, \mu\right) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{N} f_{k}\left(\exp t H_{0} n\right) e^{\left(-i \mu+\rho_{0}\right) t} d n d t \\
& \stackrel{(2.22)}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{N}\left(\cosh t+\frac{1}{2}|u|^{2} e^{t}\right)^{-k} e^{\left(-i \mu+\rho_{0}\right) t} d u d t \\
& \stackrel{t \mapsto-t}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{N}\left(\cosh t+\frac{1}{2}|u|^{2} e^{-t}\right)^{-k} e^{\left(i \mu-\rho_{0}\right) t} d u d t \\
& =\omega_{l-1} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(\cosh t+\frac{1}{2} s^{2} e^{-t}\right)^{-k} e^{\left(i \mu-\rho_{0}\right) t} d s d t \\
& =\omega_{l-1} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(\cosh t)^{-k} e^{\left(i \mu-\rho_{0}\right) t} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(1+s^{2}\left(1+e^{2 t}\right)^{-1}\right)^{-k} d s d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used polar coordinates, namely we identify $N \cong \mathbb{R}^{l-1}, u \mapsto X_{u}$, see (2.8),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{N} f(n) d n & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{l-1}} f\left(\exp X_{u}\right) d u \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\partial B_{s}(0)} f d S d s \\
& =\omega_{l-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2} f\left(\exp s X_{e_{1}}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

for radial functions $f$, i.e. $f(n)=\int_{M} f(m \cdot n) d m$ for all $n \in N$. Here $B_{1}(0)=\{x \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{l-1}:|x| \leq 1\right\}$ and $\omega_{l-1}:=\left|\partial B_{1}(0)\right|$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{l-2}$ for $l \geq 3$. For $l=2$ we set $\omega_{1}:=2$. Next we substitute $s \mapsto\left(1+e^{2 t}\right)^{1 / 2} s$ to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{l-1} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(\cosh t)^{-k}\left(1+e^{2 t}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{2}} e^{\left(i \mu-\rho_{0}\right) t} d t \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-k} d s \\
= & \omega_{l-1} \cdot 2^{\frac{l-1}{2}} \int_{A}(\cosh t)^{-k+\frac{l-1}{2}} e^{\frac{l-1}{2} t} \cdot e^{\left(i \mu-\rho_{0}\right) t} d t \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-k} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we remember that $\rho_{0}=\frac{l-1}{2}$ to find that we have to compute the integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{\frac{l-1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(\cosh t)^{-k+\frac{l-1}{2}} e^{i \mu t} d t & =2^{\frac{l-1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 2^{k-\frac{l-1}{2}}\left(v+v^{-1}\right)^{-k+\frac{l-1}{2}} v^{i \mu-1} d v \\
& =2^{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{v^{i \mu-1}}{\left(\frac{v^{2}+1}{v}\right)^{k-\frac{l-1}{2}}} d v
\end{aligned}
$$

by the substitution $e^{t}=v$. Then we substitute $v \mapsto v^{1 / 2}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{k-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} v^{\frac{k+i \mu-\rho_{0}}{2}-1}(v+1)^{-k+\rho_{0}} d v \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we use the integral formula for the Beta function

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(x, y)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{x-1}}{(1+u)^{x+y}} d u=\frac{\Gamma(x) \Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain that (2.23) equals

$$
2^{k-1} B\left(\frac{k+i \mu-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k-i \mu-\rho_{0}}{2}\right) .
$$

We recall the following facts on the Gamma function:

Remark 2.5.1. The Gamma function $\Gamma(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{z-1} e^{-t} d t$ converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(z)>0$ and defines there an entire function. It can be continued analytically to $\mathbb{C}-\{0,-1,-2, \ldots\}$. The poles in $-n, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, are of first order with residues $\operatorname{Res}(\Gamma ;-n)=\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n}$. The Gamma function has no zeros, [FB06, Ch. IV $1]$.

Furthermore, for $x$ and $y$ real we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|y| \rightarrow \infty}|\Gamma(x+i y)| e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|y|}|y|^{\frac{1}{2}-x}=\sqrt{2 \pi} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [GR65, 8.328].
That is, $B(x, y)$ is defined for

$$
\operatorname{Re}(x), \operatorname{Re}(y) \neq 0,-1,-2, \ldots,
$$

in our case

$$
k \neq \rho_{0} \pm i \mu, \rho_{0}-2 \pm i \mu, \ldots
$$

To sum up:
Proposition 2.5.2. The spherical transform of $f_{k}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}\left(f_{k}, \mu\right) & =\omega_{l-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{-k} d s \cdot 2^{k-1} B\left(\frac{k+i \mu-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k-i \mu-\rho_{0}}{2}\right) \\
& =\omega_{l-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} \frac{\Gamma\left(k-\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right)}{2 \Gamma(k)} B\left(\frac{k+i \mu-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k-i \mu-\rho_{0}}{2}\right) \\
2.26) & =\omega_{l-1} \cdot 2^{k-2} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right) B\left(\frac{k+i \mu-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k-i \mu-\rho_{0}}{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As we will later see in Section 5.4 this function $f_{k}$ leads to an operator of trace class and its trace in turn will produce the (auxiliary) zeta function $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$, see (5.29).

## CHAPTER 3

## Polar decomposition and radial parts

In this chapter $G$ will always come from a real hyperbolic symmetric space, which is at least 3 dimensional. That is, $G=S O_{o}(1, l)$ with $l \geq 3$, see Chapter 2.3. We fix an Iwasawa decomposition $G=A N K$ resp. $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$. Then $M=Z_{K}(A) \cong S O(l-1)$. For $l=2, M$ is hence trivial and we exclude this case.

In Section 3.1 we discuss the action of $M$ on $N$ which is essentially described by the natural action of $S O(l-1)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{l-1}$. In the next Section 3.2 we develop a theory of polar decomposition for differential operators $D$ on $G$ for a certain decomposition of $G$ which is similar to the polar decomposition $G=K A K$. This also leads to the definition of radial parts of differential operators for $M$-invariant functions. For the succeeding chapters, in particular Chapter 4, Section 3.3 is crucial where we apply the theory of polar decomposition from Section 3.1 to the Casimir operator $\Omega$. While Section 3.2 suggests formula (3.4) for $\Omega$, see Theorem 3.2.4, the calculations of Section 3.3 which lead to (3.4) only depend on Section 2.1 and 3.1.

### 3.1. The action of $M$ on $\mathfrak{n}$

We assume that the dimension of $\mathfrak{n}=\operatorname{Lie}(N)$ is $l-1$ and we consider the adjoint action of $M$ on $\mathfrak{n}$. It is content of Kostant's double transitivity theorem, see [Wal73, Th. 8.11.3], that the generic orbits are spheres, i.e. if $0 \neq X \in \mathfrak{n}$, then

$$
M \cdot X=\left\{X^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{n}:\left|X^{\prime}\right|=|X|\right\}
$$

It follows that the tangent space $\mathfrak{m} \cdot X$ to the $M$-orbit through $X$ is $l-2$ dimensional and thus

$$
(\mathfrak{m} \cdot X)^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}:=\left\{X^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{n}: B_{\theta}\left(X^{\prime}, \mathfrak{m} \cdot X\right)=0\right\}
$$

is 1-dimensional. Now it is a general fact that for any compact group $H$ and real, finite dimensional representation of $H$ on some vector space $V$, the space $(H \cdot v)^{\perp}$ meets every $H$-orbit in $V$, see [dad85, Lem. 1]. We call a linear subspace of $\mathfrak{n}$ a section, if it intersects every $M$-orbit. A slice is then a subset of a section which intersects every regular orbit exactly once.

Lemma 3.1.1. Let $0 \neq X \in \mathfrak{n}$. Then $(\mathfrak{m} \cdot X)^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}$ meets every orbit orthogonally, i.e

$$
B_{\theta}\left(Z \cdot X, X^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

for all $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $X^{\prime} \in(\mathfrak{m} \cdot X)^{\perp_{\mathrm{n}}} .{ }^{1}$
Proof. The claim will follow as soon as we show $(\mathfrak{m} \cdot X)^{\perp_{n}}=\mathbb{R} X$. For this we compute for arbitrary $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{\theta}(Z \cdot X, X) & =-B([Z, X,], \theta X) \\
& =-B(Z,[X, \theta X]) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $[X, \theta X] \in \mathfrak{a}$ which is orthogonal to $\mathfrak{k}$ with respect to $B_{\theta}$.

[^4]In other words $M$ acts polarly on $\mathfrak{n}$, that is, there is a section which intersects every $M$-orbit orthogonally. See also [Mic08, Chap.VI 30] for more information on polar actions.

We note the following:
Lemma 3.1.2. Let $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{2}$ be 1-dimensional sections for $M$ acting on $N$. If

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{g} & =\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{1}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}_{1}}} \\
& =\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{2}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}_{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\mathfrak{s}_{1} \subset \mathfrak{n}_{1}, \mathfrak{s}_{2} \subset \mathfrak{n}_{2}$, then there is some $k \in K$ such that

$$
\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{k}=\mathfrak{a}_{2} \text { and } \mathfrak{s}_{1}^{k}=\mathfrak{s}_{2}
$$

In particular, if $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_{1}=\mathfrak{a}_{2}$ are fixed, then there is some $m \in M$ such that $\mathfrak{s}_{1}^{m}=\mathfrak{s}_{2}$.

Proof. This follows since by (2.1) in Chapter 2.1 there is some $k \in K$ such that

$$
\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{k}=\mathfrak{a}_{2} \text { and } \mathfrak{s}_{1}^{k}+\left(\mathfrak{s}_{1}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}_{1}}}\right)^{k}=\mathfrak{s}_{2}+\mathfrak{s}_{2}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}_{2}}}
$$

But $\mathfrak{s}_{1}^{k}$ is a one dimensional subspace of $\mathfrak{n}_{2}$, hence a section for $M_{2}:=Z_{K}\left(A_{2}\right)$ acting on $\mathfrak{n}_{2}$ and consequently there is some $m \in M_{2}$ with

$$
\left(\mathfrak{s}_{1}^{k}\right)^{m}=\mathfrak{s}_{2}
$$

Still of course,

$$
\left(\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{k}\right)^{m}=\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{m}=\mathfrak{a}_{2} .
$$

We fix $X_{1} \neq 0$ of length 1 with respect to $B_{\theta}(.,$.$) and$

$$
\mathfrak{s}:=\left(\mathfrak{m} \cdot X_{1}\right)^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}=\mathbb{R} X_{1} .
$$

We denote by

$$
\mathfrak{s}^{\prime}:=\mathbb{R} X_{1} \backslash\{0\}
$$

the set of regular elements, i.e. the set of elements with maximal orbit dimension. The first aim of this section is to find a decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ resp. $G$ according to the section $\mathfrak{s}$. Later we will study differential equations of invariant functions on $\mathfrak{s}$.

Let

$$
\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{s}):=\left\{Z \in \mathfrak{m}:\left[Z, X^{\prime}\right]=0 \text { for all } X^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{s}\right\}
$$

be the centralizer of $\mathfrak{s}$ in $\mathfrak{m}$ and let

$$
\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}}:=\left\{W \in \mathfrak{m}: B(Z, W)=0 \text { for all } Z \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{s})\right\} .
$$

be its orthogonal complement with respect to the Killing form. Finally,

$$
\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}:=\left\{Y \in \mathfrak{n}: B_{\theta}\left(X^{\prime}, Y\right)=0 \text { for all } X^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{s}\right\}
$$

We start by a lemma showing that $\mathfrak{z m}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{n}}$.
Lemma 3.1.3. The map $\operatorname{ad} X^{\prime}: \mathfrak{z m}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}, Z \mapsto\left[Z, X^{\prime}\right]$ is an isomorphism for all $X^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $X^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$. Since the action is polar, this implies

$$
Z_{M}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=Z_{M}(\mathfrak{s})
$$

[Mic08, 30.23]. Also by polarity of the action we get the orthogonal decomposition

$$
\mathfrak{n}=\left[\mathfrak{m}, X^{\prime}\right] \oplus \mathfrak{s}
$$

i.e. $\left[\mathfrak{m}, X^{\prime}\right]=\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{n}}$. Furthermore,

$$
\left[\mathfrak{m}, X^{\prime}\right]=\left[\mathfrak{z} \mathfrak{m}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}}, X^{\prime}\right]=\left[\mathfrak{z} \mathfrak{m}\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}}, X^{\prime}\right]
$$

where $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ is the Lie algebra of $Z_{M}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=Z_{M}(\mathfrak{s})$. That is, ad $X^{\prime}$ maps $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ onto $\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{n}}$ and both spaces have the same dimension, since

$$
M \cdot X^{\prime} \cong M / Z_{M}\left(X^{\prime}\right)
$$

The proof shows that lemma is also true for any subgroup $M^{\prime} \subset M$ acting polarly on $\mathfrak{n}$, if we replace $\mathfrak{s}$ with a section for $M^{\prime}$ acting on $\mathfrak{n}$.

Lemma 3.1.3 allows us now to write $\mathfrak{g}$ as a direct sum involving $\mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{s})$. This decomposition is analogous to the one derived from $K$ acting on $\mathfrak{p}$ for a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, see for example [GV88, Chap.4].

Proposition 3.1.4. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s o}(1, l)=\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{z k}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathfrak{s}$ any section for $M=\exp \mathfrak{m}$ acting on $\mathfrak{n}$. We have the following direct decompositions for any $X^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{g} & =\left(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}}\right)^{\exp -X^{\prime}} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{k} \\
& =\left(\mathfrak{z} \mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}} \oplus(1+\theta) \mathfrak{s}\right)^{\exp -X^{\prime}} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{s}=\mathbb{R} X_{1}$ for some $0 \neq X_{1} \in \mathfrak{n}$. Since the sum $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ is direct, it suffices to show that $\left(\mathfrak{z m}(\mathfrak{s}) \frac{\perp}{\mathfrak{n}}\right)^{\exp -X^{\prime}}$ is not contained in $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$. The first claim then follows by dimension counting, see Lemma 3.1.3.

$$
\text { So let } \begin{aligned}
& Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}} \in\left(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}}\right)^{\exp -X^{\prime}} \cap \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{k} . \text { Then } \\
& Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Ad}\left(\exp -X^{\prime}\right) Z \\
&=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\operatorname{ad}-X^{\prime}\right)^{l}}{l!} Z \\
&=Z+\left[Z, X^{\prime}\right]-\frac{\left[X^{\prime},\left[Z, X^{\prime}\right]\right]}{2}+\ldots \\
&=Z+\left[Z, X^{\prime}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\mathfrak{n}$ is abelian and $\left[Z, X^{\prime}\right] \in \mathfrak{n}$. That is, $Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}} \in \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}$ for all $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$. So if we assume

$$
Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}}=Z+\left[Z, X^{\prime}\right]=H+r X_{1}+W \in \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{k}
$$

we see that

$$
\left[Z, X^{\prime}\right]=r X_{1} \in \mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}} \cap \mathfrak{s}=0
$$

But by Lemma 3.1.3 this is true for $Z \in \mathfrak{z} \mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ precisely iff $Z=0$. For the second claim, we note that $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{s}=1$, i.e. $\mathfrak{s}=\mathbb{R} \cdot X^{\prime}$ for all $X^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$. Hence,

$$
((1+\theta) \mathfrak{s})^{\exp -X^{\prime}} \oplus \mathfrak{k} \supset \mathfrak{a}
$$

for all $X^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$.
The next lemma is an easy consequence of the fact that spheres are the generic orbits of $M$ in $\mathfrak{n}$.

Lemma 3.1.5. Let $Y \in \mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{n}}$, then there is an analytic function $f$ on $\mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$ and a (unique) $Z=Z_{Y} \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ such that

$$
Y=f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}}-f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) Z
$$

for all $X^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$
Proof. If the dimension of $\mathfrak{n}$ is one, then $\mathfrak{s}=\mathfrak{n}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{n}}$ is trivial and we set

$$
f \equiv 0
$$

If $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{n}>1$ we define

$$
f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{r}
$$

for $X^{\prime}=r X_{1} \in \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$. By Lemma 3.1.3 there is a unique $Z=Z_{Y} \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ such that $\left[Z_{Y}, X_{1}\right]=Y$. Now

$$
Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}}=Z+\left[Z, X^{\prime}\right]=Z+r\left[Z, X_{1}\right]
$$

and thus

$$
f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) Z_{Y}^{\exp -X^{\prime}}-f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) Z_{Y}=\left[Z, X_{1}\right]=Y
$$

### 3.2. Polar decompositions and radial parts for $G=M(A \exp \mathfrak{s}) K$

We denote by $U(\mathfrak{g})$ the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and by $S(\mathfrak{g})$ the symmetric algebra symmetric algebra. There is a linear bijection

$$
\lambda: S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})
$$

called symmetrization, see [War72a, p.161].
From the decomposition $G=M(A \exp \mathfrak{s}) K$ we want to obtain a theory of radial parts for differential operators on functions, which are left- $M$ - and right $K$ invariant. That is, we construct for given $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ some differential operator $\delta(D)$ on $A \exp \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
D \phi=\delta(D) \phi
$$

on $A \exp \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$ for all $\phi \in C^{\infty}(M \backslash G / K)$. This theory is developed in analogy with the theory for $G=K A K$, see for example [War72b, Chap.9] or [GV88, Chap.4].

Proposition 3.2.1. The mapping $\psi: M \times A \exp \mathfrak{s} \times K \rightarrow G,(m, a x, k) \mapsto \operatorname{maxk}$ is surjective and a submersion on $M \times A \exp \mathfrak{s}^{\prime} \times K$.

Proof. For $Z \in \mathfrak{m}, R \in \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}, W \in \mathfrak{k}$, the differential $(d \psi)_{m, a x, k}$ computes to

$$
\begin{aligned}
(d \psi)_{m, a x, k}(Z, R, W)= & (d \psi)_{m, a x, k}(Z, 0,0)+(d \psi)_{m, a x, k}(0, R, 0)+(d \psi)_{m, a x, k}(0,0, W) \\
= & \left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \psi(m \exp t Z, a x, k)+\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \psi(m, a x \exp t R, k) \\
& +\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \psi(m, a x, k \exp t W) \\
= & Z^{(a x k)^{-1}}+R^{k^{-1}}+W \\
= & Z^{(x k)^{-1}}+R^{k^{-1}}+W
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \psi(g, \exp t L, h)=L^{h^{-1}}$ for all $L \in \mathfrak{g}, g, h \in G$ and since $M$ centralizes $A$. Thus,

$$
\left(\operatorname{Ad}(k) \circ(d \psi)_{m, a x, k}\right)(Z, R, W)=Z^{x^{-1}}+R+W^{k}
$$

and because $\operatorname{Ad}(k)$ is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$, the surjectivity of $d \psi_{m, a x, k}$ follows from the decomposition

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{m}^{\exp -X^{\prime}}+\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{s}+\mathfrak{k}
$$

which is valid for all $X^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$.
The decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt-Theorem together yield a decomposition of the universal enveloping algebra which we view as the algebra of differential operators on $C^{\infty}(G)$ with constant coefficients.

Lemma 3.2.2. We have the following decompositions

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(\mathfrak{g}) & =U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) U(\mathfrak{k}) \oplus\left(\left(\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}\right) U(\mathfrak{g})\right) \\
& =U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) \oplus\left(\left(\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}\right) U(\mathfrak{g})+U(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

with projections

$$
\pi_{1}: U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) U(\mathfrak{k})
$$

and

$$
\pi_{2}: U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s})
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\pi_{1} \equiv \pi_{2} \bmod (U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) U(\mathfrak{k}) \mathfrak{k})
$$

Proof. We modify the proof of [GV88, Lemma 2.6.6.]. For the second decomposition we use the direct decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}} \oplus \mathfrak{k}
$$

It follows by the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt-Theorem, see [HN12, Th.7.1.9], that the mapping

$$
U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) \otimes U\left(\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}\right) \otimes U(\mathfrak{k}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}), a \otimes b \otimes c \mapsto a b c
$$

defines a linear isomorphism. Because $\mathfrak{n}$ is abelian, $\mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}$ form indeed subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(\mathfrak{g}) & =U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) U\left(\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}\right) U(\mathfrak{k}) \\
& =U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) U\left(\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}\right) \oplus U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) U\left(\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}\right) U(\mathfrak{k}) \mathfrak{k} \\
& =U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) \oplus \mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}} U\left(\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}\right) U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) \oplus U(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

since if $\mathfrak{g}$ is the direct sum of two subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{2}$, then

$$
U(\mathfrak{g})=U\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{2} U(\mathfrak{g})=U\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1}\right) \oplus U(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{g}_{2}
$$

see [Var84, Cor. 3.2.7.]. If we apply this to

$$
\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}} U(\mathfrak{g}) \subset U(\mathfrak{g})
$$

we get

$$
\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}} U(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}} U\left(\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}\right) U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) \oplus U(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{k}
$$

i.e.

$$
\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathrm{n}}} U(\mathfrak{g})+U(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathrm{n}}} U\left(\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}\right) U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) \oplus U(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{k}
$$

For the first decomposition we proceed as before by using

$$
U(\mathfrak{g}) \cong U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{k}) \otimes U\left(\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}\right)
$$

from which we derive this time

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(\mathfrak{g}) & =U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) U(\mathfrak{k}) \oplus \mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}} U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) U(\mathfrak{k}) U\left(\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}\right) \\
& =U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) U(\mathfrak{k}) \oplus \mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}} U(\mathfrak{g}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last claim

$$
\pi_{1}(D) \equiv \pi_{2}(D) \bmod U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) U(\mathfrak{k}) \mathfrak{k}
$$

follows since

$$
U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) U(\mathfrak{k})=U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) \oplus U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) U(\mathfrak{k}) \mathfrak{k}
$$

and since $U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) U(\mathfrak{k}) \subset U(\mathfrak{g})$.
For the definition of a radial part we need to express any $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ in polar coordinates adapted to the decomposition of $G=M(A \exp \mathfrak{s}) K$. The following theorem is the major ingredient for this.

Proposition 3.2.3. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s o}(1, l)=\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{k}}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathfrak{s}$ a section for $M=\exp \mathfrak{m}$ acting on $\mathfrak{n}$. The map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}:}: & \lambda\left(S\left(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp \mathfrak{m}}\right)\right) \otimes U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{k}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}), \\
& \xi \otimes u \otimes \xi^{\prime} \mapsto \xi^{\exp \left(-X^{\prime}\right)} u \xi^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

defines a linear isomorphism for all $X^{\prime}$ in $\mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$.

Proof. The bijectivity of $\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}$ follows from the decomposition

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\left(\mathfrak{z} \mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}}\right)^{\exp -X^{\prime}} \oplus(\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{a}) \oplus \mathfrak{k}
$$

see Proposition 3.1.4, which is valid for all $X^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$, and the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt-Theorem.

We denote the inverse of $\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}$ by $\stackrel{\circ}{\perp}_{\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}, a \exp X^{\prime}}$ and interpret it as the local expression of $D$ in polar coordinates ${\stackrel{\circ}{\mathfrak{a}}{ }_{\mathfrak{a}} \text { s }}$ of $D$ around the point $a \cdot \exp X^{\prime}$ for the decomposition of the dense, open subset in $G$

$$
G^{\prime}=M\left(A \exp \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}\right) K
$$

Then we define $\mathcal{F}$ to be the algebra with unit of functions generated by the function $f$ from Lemma 3.1.5 and let $\mathcal{F}^{+}$be the linear span of monomials of positive degree in this generator $f$.

Theorem 3.2.4. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s o}(1, l)=\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ with universal enveloping $U(\mathfrak{g})$, $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{z k}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathfrak{s}$ a section for $M=\exp \mathfrak{m}$ acting on $\mathfrak{n}$. Let $D$ be in $U(\mathfrak{g})$. There are $\Delta_{i} \in U(\mathfrak{m}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{a}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{k})$ and $\Delta_{0} \in U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{k}), \varphi_{j} \in \mathcal{F}^{+}$analytic on $\mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
{\stackrel{\circ}{\perp_{\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}, a} \exp X^{\prime}}}(D)=\Delta_{0}+\sum_{j} \varphi_{j}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Delta_{j}
$$

for all $X^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$.
Proof. By Proposition 3.2.3 it is equivalent to prove that for any $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ there are $D_{0} \in U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) U(\mathfrak{k}), \xi_{j} \in \lambda\left(S\left(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}}\right)\right)$, $u_{j} \in U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s})$ and $\xi_{j}^{\prime} \in U(\mathfrak{k})$ such that

$$
D=D_{0}+\sum_{j} \varphi_{j} \xi_{j}^{\exp -X^{\prime}} u_{j} \xi_{j}^{\prime}
$$

where $\varphi_{j} \in \mathcal{F}^{+}$.
For $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ we set $\Delta_{0}:=\pi_{1}(D)$. Next if $D \in U(\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{a}) U(\mathfrak{k})$, then the theorem is clear, since

$$
D=\pi_{1}(D)+\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}(0 \otimes 0 \otimes 0)=\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\pi_{1}(D)\right)
$$

by the definition of $\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}$.
Now we continue with an induction on the degree of $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ and note that the claim is true for constants. If the degree is one and $D$ is an element of $\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{n}}$,


$$
\begin{aligned}
D & =f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}(Z \otimes 1 \otimes 1)-f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}(1 \otimes 1 \otimes Z) \\
& =f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}(Z \otimes 1 \otimes 1-1 \otimes 1 \otimes Z)
\end{aligned}
$$

proving the claim for $D \in \mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{n}}$.
Since $U(\mathfrak{g})=U(\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{a}) \oplus\left(\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}\right) U(\mathfrak{g})$ by Lemma 3.2.2, we only have to consider $D \in\left(\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}\right) U(\mathfrak{g})$ of degree $m+1$ assuming the theorem is true for degree $m$. Without loss we can assume that $D=Y D_{1}$, where $Y$ is an element of $\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{n}}$ and $D_{1}$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of degree $m$. Again by Lemma 3.1.5

$$
Y=f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}}-f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) Z
$$

for some $Z \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ and we find for $X \in \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y D_{1} & =f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}} D_{1}-f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) Z D_{1} \\
& =f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}} D_{1}-f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) D_{1} Z-f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right)\left[Z, D_{1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

But $D_{1}$ and $\left[Z, D_{1}\right]$ are of degree $\leq m$, so we can apply the induction hypothesis

resp.
$D_{1}=\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{0}+\sum_{j} \varphi_{j}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Delta_{j}\left(D_{1}\right)\right)=\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{0}\right)+\sum_{j} \varphi_{j}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{j}\right)$
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[Z, D_{1}\right] } & =\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{0}+\sum_{j} \varphi_{j}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Delta_{j}\left(\left[Z, D_{1}\right]\right)\right)=\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{0}\right)+\sum_{l} \tilde{\varphi}_{l}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{l}\right) \\
& =\tilde{\Delta}_{0}+\sum_{l} \varphi_{j}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $D_{j}, \varphi_{j}, \tilde{D}_{l}, \tilde{\varphi}_{j}$ as claimed in the theorem. That is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}} D_{1} & =Z^{\exp -X} \Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{0}\right)+\sum_{j} \varphi_{j}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) Z^{\exp -X} \Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{j}\right) \\
& =Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}} \Delta_{0}+\sum_{j} \varphi_{j}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}} \Gamma_{a \exp -X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{j}\right) \\
D_{1} Z & =\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{0}\right) Z+\sum_{j} \varphi_{j}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Gamma_{a \exp -X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{j}\right) Z \\
& =\Delta_{0} Z+\sum_{j} \varphi_{j}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Gamma_{a \exp -X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{j}\right) Z
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y D_{1}= & f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}} \Delta_{0}+\sum_{j} f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{j}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}} \Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{j}\right)-f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Delta_{0} Z \\
& -\sum_{j} f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{j}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{j}\right) Z-f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \tilde{\Delta}_{0}-\sum_{l} f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{l}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Gamma\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{l} j\right) \\
= & -f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Delta_{0} Z-f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \tilde{\Delta}_{j}+f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}} \Delta_{0}+ \\
& \sum_{j} f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{j}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right)\left(Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}} \Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{j}\right)+\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{j}\right) Z\right) \\
& +\sum_{l} f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{l}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Gamma\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we are done, since $\pi_{1}\left(Y D_{1}\right)=0$ which implies

$$
0=-f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Delta_{0} Z-f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \tilde{\Delta}_{0}
$$

It follows that for functions $\phi \in C^{\infty}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$

$$
(D \phi)\left(a \exp X^{\prime}\right)=\left(\Gamma _ { a \operatorname { e x p } X ^ { \prime } } \left({\left.\left.\stackrel{\circ}{\perp}{\dot{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}, a \exp X^{\prime}}(D)\right) \phi\right)\left(a \exp X^{\prime}\right) .}\right.\right.
$$

valid for all $a \in A, X^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$.

Corollary 3.2.5. With the notation of Theorem 3.2.4 we have

$$
{\stackrel{\circ}{\perp_{\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}, a} \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\pi_{1}(D)\right)=\Delta_{0} .}
$$

Further, if
for all $X^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$, where $\Delta_{j}, \tilde{\Delta}_{l}, \varphi_{j}, \tilde{\varphi}_{l}$ as in Theorem 3.2.4 and $n \leq m$, then $\Delta_{0}=\tilde{\Delta}_{0}$ and after a possible permutation of $j$,

$$
\Delta_{1}=\tilde{\Delta}_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{k}=\tilde{\Delta}_{k}, \varphi_{1}=\tilde{\varphi}_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{k}=\tilde{\varphi}_{k} .
$$

and $\tilde{\Delta}_{k+1}=\ldots=\tilde{\Delta}_{m}=0$.
 Theorem 3.2.4. For the second claim we note that $\varphi_{j}\left(\exp t X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow \infty$, for all $X^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$ and $\varphi_{j} \in \mathcal{F}^{+}$. So if we assume

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{0}+\sum_{j} \varphi_{j}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Delta_{j} & =\Delta_{0}^{\prime}+\sum_{k} \varphi_{k}^{\prime}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Delta_{k}^{\prime} \text { resp. } \\
\Delta_{0}-\Delta_{0}^{\prime} & =\sum_{l} \varphi_{l}^{\prime \prime}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) D_{l}^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\Delta_{0}, \Delta_{0}^{\prime} \in U(\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{a}) U(\mathfrak{k}), \Delta_{j}, \Delta_{k}^{\prime}, D_{l}^{\prime \prime} \in U(\mathfrak{m}) U(\Sigma \oplus \mathfrak{a}) U(\mathfrak{k}), \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{k}^{\prime}, \varphi_{l}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{F}^{+}$ and all $X^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$, then replacing $\exp X^{\prime}$ by $\exp t X^{\prime}$ and letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ gives the claim.

The last claim, follows since $\Delta_{j}, \tilde{\Delta}_{l}$ are independent of $X^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$ and since $\varphi_{j} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_{k} \in \mathcal{F}^{+}$.

We state now a lemma which tells us more about the nature of the projection $\pi_{2}$ from $U(\mathfrak{g})$ onto $U(\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{a})$.

Lemma 3.2.6. The mapping $\pi_{2}$ is a homomorphism from $U(\mathfrak{g})^{K}$ into $U(\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{a})$.
Proof. Let $D, D^{\prime} \in U(\mathfrak{g})^{K}$. Then

$$
D D^{\prime}-\pi_{2}(D) \pi_{2}\left(D^{\prime}\right)=\pi_{2}(D)\left(D^{\prime}-\pi_{2}\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right)+\left(D-\pi_{2}(D)\right) D^{\prime} .
$$

By definition $D-\pi_{2}(D), D^{\prime}-\pi_{2}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ are elements of $\left(\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}\right) U(\mathfrak{g})+U(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{k}$ and since $D^{\prime}$ is in $U(\mathfrak{g})^{K}$, it follows that

$$
W D^{\prime}=D^{\prime} W
$$

for $W \in \mathfrak{k}$. Hence,

$$
\left(D-\pi_{2}(D)\right) D^{\prime} \in\left(\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}\right) U(\mathfrak{g})+U(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{k}
$$

Since $\left[\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{n}}\right] \subset \mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{n}}$ it also follows that

$$
\pi_{2}(D)\left(D^{\prime}-\pi_{2}\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right) \in\left(\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}\right) U(\mathfrak{g})+U(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{k}
$$

Thus,

$$
\pi_{2}\left(D D^{\prime}\right)=\pi_{2}(D) \pi_{2}\left(D^{\prime}\right)
$$

Now we explain how $\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}(D)$ transforms if we apply it to invariant functions $\phi \in C^{\infty}(M A \backslash G / K)$. This will lead us to the definition of the radial part of $D$. We therefore compute for $Z \in \mathfrak{z m}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp \mathfrak{m}}, u \in U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}), \xi \in U(\mathfrak{k}), a \exp X^{\prime} \in A \exp \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$ and $\phi \in C^{\infty}(G)$
$\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}(Z \otimes u \otimes \xi) \phi\left(a \exp X^{\prime}\right)=\left(Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}} u \xi\right) \phi\left(a \exp X^{\prime}\right)$

$$
=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} u \xi \phi\left(a \exp X^{\prime} \exp \operatorname{Ad}\left(\exp -X^{\prime}\right) t Z\right)
$$

$$
=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} u \xi \phi\left(a \exp X^{\prime} \exp -X^{\prime} \exp t Z \exp X^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} u \xi \phi\left(a \exp t Z \exp X^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} u \xi \phi\left(\exp t Z a \exp X^{\prime}\right)
$$

as $\exp t Z \in M$. In particular it is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\xi \otimes u \otimes \xi^{\prime}\right) \phi\left(a \exp X^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\phi \in C^{\infty}(M \backslash G / K), \xi \in U(\mathfrak{m}), \xi^{\prime} \in U(\mathfrak{k})$ and $\xi$ or $\xi^{\prime}$ is not a constant. If $u=H$ is in $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\phi$ is left- $A$-invariant, then a similar computation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}} H \xi \phi\left(a \exp X^{\prime}\right) & =\left.\left.\frac{d}{d t_{1}}\right|_{t_{1}=0} \frac{d}{d t_{2}}\right|_{t_{2}=0} \xi \phi\left(\exp t_{1} Z \exp X^{\prime} \exp t_{2} H\right) \\
& =\left.\left.\frac{d}{d t_{1}}\right|_{t_{1}=0} \frac{d}{d t_{2}}\right|_{t_{2}=0} \xi \phi\left(\exp t_{1} Z \exp e^{-t_{2} \alpha(H)} X^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $c: U(\mathfrak{k}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the trivial one dimensional representation of $U(\mathfrak{k})$. The map $Z \mapsto c(Z) 1$ can be regarded as the projection $U(\mathfrak{k}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$ which belongs to the decomposition

$$
U(\mathfrak{k})=\mathfrak{k} \oplus \operatorname{ker}(c),
$$

see also [GV88, p.129]. Then for all $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\phi \in C^{\infty}(M \backslash G / K), x=$ $a \exp X^{\prime} \in A \exp \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D \phi(x)= & \Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.\perp_{\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}, a \exp X^{\prime}}(D)\right) \phi(x) \\
= \\
= \\
= \\
\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{0}+\sum_{j} \varphi_{j}(x) \Delta_{j}\right) \varphi(x) \\
= \\
= \\
\left.\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{0}\right) \varphi(x)+\sum_{j} \varphi_{j}\left(\exp _{1} X^{\prime}\right) \Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{j}\right) \varphi(x)\right) \varphi(x)+\sum_{j} \varphi_{j}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{j}\right) \varphi(x) \text { by the definition of } \Delta_{0} \\
= \\
\pi_{1}(D) \varphi(x)+\sum_{j} \varphi_{j}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{j}\right) \varphi(x) \text { by the definition of } \Gamma_{s \exp X^{\prime}} \\
= \\
\pi_{2}(D) \phi(x)+\sum_{j} \varphi_{j}\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) \Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left(\Delta_{j}\right) \varphi(x), \\
\\
\\
\\
=
\end{array} \pi_{2}(D) \phi(x)+\sum_{j} \varphi_{j}(x) c(\xi) c\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) u_{j} \phi(x)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

by (3.1) and (3.2), where $\Delta_{0}, \Delta_{j}, \varphi_{j}$ are as in Theorem 3.2.4, i.e. $\varphi_{j} \in \mathcal{F}^{+}$and $u_{j} \in U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s})$. Thus we may define a differential operator $\delta(D)$ on $A \exp \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(D):=\pi_{2}(D)+\sum_{j} \psi_{j} u_{j}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{j}=c\left(\xi_{j}\right) c\left(\xi_{j}^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{j}$ and

$$
D \phi=\delta(D) \phi
$$

on $A \exp \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$ for all $\phi \in C^{\infty}(M \backslash G / K)$. We call $\delta(D)$ the radial part of $D$.

### 3.3. Example: Polar decomposition of $\Omega$

In this section we apply the theory developed above to the Casimir operator $\Omega$. We start with the computation of the polar decomposition ${\stackrel{\circ}{\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}, a} \exp X^{\prime}}^{(\Omega)}$ of $\Omega$.

We recall that we fixed some $X_{1} \in \mathfrak{n}$ of unit length. We want to complete $X_{1}$ to an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{n}$. Therefore, we remark:

Lemma 3.3.1. We can complete $X_{1}$ to an orthonormal basis $\left\{X_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{l-1}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{n}$ such that for all $j$

$$
\left[Z_{Y_{j}}, X_{1}\right]=Y_{j}
$$

always implies

$$
\left[X_{1}, \theta Y_{j}\right]=2 Z_{Y_{j}}
$$

and

$$
\left[Y_{j}, Z_{Y_{j}}\right]=X_{1} .
$$

Here $Z_{Y_{j}} \in \mathfrak{z m}(\mathfrak{s})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\perp}$ is uniquely determined by the relation $\left[Z_{Y_{j}}, X_{1}\right]=Y_{j}$, see Lemma 3.1.3.

Proof. In [Juh01, Chap. 2 (2.9)] we find a special orthonormal basis $\left\{L_{j}\right\}_{j}$ of $\mathfrak{n}$ which satisfies the requirements of this lemma. Because $M$ acts transitively on the sphere in $\mathfrak{n}$, we can find some $m \in M$ such that $X_{1}=m \cdot L_{1}$. We set $Y_{j}:=m \cdot L_{j}$ which implies $Z_{Y_{j}}=m \cdot Z_{L_{j}}$. But then

$$
\left[X_{1}, \theta Y_{j}\right]=m \cdot\left[L_{1}, \theta L_{j}\right]=m \cdot 2 Z_{L_{j}}=2 Z_{Y_{j}}
$$

and

$$
\left[Y_{j}, Z_{Y_{j}}\right]=\left[m \cdot L_{j}, m \cdot Z_{L_{j}}\right]=m \cdot L_{1}=X_{1}
$$

We take the orthonormal basis $\left\{X_{1}, Y_{j}\right\}_{j}$ of $\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}$ from Lemma 3.3.1. Then we know from Chapter 2.1, equation (2.3), that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega & =H_{1}^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{k} M_{i}^{2}+2 X_{1}^{2}+2 \sum_{j=2}^{l-1} Y_{j}^{2}-2 X_{1} W_{1}-2 \sum_{j=2}^{l-1} Y_{j} W_{j}-2 H_{\rho} \\
& =H_{1}^{2}-2 H_{\rho}-\sum_{i=1}^{k} M_{i}^{2}+2\left(X_{1}^{2}-X_{1} W\right)+2 \sum_{j=2}^{l-1}\left(Y_{j}^{2}-Y_{j} W_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M_{i} \in \mathfrak{m}, H_{1} \in \mathfrak{a}, H_{\rho} \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $W=W_{1}, W_{j} \in \mathfrak{m}^{\perp \mathfrak{k}}$, see Chapter 2.1 for the details.

Let $X^{\prime}=r X_{1} \in \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$, then there exists by Lemma 3.1.5 for any $Y_{j} \in \mathfrak{n}$ some $Z_{Y_{j}} \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ such that

$$
Y_{j}=f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) Z_{Y_{j}}^{\exp -X^{\prime}}-f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) Z_{Y_{j}}
$$

where $Z_{Y_{j}} \cdot X_{1}=Y_{j}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j} Y_{j}^{2}=f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right)^{2} \sum_{j}\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{\exp -X^{\prime}}-Z_{Y_{j}}\right)\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{\exp -X^{\prime}}-Z_{Y_{j}}\right) \\
&=f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right)^{2} \sum_{j}\left(Z_{Y_{j}} Z_{Y_{j}}\right)^{\exp -X}-Z_{Y_{j}}^{\exp -X^{\prime}} Z_{Y_{j}}-Z_{Y_{j}} Z_{Y_{j}}^{\exp -X}+Z_{Y_{j}} Z_{Y_{j}} \\
&=f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right)^{2} \sum_{j}\left(Z_{Y_{j}} Z_{Y_{j}}\right)^{\exp -X^{\prime}}-2 Z_{Y_{j}}^{\exp -X^{\prime}} Z_{Y_{j}}-\left[Z_{Y_{j}}, Z_{Y_{j}}^{\exp -X^{\prime}}\right]+Z_{Y_{j}} Z_{Y_{j}} \\
&=f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right)^{2} \sum_{j}\left(Z_{Y_{j}} Z_{Y_{j}}\right)^{\exp -X^{\prime}}-2 Z_{Y_{j}}^{\exp -X^{\prime}} Z_{Y_{j}}-\left[Z_{Y_{j}}, Z_{Y_{j}}+\left[Z_{Y_{j}}, X^{\prime}\right]\right]+Z_{Y_{j}} Z_{Y_{j}} \\
&=f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right)^{2} \sum_{j}\left(Z_{Y_{j}} Z_{Y_{j}}\right)^{\exp -X^{\prime}}-2 Z_{Y_{j}}^{\exp -X^{\prime}} Z_{Y_{j}}-\left[Z_{Y_{j}}, f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left[Z_{Y_{j}}, X_{1}\right]\right]+Z_{Y_{j}} Z_{Y_{j}} \\
&=f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right)^{2} \sum_{j}\left(Z_{Y_{j}} Z_{Y_{j}}\right)^{\exp -X^{\prime}}-2 Z_{Y_{j}}^{\exp -X^{\prime}} Z_{Y_{j}}-f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left[Z_{Y_{j}}, Y_{j}\right]+Z_{Y_{j}} Z_{Y_{j}} \\
& \text { Lem. 3.3.1 } f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right)^{2} \sum_{j}\left(Z_{Y_{j}} Z_{Y_{j}}\right)^{\exp -X^{\prime}}-2 Z_{Y_{j}}^{\exp -X^{\prime}} Z_{Y_{j}}+f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right)^{-1} X_{1}+Z_{Y_{j}} Z_{Y_{j}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\Omega$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega=\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}\left({\stackrel{\circ}{\left.\perp_{\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}, a \exp X^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)=}}^{H_{1}^{2}-\sum_{i} M_{i}^{2}-2 H_{\rho}+2 X_{1}^{2}+2(l-2) f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) X_{1}-2 X_{1} W}\right. \\
&+2 f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right)^{2} \sum_{j}\left(Z_{Y_{j}} Z_{Y_{j}}\right)^{\exp -X^{\prime}}-2 Z_{Y_{j}}^{\exp -X^{\prime}} Z_{Y_{j}}+Z_{Y_{j}} Z_{Y_{j}} \\
&(3.4) \quad-f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{\exp -X^{\prime}}-Z_{Y_{j}}\right) W_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this we can easily derive the radial part of $\Omega$ by dropping all terms involving elements from $\mathfrak{m}$. Note that $\left(Z_{Y_{i}} Z_{Y_{i}}\right)^{\exp -X^{\prime}}$ operates from the left, i.e. it vanishes if the function is left- $M$-invariant. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\Omega)=H_{1}^{2}-2 H_{\rho}+2 X_{1}^{2}+2(n-2) f \cdot X_{1}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{r}$ for $X^{\prime}=r X_{1} \in \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$.

### 3.4. Restrictions of bi- $M$-invariant functions

Here we want to settle the question what happens if we restrict functions which are bi- $M$-invariant to the section $\mathfrak{s}$.

Lemma 3.4.1. Let $\mathfrak{s}$ be a section for $M$ acting on $\mathfrak{n}$, $F$ a fundamental domain for $W=N_{M}(\mathfrak{s}) / Z_{M}(\mathfrak{s})$ acting on $\mathfrak{s}$. Then one can choose a slice $F^{\prime} \subset F$ consisting of regular elements which intersects every regular orbit. The mapping $\phi: M / Z_{M}(\mathfrak{s}) \times$ $F^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}^{\prime}$, where $\mathfrak{n}^{\prime}$ is the subset of regular points in $\mathfrak{n}$ (always relative to the action of $M$ ), is a diffeomorphism.

Proof. By definition the map is bijective. For $m_{0} \in M$ and $X_{0} \in F^{\prime}$ the differential computes to

$$
d \phi_{\left(m_{0}, X_{0}\right)}\left(d \tau\left(m_{0}\right) Z, T\right)=\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{0}\right)\left(\left[Z, X_{0}\right]+T\right)
$$

where $Z$ is an element of the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{z m}(\mathfrak{s})$ in $\mathfrak{m}, T \in \mathfrak{s}$ and $\tau(x)$ is the mapping $m Z_{M}(\mathfrak{s}) \mapsto x m Z_{M}(\mathfrak{s})$ from $M / Z_{M}(\mathfrak{s})$ onto itself.

Now

$$
\left\langle Z \cdot X_{0}, T\right\rangle=0
$$

since the action is polar. Hence, the differential vanishes iff $\left[Z, X_{0}\right]=T=0$. But $\left[Z, X_{0}\right]=0$ implies that

$$
\left.Z \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(H_{0}\right)\right)=\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{s}),
$$

since $X_{0}$ is regular. Thus, $Z=0$.
Corollary 3.4.2. The restriction map from $C^{\infty}\left(\mathfrak{n}^{\prime}\right)^{M} \rightarrow C^{\infty}\left(\mathfrak{s}^{\prime}\right)^{W}$ is an isomorphism.

REmark 3.4.3. Due to the structure of (non exceptional) rank one symmetric spaces most of the theory of this chapter is also applicable to any semisimple, rank one group $G$ coming from the 3 non exceptional series and a subgroup $M^{\prime}$ of $M$ acting polarly on $\mathfrak{n}$. Examples for $M^{\prime}$ are centralizer $M_{m}, m \in M$. The only case when such centralizers do not act polarly on $\mathfrak{n}$, is when $G=S U(1, n)$ and $M_{m}$ is a maximal torus in $S U(1, n)$.

## CHAPTER 4

## Some differential equations of hypergeometric type

In this chapter we consider a special differential equation coming from the Casimir operator. We make use of the theory of radial parts for the decomposition $S O_{o}(1, l)=G=M(A \exp \mathfrak{s}) K$ from Chapter 3 and consider only functions satisfying a certain equivariance property. We show then that the differential equation resembles a hypergeometric equation and we determine the space of solutions thereof.

### 4.1. Differential equations for $M$-equivariant functions on a slice

Let $\left(\pi, V_{\pi}\right)$ be an irreducible representation of $M$ on $V_{\pi}$ and $F \in C^{\infty}\left(X \times_{M} \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\pi}\right)\right)$, where
$C^{\infty}\left(X \times_{M} \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\pi}\right)\right):=\left\{F \in C^{\infty}\left(X, \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\pi}\right)\right): F(m \cdot x)=\pi(m) F(x)\right.$ f.a. $m \in M$ and $\left.x \in X\right\}$.
Here we define the action of $M$ on $X=G / K$ by $m \cdot x=m x$. We can also identify $X$ with $A N, x K=a n K \mapsto a n \cdot o \mapsto a n, o=K \in G / K$, via the Iwasawa decomposition. The action of $M$ on $X$ is then given by

$$
m \cdot x=m x=m a n K=a m n K=a\left(m n m^{-1}\right) K
$$

for $x=a n=a n K$. We can also define an action of $M$ on $G$ via left translation

$$
m * g:=m g .
$$

Let pr : $G \rightarrow X=G / K=A N$ be the canonical projection associated to the Iwasawa decomposition $G=A N K$ which maps $g=a n k$ to $a n K=a n$. Then we have the following commutative diagram

because
$\operatorname{pr}(m * g)=\operatorname{pr}(m * a n k)=\operatorname{pr}(m a n k)=\operatorname{pr}\left(a m n m^{-1} m k\right)=a m n m^{-1} K=m \cdot a n K=m \cdot \operatorname{pr}(g)$.
Furthermore, we fix a slice $S \subset N$ for the action of $M$ on $N$. We can assume that $S=\exp \mathbb{R}^{+} X_{1}$, where $X_{1} \in \mathfrak{n} \cong \mathbb{R}^{l-1}$ is of unit length with respect to $B_{\theta}(.,$.$) .$ We set $\mathfrak{s}:=\mathbb{R} X_{1}$. We fix an orthonormal basis $\left\{X_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{l-1}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{n}$ according to Lemma 3.3.1. Then we compute for a differential operator $Z \in \mathfrak{m}, X^{\prime}=s X_{1}$ and for any $F: G \rightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\pi}\right)$ smooth with $F(m g)=\pi(m) F(g)$ for all $m \in M, g \in G$

$$
\begin{align*}
Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}} F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) & =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} F\left(\exp s X_{1} \exp t Z^{\exp -s X_{1}}\right) \\
& =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} F\left(\exp t Z \exp s X_{1}\right) \\
& =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \pi(\exp t Z) F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) \\
& =\pi(Z) F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) . \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

We assume in addition that $F$ solves the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega F+\mu F=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we use the expression for $\Omega$ from equation (3.4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega= & H_{1}^{2}-2 H_{\rho}+2 X_{1}^{2}+2(l-2) f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right) X_{1}+2 f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right)^{2} \sum_{j}\left(\left(Z_{Y_{j}} Z_{Y_{j}}\right)^{\exp -X^{\prime}}\right) \\
& -2 X_{1} W-\sum_{i} M_{i}^{2}-\sum_{j} 2 Z_{Y_{j}}^{\exp -X^{\prime}}+Z_{Y_{j}} Z_{Y_{j}}-f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{\exp -X^{\prime}}-Z_{Y_{j}}\right) W_{j},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is valid for any $X^{\prime}=s X_{1}, s \neq 0$. Here $f\left(\exp X^{\prime}\right)=f\left(\exp s X_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{s}$, $W, W_{j} \in \mathfrak{m}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{k}}}$ and $Z_{Y_{j}} \in \mathfrak{z z m}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ as in Lemma 3.3.1. Hence, $\Omega$ can be written modulo $U(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{k}$ for any $X^{\prime}=s X_{1}, s \neq 0$, in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}^{2}-2 H_{\rho}+2 X_{1}^{2}+2 \frac{l-2}{s} X_{1}+\frac{2}{s^{2}} \sum_{j}\left(Z_{Y_{j}} Z_{Y_{j}}\right)^{\exp -X^{\prime}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call this the polar coordinate form of $\Omega$. Let $\bar{F}$ be the restriction of $F$ to $S \cdot o \subset X$, where $o=K$ and $\tilde{F}$ the lift of $F$ to a function $\tilde{F}: G \rightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\pi}\right)$. Then it follows from (4.3) that for $s>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\Omega F)\left(\exp s X_{1} \cdot o\right)= & {\left[\left(H_{1}-2 H_{\rho}+2 X_{1}^{2}+\frac{2(l-2)}{s} X_{1}\right) \bar{F}\right]\left(\exp s X_{1} \cdot o\right) } \\
& +\left[\left(\frac{2}{s^{2}} \operatorname{Ad}\left(\exp -s X_{1}\right) \sum_{j} Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right) \tilde{F}\right]\left(\exp s X_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (4.1) that

$$
\left(\operatorname{Ad}\left(\exp -s X_{1}\right) \sum_{j} Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right) \tilde{F}\left(\exp s X_{1}\right)=\sum_{j} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right) \tilde{F}\left(\exp s X_{1}\right)
$$

Hence, the restriction $\bar{F}$ of $F$ to $S \cdot o$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{1}^{2}-2 H_{\rho}+2 X^{2}+2 \frac{l-2}{s} X_{1}+\frac{2}{s^{2}} \sum_{j} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right)+\mu\right) \bar{F}=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$s \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Let

$$
Z_{M}(S):=\left\{m \in M: m \cdot \exp s X_{1}=\exp s X_{1} \text { for all } s \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

Since pr : $G \rightarrow X=A N$ is the identity when restricted to $S \subset N$ and by the commutative diagram from above, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{M}(S) & =\left\{m \in M: m \cdot \exp s X_{1}=\exp s X_{1} \text { for all } s \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \\
& =\left\{m \in M: \exp s X_{1} m=m \exp s X_{1} \text { for all } s \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $Z_{M}(S)$ also equals the centralizer of $\exp X_{1}$ in $M$.
Lemma 4.1.1. For any $\left(\pi, V_{\pi}\right) \in \widehat{M}$ we have that $\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}\right)$ is either 0 or 1.

Proof. This follows since $\left(M, Z_{M}(S)\right), M \cong S O(l-1), Z_{M}(S) \cong S O(l-2)$, is a Gelfand pair. Hence for any irreducible $\pi$, the space of vectors fixed under $Z_{M}(S)$ is at most one dimensional.

Lemma 4.1.2. Assume $V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}$ is spanned by $v$. The restriction $\bar{F}$ of $F$ to $S \cdot o$ maps $V_{\pi}$ to $V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}$

Proof. This is a consequence of $F(m \cdot x)=\pi(m) F(x)$ for any $m \in M, x \in X$. Then for any $v \in V_{\pi}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi(m) F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) v & =F\left(m \cdot \exp s X_{1}\right) v \\
& =F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) v
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m \in Z_{M}(S)$. This means that $F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) v$ is $Z_{M}(S)$-invariant.

LEmma 4.1.3. $\sum_{j} Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}$ is $Z_{M}(S)$-invariant and $\left.\sum_{j} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right)\right|_{V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}}$ is negative semidefinite for any $\left(\pi, V_{\pi}\right) \in \widehat{M}$.

By Lemma 4.1.1 we can hence identify the operator $\left.\sum_{j} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right)\right|_{V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}} \in \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}\right)$ with a nonnegative number.

Proof. For the first claim we use the orthogonal decomposition

$$
\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(S) \oplus \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(S)^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}} .
$$

Recall the relations from Lemma 3.3.1 on $X_{1}, Y_{j}, Z_{Y_{j}}$. We compute for $Y_{k} \neq Y_{l}$ from $\left\{Y_{j}\right\}_{j}$

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}
0=-B_{\theta}\left(Y_{k}, Y_{l}\right) & = & B\left(\left[Z_{Y_{k}}, X_{1}\right], \theta Y_{l}\right) \\
& = & B\left(Z_{Y_{k}},\left[X_{1}, \theta Y_{l}\right]\right) \\
& \stackrel{\text { Lem. }}{=} \text { 3.3.1 } & 2 B\left(Z_{Y_{k}}, Z_{Y_{l}}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

By the same computation $B\left(Z_{Y_{j}}, Z_{Y_{j}}\right)=1 / 2$. That is, $\left\{Z_{Y_{j}}\right\}_{j}$ is an orthogonal set and $\left|Z_{Y_{j}}\right|^{2}=1 / 2$. Since the dimension of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(S)^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ equals the dimension of $\mathfrak{s}^{\perp_{\mathfrak{n}}}$, see Lemma 3.1.3, it follows that the set $\left\{\sqrt{2} Z_{Y_{j}}\right\}_{j}$ forms an orthonormal basis for $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(S)^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}}$. If $\left\{K_{i}\right\}_{i}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{z m}(S)$, then $2 \sum_{j} Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}$ can be written as the difference

$$
2 \sum_{j} Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}=\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}-\sum_{i} K_{i}^{2}
$$

of two $Z_{M}(S)$-invariant operators, where $\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the Casimir of $U(\mathfrak{m})$.
The second claim is clear, if $V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}=0$. Otherwise we fix some representation $\left(\pi, V_{\pi}\right)$ with $V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)} \neq 0$. Since $\sum_{j} Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}$ is $Z_{M}(S)$-invariant, this implies that $\sum_{j} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right)$ maps $V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}$ into itself. Further, $\pi$ defines also a representation of $\mathfrak{m}$ by differentiating. Since $\pi$ is unitary, i.e. there is some preserved inner product on $V_{\pi}$, the mapping $\pi(Z)$ is skew-symmetric for any $Z$ in $\mathfrak{m}$. Thus,

$$
\operatorname{spec}(\pi(Z)) \subset i \mathbb{R} \text { resp. } \operatorname{spec}\left(\pi\left(Z^{2}\right)\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{-}
$$

for any $Z$ in $\mathfrak{m}$.
Thus, we see that $\sum_{j} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right)$, just as $F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right)$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, maps $V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}$ into itself. Next, we define the action of $X_{1}$ resp. for $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ on $C^{\infty}\left(X \times_{M} V_{\pi}\right)$. While the action of $X_{1}$ on $\exp \mathbb{R} X_{1}$ is standard, i.e. by translation, we define an action of $A$ on $N$ by $a \cdot n=a^{-1} n a$. This really defines an action, since $A$ is commutative. Indeed,

$$
a_{1} a_{2} \cdot n=a_{2} a_{1} \cdot n=a_{1}^{-1} a_{2}^{-1} n a_{2} a_{1}=a_{1} \cdot\left(a_{2} \cdot n\right)
$$

Furthermore,

$$
a^{-1} \exp \left(X_{1}\right) a=\exp (-t H) \exp \left(X_{1}\right) \exp (t H)=\exp \left(e^{-t \alpha(H)} X_{1}\right) \in \exp \mathbb{R} X_{1}
$$

Thus, we see that the action of $A$ on $N$ restricts to an action on $\exp \mathbb{R} X_{1}$. The reason for defining the action of $A$ in this non-standard way is that we consider functions on $G$ which are right- $K$ - and left- $A$-invariant, i.e. we will consider functions on $N$ using the Iwasawa decomposition $G=A N K$. In this way the action of $A$ on $N$ is compatible with the action of vectorfields $V \in \mathfrak{g}$ on $C^{\infty}(G)$, i.e. for $n \in N, H \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(A \backslash G / K)$

$$
H f(n)=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} f(n \exp t H)=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} f(\exp -t H n \exp t H)=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} f(\exp t H \cdot n) .
$$

Next we determine how the vector fields occurring in (4.4) act on functions of $C^{\infty}\left(X, \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\pi}\right)\right)$.

Lemma 4.1.4. Identifying $\exp \mathbb{R} X_{1}$ with $\mathbb{R}$, the vectorfield $X_{1}$ corresponds to $\frac{d}{d s}$ while $H_{\rho}$ is $-\alpha\left(H_{\rho}\right) s \frac{d}{d s}$ and $H_{1}^{2}$ acts as $\alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2}\left(s^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d s^{2}}+s \frac{d}{d s}\right)$.

Proof. Let $F \in C^{\infty}\left(X \times_{M} V_{\pi}\right)$. We have for any $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(H F)\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) & =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} F\left(\exp -t H \exp s X_{1} \exp t H\right) \\
& =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} F\left(\exp s X^{\exp -t H}\right) \\
& =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} F\left(\exp \left(\operatorname{Ad}(\exp -t H) s X_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} F\left(\exp \left(\exp -t \operatorname{tad}(H) s X_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} F\left(\exp e^{-\alpha(H) t} s X_{1}\right) \\
\text { Identification } & \left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} F\left(e^{-\alpha(H) t} s\right) \\
& =-\alpha(H) s F^{\prime}(s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(H^{2} F\right)\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) & =\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0} F\left(\exp -t H \exp s X_{1} \exp t H\right) \\
& =\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0} F\left(\exp e^{-t \alpha(H)} s X_{1}\right) \\
\text { Identification } & \left.\frac{d^{2}}{=}\right|_{t=0} F\left(e^{-t \alpha(H)} s\right) \\
& =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0}-\alpha(H) s F^{\prime}\left(e^{-t \alpha(H)} s\right) \\
& =\quad \alpha(H)^{2}\left(s F^{\prime}(s)+s^{2} F^{\prime \prime}(s)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F(s):=F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right)$.
We apply equation (4.4) to $v$ and get

$$
\left(H_{1}^{2}-2 H_{\rho}+2 X_{1}^{2}+2 \frac{l-2}{s} X_{1}+\left.\frac{2}{s^{2}} \sum_{j} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right)\right|_{V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}}+\mu\right) F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) v=0
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\left(\alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2} s^{2}+2\right) \frac{d^{2}}{d s^{2}}+\left(\left(\alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2}+2 \alpha\left(H_{\rho}\right)\right) s+2 \frac{l-2}{s}\right) \frac{d}{d s}\right. \\
\left.+\left.\frac{2}{s^{2}} \sum_{j} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right)\right|_{V_{\pi}} ^{Z_{M}(s)}+\mu\right) F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) v=0 \tag{4.5}
\end{array}
$$

Let $V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)} \neq\{0\}$. As $\left.F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right)\right|_{V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}}$ and $\left.\sum_{j} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right)\right|_{V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}}$ are elements of $\operatorname{End}\left(V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}\right)$ which we identify with $\mathbb{C}$ we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\left(\alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2} s^{2}+2\right) \frac{d^{2}}{d s^{2}}+\right. & \left(\left(\alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2}+2 \alpha\left(H_{\rho}\right)\right) s+2 \frac{l-2}{s}\right) \frac{d}{d s} \\
& \left.+\left.\frac{2}{s^{2}} \sum_{j} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right)\right|_{V_{\pi}^{Z}} ^{Z_{M}(s)}+\mu\right) F(s)=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

with the convention $F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) v=: F(s) v$. We view (4.6) as an ordinary differential equation for a scalar valued function.

Lemma 4.1.5. Let $F \in C^{\infty}\left(G \times{ }_{M} V_{\pi}\right)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(F(s))=\langle F(s) v, v\rangle_{V_{\pi}}
$$

where $v \in V_{\pi}$ spans $V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}$.
Proof. Let $v, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{d_{\pi}}$ be an orthonormal basis of $V_{\pi}$ w.r.t. the inner product $\langle\cdot,,\rangle_{V_{\pi}}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right)\right)=\left\langle F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) v, v\right\rangle_{V_{\pi}}+\sum_{i=2}^{d_{\pi}}\left\langle F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) v_{i}, v_{i}\right\rangle_{V_{\pi}}
$$

By Lemma 4.1.2, $F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) v_{i} \in V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}=\mathbb{C} v$, hence $\left\langle F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) v_{i}, v_{i}\right\rangle_{V_{\pi}}=$ 0 for all $i$.

Let $M$ act on $C^{\infty}(X)$ by the left regular representation, i.e. $m \cdot f(x)=f\left(m^{-1} x\right)$ for $m \in M$ and $x \in X$. We call $f \in C^{\infty}(X) M$-finite of type $\pi \in \widehat{M}$, if the left regular representation of $M$ restricted to $\operatorname{span}\{M \cdot f\}$ decomposes into finitely many copies of $\pi$. We set for $\pi \in \widehat{M}$

$$
C^{\infty}(X)_{\pi}:=\left\{f \in C^{\infty}(X): f M \text {-finite of type } \pi\right\}
$$

For $\pi \in \widehat{M}$ we denote its contragradient representation by $\check{\pi}$.
LEmma 4.1.6. For any $M$-finite function $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$ of type $\check{\pi} \in \widehat{M}$ we define

$$
f^{\pi}(x):=d_{\pi} \int_{M} f(m \cdot x) \pi\left(m^{-1}\right) d m
$$

where $d_{\pi}=\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{\pi}\right)$. Then
a) $f^{\pi} \in C^{\infty}\left(X \times_{M} V_{\pi}\right)$,
b) $f(x)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(f^{\pi}(x)\right)$ for all $x \in X$,
c) $f\left(\exp s X_{1}\right)=\left\langle F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) v, v\right\rangle_{V_{\pi}}$, in particular $\left.f\right|_{S}=0$, if $V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}=\{0\}$.
d) There exist finitely many slices $S_{i}$ for $M$ acting on $N$ such that the restriction to $N$ of $\left.f\right|_{N}$ vanishes iff all its restrictions $\left.f\right|_{S_{i}}$ vanish. ${ }^{1}$

Proof. Claim $a$ ) follows from the computation
$f^{\pi}\left(m^{\prime} \cdot x\right)=d_{\pi} \int_{M} f\left(m m^{\prime} \cdot x\right) \pi\left(m^{-1}\right) d m=d_{\pi} \int_{M} f(m \cdot x) \pi\left(m^{\prime} m^{-1}\right) d m=\pi(m) f^{\pi}(x)$.
From [Hel01, Ch. IV Lem. 1.7] it follows that the mapping from $C(X)$ to $C(X)_{\check{\pi}}$ given by convolution with $d_{\pi} \chi_{\pi}:=d_{\pi} \operatorname{Tr}(\pi)$

$$
C(X) \rightarrow C(X)_{\check{\pi}}, f \mapsto d_{\pi} \chi_{\pi} * f
$$

[^5]where $\chi_{\pi} * f(x):=\int_{M} f(m \cdot x) \chi_{\pi}\left(m^{-1}\right) d m$, is a continuous projection. If $f$ is already $M$-finite of type $\check{\pi}$, then
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =d_{\pi} \chi_{\pi} * f(x) \\
& =d_{\pi} \int_{M} f(m \cdot x) \chi_{\pi}\left(m^{-1}\right) d m \\
& =d_{\pi} \int_{M} f(m \cdot x) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\pi\left(m^{-1}\right)\right) d m \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(f^{\pi}(x)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Claim $c$ ) is a direct consequence of $b$ ) and Lemma 4.1.5. For $d$ ) let $n \in N$. Then there is some $m \in M$ and $s \geq 0$ such that $n=m \cdot s$. This implies

$$
f(n)=f(m \cdot s)=m^{-1} \cdot f(s)
$$

We fix a basis $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{d}$ of $\operatorname{span}\{M \cdot f\}$. We can assume that $f_{1}=f$ and $f_{j}=m_{j} \cdot f$ for some $m_{j} \in M, j=2, \ldots, d$. We set $S_{j}:=m_{j}^{-1} \cdot S$, then $f_{j}(s)=m_{j} \cdot f(s)=f\left(m_{j}^{-1} s\right)$, i.e. $\left.f_{j}\right|_{S}=f_{S_{j}}$. Since $\{M \cdot f\} \subset \operatorname{span}\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{d}\right\}$ the claim follows.

We go back to equation (4.6). The application we have in mind is the following. Let $f \in C^{\infty}(X)_{\tilde{\pi}}$, then $f^{\pi} \in C^{\infty}\left(X \times_{M} V_{\pi}\right)$ and with the convention $f^{\pi}\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) v=: f^{\pi}(s) v, s \geq 0$, it follows that

$$
f^{\pi}(s)=\left\langle f^{\pi}\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) v, v\right\rangle_{V_{\pi}}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(f^{\pi}\left(\exp s X_{1}\right)\right)=f\left(\exp s X_{1}\right)
$$

Thus, if $f$ satisfies $\Omega f=\mu f$, then by dominated convergence $\Omega f^{\pi}=\mu f^{\pi}$ and the restriction $\left.f\right|_{S}$ satisfies equation (4.6).

We note that if $\left.\sum_{j} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right)\right|_{V_{\pi}^{Z} Z_{M}(S)}=0$, in particular for $\pi=\mathbf{1}$ the trivial representation, this can be transformed to a well-known hypergeometric differential operator, i.e. $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by some hypergeometric function as we will see later. More precisely, we will solve equation (4.6) in the next section.

Let us summarize the results of this section.
Theorem 4.1.7. Let $G=S O_{o}(1, l)$ and $\left(\pi, V_{\pi}\right) \in \widehat{M}$. Furthermore, let $F \in$ $C^{\infty}\left(X \times_{M} V_{\pi}\right)$ with $\Omega F=\mu F$ and $\exp \mathbb{R} X_{1}$ a section for $M$ acting on $N$. We can assume that $V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}=\mathbb{C} v$, where $v \in V_{\pi}$ is of unit length or 0 .
a) If we define $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $F(s) v:=F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right)$ v for $v \neq 0$ or $F(s):=0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ otherwise, then $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies equation (4.6) on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$.
b) For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(s) & =\langle F(s) v, v\rangle_{V_{\pi}} \\
& =\left\langle F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) v, v\right\rangle_{V_{\pi}} \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $F(x)=f^{\pi}(x)=d_{\pi} \int_{M} f(m \cdot x) \pi\left(m^{-1}\right) d m$ for some $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$, then also

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(s) & =d_{\pi} \int_{M} f(m \cdot s) \chi_{\pi}\left(m^{-1}\right) d m \\
& =d_{\pi}\left(\chi_{\pi} * f\right)\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) \\
& =d_{\pi}^{2}\left(\chi_{\pi} *\left(\chi_{\pi} * f\right)\right)\left(\exp s X_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.2. Differential equations of hypergeometric type

We continue with working on equation (4.6) and make the substitution $s^{2}=u$. The differentials transform according to

$$
s \frac{d}{d s}=2 u \frac{d}{d u} \text { resp. } \frac{1}{s} \frac{d}{d s}=2 \frac{d}{d u} \text { and } \frac{d^{2}}{d s^{2}}=4 u \frac{d^{2}}{d u^{2}}+2 \frac{d}{d u} .
$$

Thus, we obtain the new equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(4 \alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2} u^{2}+8 u\right) \frac{d^{2}}{d u^{2}}+\right. & \left(\left(4 \alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2}+4 \alpha\left(H_{\rho}\right)\right) u+4(l-1)\right) \frac{d}{d u} \\
& \left.+\left.\frac{2}{u} \sum_{j} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right)\right|_{V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}}+\mu\right) F(w)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we divide by the positive scalar $4 \alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2}$ to obtain
$\left(u\left(u+k_{1}\right) \frac{d^{2}}{d u^{2}}+\left(\left(1+k_{2}\right) u+k_{3}\right) \frac{d}{d u}+\left.\frac{k_{4}}{u} \sum_{j} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right)\right|_{V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}}+k_{5}\right) F(w)=0$,
where we set $k_{1}=\frac{2}{\alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2}}, k_{2}=\frac{\alpha\left(H_{\rho}\right)}{\alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2}}, k_{3}=\frac{l-1}{\alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2}}, k_{4}=\frac{1}{2 \alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2}}$ and $k_{5}=\frac{\mu}{4 \alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2}}$.
Then obviously $k_{1}, k_{4}$ and $k_{3} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$but also $k_{2}$ is positive, since $H_{\rho}$ lies in the positive Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{a}^{+}$of $\mathfrak{a}$. The (regular) singularities of this ordinary differential equation are $0,-k_{1}$ and $\infty$.

Now we substitute $u \mapsto w=-\frac{u}{k_{1}}$, i.e. $u=-k_{1} w$,

$$
\frac{d}{d u}=-\frac{1}{k_{1}} \frac{d}{d w} \text { and } \frac{d^{2}}{d u^{2}}=\frac{1}{k_{1}^{2}} \frac{d^{2}}{d w^{2}}
$$

We get the new equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\left(-k_{1} w\right)\left(\left(-k_{1} w\right)+k_{1}\right) \frac{1}{k_{1}^{2}} \frac{d^{2}}{d w^{2}}+\left(\left(1+k_{2}\right)\left(-k_{1} w\right)+k_{3}\right) \frac{1}{\left(-k_{1}\right)} \frac{d}{d w}\right. \\
&\left.-\left.\frac{k_{4}}{k_{1} w} \sum_{j} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right)\right|_{V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}}+k_{5}\right) F(w)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

After multiplying with $(-1)$ we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(w(1-w) \frac{d^{2}}{d w^{2}}+\left(\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}-\left(1+k_{2}\right) w\right) \frac{d}{d w}+\left.\frac{k_{4}}{k_{1} w} \sum_{i} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{i}}^{2}\right)\right|_{V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}}-k_{5}\right) F(w)=0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call this is an ordinary differential equation of hypergeometric type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x(1-x) \frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}+[c-(a+b+1) x] \frac{d y}{d x}-a b y+\frac{d}{x}\right) F(x)=0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with (regular) singularities at 0,1 and $\infty$. Here $c=\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}=\frac{l-1}{2}, a+b=k_{2}=-\frac{\alpha\left(H_{\rho}\right)}{\alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2}}$, $a b=k_{5}$ and $d=\left.\frac{k_{4}}{k_{1}} \sum_{j} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{i}}^{2}\right)\right|_{V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}} \leq 0$.

We recall that the dimension of $N$ is $l-1$. Then $\rho=\frac{l-1}{2} \alpha$ and the CartanKilling on $\mathfrak{a}$ form is given by, see [GV88, (4.2.10)]

$$
B(H, H)=2(l-1) \alpha(H)^{2}
$$

We also defined $H_{0} \in \mathfrak{a}^{+}$such that $H_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(H_{0}\right)=1 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
B\left(H_{0}, H_{0}\right)=2(l-1)
$$

and

$$
H_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2(l-1)} H_{0}
$$

Furthermore, we can assume that $H_{1}$ with $B\left(H_{1}, H_{1}\right)=1$ lies in the positive Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{a}^{+}$. That is,

$$
H_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(l-1)}} H_{0}
$$

and

$$
H_{\rho}=\frac{1}{4} H_{0} .
$$

For all these facts see [GV88, p.135].
Now

$$
a+b=k_{2}=\frac{\alpha\left(H_{\rho}\right)}{\alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\frac{1}{4} \alpha\left(H_{0}\right)}{\frac{1}{2(l-1)} \alpha\left(H_{0}\right)^{2}}=\frac{(l-1)}{2}=\rho_{0}
$$

and

$$
a \cdot b=k_{4}=\frac{\mu}{4 \alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\mu(l-1)}{2 \alpha\left(H_{0}\right)^{2}}=-\frac{\mu(l-1)}{2}=\mu \rho_{0} .
$$

Let us assume that the eigenvalue is given by

$$
\mu=\frac{1}{4}\left(\rho_{0}+\frac{r^{2}}{\rho_{0}}\right)
$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $a=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}+i r\right)$ and $b=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}-i r\right)$ solves the equations for $a+b$ and $a \cdot b$, where $\rho_{0}=\rho\left(H_{0}\right)=\frac{l-1}{2}$.
4.3. Solutions to $\left(x(1-x) \frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}+[c-(a+b+1) x] \frac{d y}{d x}-a b y+\frac{d}{x}\right) f(x)=0$

Motivated by the chapter in [Yos97, III, 2,3] on solutions to the hypergeometric equation we define $D=x \frac{d}{d x}$. Then it can be shown that the differential operator

$$
E(a, b, c):=\left(x(1-x) \frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}+[c-(a+b+1) x] \frac{d y}{d x}-a b y\right)
$$

can be factorized as

$$
E(a, b, c)=\left((c+D)(1+D) \frac{1}{x}-(a+D)(b+D)\right)
$$

see [Yos97, p.61]. Thus we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(a, b, c, d) & :=x(1-x) \frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}+[c-(a+b+1) x] \frac{d y}{d x}-a b y+\frac{d}{x} \\
& =E(a, b, c)+\frac{d}{x} \\
& =((c+D)(1+D)+d) \frac{1}{x}-(a+D)(b+D)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(x^{p} u(x)\right)=x^{p}(p+D) u(x), \text { i.e. } D x^{p}=x^{p}(p+D), \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

if we view $x^{p}$ as an operator $u \mapsto x^{p} u$ for functions $u=u(x)$. Hence also

$$
D^{2} x^{p}=x^{p}(p+D)^{2} .
$$

We now claim that

$$
x^{p_{1}}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p_{1}, b+p_{1}, 1+p_{1}-p_{2} ; x\right)
$$

$$
\text { 4.3. SOLUTIONS TO }\left(x(1-x) \frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}+[c-(a+b+1) x] \frac{d y}{d x}-a b y+\frac{d}{x}\right) f(x)=0
$$

and

$$
x^{p_{2}}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p_{2}, b+p_{2}, 1+p_{2}-p_{1} ; x\right)
$$

both solve

$$
F(a, b, c, d) f(x)=0
$$

where in turn $p_{1,2}$ solve the indicial equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{2}+(c-1) p+d=0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we compute what happens if we apply $F(a, b, c, d)$ to functions of the form $x^{p} g(x)$. Again we omit the function $g(x)$ viewing $x^{p}$ as the operator $g \mapsto x^{p} g$ to keep formulas simple. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(a, b, c, d) x^{p} & =\left[[(c+D)(1+D)+d] \frac{1}{x}-(a+D)(b+D)\right] x^{p} \\
& =\left[c+d+(c+1) D+D^{2}\right] x^{p-1}-\left[\left(a b+(a+b) D+D^{2}\right)\right] x^{p} \\
& =x^{p-1}\left[c+d+(c+1)(p-1+D)+(p-1+D)^{2}\right]-x^{p}\left[a b+(a+b)(p+D)+\left(p+D^{2}\right)\right] \\
& =x^{p}\left[x^{-1}\left(c+d+(c+1)(p-1+D)+(p-1+D)^{2}\right)\right]-x^{p}[(a+p+D)(b+p+D)] \\
& =x^{p}\left[x^{-1}\left(p^{2}+(c-1) p+d+(c-1+2 p) D+D^{2}\right)\right]-x^{p}[(a+p+D)(b+p+D)] \\
& =:(*) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To get this into the desired form we must therefore have

$$
p^{2}+(c-1) p+d=0
$$

i.e.

$$
p_{1,2}=\frac{1-c}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{1-c}{2}\right)^{2}-d} \text { and } p_{1}-p_{2}=2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{1-c}{2}\right)^{2}-d}
$$

It follows that

$$
c-1+2 p_{1,2}= \pm 2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{1-c}{2}\right)^{2}-d}= \pm\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{-1}\left(p_{1,2}^{2}+(c-1) p_{1,2}+d+\left(c-1+2 p_{1,2}\right) D+D^{2}\right) \\
= & x^{-1}\left( \pm\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right) D+D^{2}\right) \\
= & x^{-1}\left(-1+1 \pm\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right) D+D^{2}\right) \\
= & x^{-1}\left(1 \pm\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)-\left(2 \pm\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)\right)+\left(2 \pm\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)\right) D+1-2 D+D^{2}\right) \\
= & x^{-1}\left(1 \pm\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)+\left(2 \pm\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)\right)(-1+D)+(-1+D)^{2}\right) \\
\stackrel{(4.10)}{=} & \left(1 \pm\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)+\left(2 \pm\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)\right) D+D^{2}\right) x^{-1} \\
= & (1+D)\left(1 \pm\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)+D\right) \frac{1}{x},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(*) & =x^{p_{1,2}}\left[(1+D)\left(1 \pm\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)+D\right) \frac{1}{x}-(a+p+D)(b+p+D)\right] \\
& =x^{p_{1,2}} E\left(a+p_{1,2}, b+p_{1,2}, 1 \pm\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have shown

$$
F(a, b, c, d)\left[x^{p_{1,2}} g(x)\right]=x^{p_{1,2}} E\left(a+p_{1,2}, b+p_{1,2}, 1 \pm\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)\right) g(x)
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(a, b, c, d)\left[x^{p_{1,2}}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p_{1 / 2}, b+p_{1 / 2}, 1 \pm\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)\right)\right] \\
= & x^{p_{1,2}} E\left(a+p_{1,2}, b+p_{1,2}, 1 \pm\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)\right)_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p_{1 / 2}, b+p_{1 / 2}, 1 \pm\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)\right) \\
= & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as we claimed.
Another point is which solutions are smooth at the origin. We are only interested in solutions which are smooth everywhere, in particular at the origin. While $x^{p_{1}}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p_{1}, b+p_{2}, 1+p_{1}-p_{2}\right)$ is always defined as $p_{1} \geq 0$, the second solution $x^{p_{2}}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p_{1}, b+p_{2}, 1-p_{1}+p_{2}\right)$ is only defined even for $x \neq 0$ if $p_{2}-p_{1} \neq-1,-2,-3, \ldots$. Finally, $x^{p_{1,2}}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p_{1 / 2}, b+p_{1 / 2}, 1 \pm\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)\right)$ is smooth at the origin iff $p_{1,2} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

It remains to consider when the two solutions are linearly independent, i.e. span the space of solution to $F(a, b, c, d) f=0$. We will come to this in the next section.
4.3.1. Application of Frobenius method. Since the second solution

$$
x^{p_{2}}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p_{1}, b+p_{2}, 1-p_{1}+p_{2}\right)
$$

is not defined for special $p_{2}$ we shortly explain another method for finding two linearly independent solutions of (4.6) which has the advantage of covering all cases but is less explicit. It is called the Frobenius method and we follow Chapter 6 in [Mil]. Let us consider the second order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d z^{2}}+P(z) \frac{d y}{d z}+Q(z) y=0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the punctured disc $D^{*}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: 0<|z|<1\}$, where $P$ has a pole of at most order 1 at 0 and $Q$ of order 2 . Let

$$
z P(z)=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_{r} z^{r}
$$

and

$$
z^{2} Q(z)=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} b_{s} z^{s}
$$

be the Taylor series in $D$. Then we make the ansatz for a formal solution

$$
y(p, z)=z^{p} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} c_{t} z^{t}
$$

The coefficients can now be determined by putting $y(p, z)$ into (4.12). If

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(p):=p(p-1)+p a_{0}+b_{0} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

denotes the indicial equation, one can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{t}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{t}\left((t-k+p) a_{k}+b_{k}\right) c_{t-k}}{f(p+t)} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now $r$ and $s, s<r$, be the roots of (4.13). Since $r+\mathbb{N}$ does not contain another root the succeeding coefficients can be calculated recursively starting with $c_{0}=1$. Thus we obtain a first solution to (4.12) $y(r, z)=z^{r} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} c_{t} z^{t}$. If $r-s$ is not an integer we also find a second solution $y(s, z)$ which is linearly independent from the first. If on the other hand $r-s \in \mathbb{N}$, then one can construct another solution independent from $y(r, z)$ but having a logarithmic singularity at $z=0$.

$$
\text { 4.3. SOLUTIONS TO }\left(x(1-x) \frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}+[c-(a+b+1) x] \frac{d y}{d x}-a b y+\frac{d}{x}\right) f(x)=0
$$

Going back to equation (4.6) we divide by $\alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2} s^{2}+2$ to find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d s^{2}}+P(s) \frac{d}{d s}+Q(s)\right) F(s)=0 \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
P(s):=\frac{\left(\alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2}+2 \alpha\left(H_{\rho}\right)\right) s+2 \frac{l-2}{s}}{\alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2} s^{2}+2}
$$

has a pole of order 1 at $s=0$ and

$$
Q(s):=\frac{\left.\frac{2}{s^{2}} \sum_{j} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right)\right|_{V_{\pi}^{Z}(s)}+\langle\lambda, \lambda\rangle+\langle\rho, \rho,\rangle}{\alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2} s^{2}+2}
$$

has a pole of order 2, i.e. the method of Frobenius is applicable. We find that $a_{0}=l-2, b_{0}=4 d$ in accordance with (4.11). We already know by Lemma 4.1.3 that $d \leq 0$ and we distinguish the two cases $d=0$ and $d<0$.

If $d<0$, then $p_{1}>0$, while $p_{2}<0$. The first solution

$$
x^{p_{1}}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p_{1}, b+p_{1}, 1+p_{1}-p_{2} ; x\right)
$$

is always defined and smooth at the origin for $p_{1} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, the second solution is only defined even for $x \neq 0$ if $p_{2}-p_{1} \neq-1,-2,-3, \ldots$, as we have seen above. By the Frobenius method one can construct another solution which has a singularity at the origin, i.e. there is only one solution which is smooth at $x=0$.

If $d=0$, then $p_{1}=0$ and $p_{2}=1-c$, i.e. $F(a, b, c, 0)$ reduces to $E(a, b, c)$. The two solutions simplify to

$$
x^{p_{1}}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p_{1}, b+p_{1}, 1+p_{1}-p_{2} ; x\right)={ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b, c ; x)
$$

and

$$
x^{p_{2}}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p_{2}, b+p_{2}, 1+p_{2}-p_{1} ; x\right)=x^{1-c}{ }_{2} F_{1}(a+1-c, b+1-c, 2-c ; x)
$$

In our case where $G=S O_{o}(1, l)$ this implies $c=\rho_{0}=\frac{l-1}{2}$, i.e. $c=\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \ldots$. Thus, except for $c=\frac{1}{2}$ or $c=1$ there is at most one solution which is smooth at the origin, namely ${ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b, c ; x)$.

Let us discuss the two cases $c=\frac{1}{2}$ and $c=1$, i.e. the cases $G=\operatorname{SO}_{o}(1,2)$ and $G=S O_{o}(1,3)$. We start with $c=\frac{1}{2}$. For $c=\frac{1}{2}, x^{1-c}=x^{1 / 2}$. Since we made the substitution $s^{2}=-u$ to get from equation (4.6) to the hypergeometric type equation (4.8) there is a second smooth solution to (4.6) for $d=0$ and $c=\frac{1}{2}$, i.e. $G=S O_{o}(1,2)$. This solution is - up to constants -

$$
i s \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+\frac{1}{2}, b+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} ;-\frac{s^{2}}{4}\right)
$$

which is an odd function.
For $c=1$, i.e. $G=S O_{o}(1,3)$, the solutions ${ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b, c ; x)$ and $x^{1-c}{ }_{2} F_{1}(a+1-$ $c, b+1-c, 2-c ; x)$ coincide. Then

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d c}\right|_{c=1} x^{1-c} F_{1}(a+1-c, b+1-c, 2-c ; x)
$$

is another solution of (4.8) having a singularity at $x=0$, see [Yos97, p.64].
In any case there is for $d=0$ - up to constants - only one even, everywhere smooth solution to (4.6).

We make the resubstitution $s^{2}=u=-k_{1} w=-k_{1} x$, where $k_{1}=\frac{2}{\alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2}}=$ $4(l-1)$. It follows that $x=-\frac{s^{2}}{4(l-1)}$ and $x^{p_{1,2}}=\left(\frac{-s^{2}}{4(l-1)}\right)^{p_{1,2}}$. Finally let us state the results of this chapter in summarized form:

Theorem 4.3.1. For $l \geq 3$ the equation (4.6) has up to constants at most one solution which is smooth at the origin $s=0$. This solution is up to a constant given by

$$
\left(\frac{-s^{2}}{4(l-1)}\right)^{p}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p, b+p, 1+2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{1-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)^{2}-d} ; \frac{-s^{2}}{4(l-1)}\right) .
$$

Here $a=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}+i r\right), b=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}-i r\right)$ depend only on the eigenvalue $\mu$ given by $\mu=\frac{1}{4}\left(\rho_{0}+\frac{r^{2}}{\rho_{0}}\right)$, while $d \leq 0$ depends only on the $K$-type $\pi \in \widehat{K}$ and the section $S$. Here

$$
p=\frac{1-c}{2}+\sqrt{\left(\frac{1-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)^{2}-d} \geq 0
$$

solves the indicial equation (4.11). For the solution to be smooth at the origin it is necessary and sufficient that $2 p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. This implies also $1+2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{1-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)^{2}-d} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Further, if $d=0$, then $p=0$.

For $l=2$ the space of solution to (4.6) is 2-dimensional and spanned by ${ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{-s^{2}}{4}\right)$ and $i s \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+\frac{1}{2}, b+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} ;-\frac{s^{2}}{4}\right)$.

Remark 4.3.2. Let $S=\exp \mathbb{R}^{+} X_{1}$ be a slice. If

$$
F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right)=(-1)^{p}\left(\frac{s^{2}}{4(l-1)}\right)^{p}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p, b+p, 1+2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{1-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)^{2}-d} ; \frac{-s^{2}}{4(l-1)}\right),
$$

then it follows that

$$
F\left(\exp 2 \sqrt{l-1} s X_{1}\right)=(-1)^{p} s^{2 p} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p, b+p, 1+2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{1-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)^{2}-d} ;-s^{2}\right) .
$$

In particular, if $X_{1}$ is proportional to $X_{e_{1}}$, see Section 2.3 for the definition of $X_{e_{1}}$, this implies $2 \sqrt{l-1} X_{1}=X_{e_{1}}$, see (2.7), and

$$
F\left(\exp s X_{e_{1}}\right)=(-1)^{p} s^{2 p} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p, b+p, 1+2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{1-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)^{2}-d} ;-s^{2}\right) .
$$

## CHAPTER 5

## The zeta function on $\left\{\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}\right\}$

In this chapter we want to compute the trace of a certain convolution operator $\sigma \cdot \pi_{R}(f)$ in order to obtain the (logarithmic derivative of an) auxiliary zeta function $\mathcal{R}(\sigma)=\mathcal{R}(\cdot ; \sigma)$ in a half plane of $\mathbb{C}$. We show that this operator is of trace class and has a kernel. Then we compute its trace by integrating the kernel over the diagonal. For $\sigma \equiv 1$ this procedure yields Selberg's trace formula which is used to derive the classical dynamical zeta function. We generalize this approach to nontrivial eigenfunctions $\sigma=\varphi$ of the Laplacian. From the auxiliary zeta function $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)=\mathcal{R}(\cdot ; \varphi)$ we derive the zeta function $\mathcal{Z}(\varphi)=\mathcal{Z}(\cdot ; \varphi)$ as a superposition of shifted $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$. This idea was first used in $[\mathbf{A Z 0 7}]$ for $G=S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$.

The theory of this chapter is developed for real hyperbolic spaces $X$ as discussed in Section 2.3. In particular, $X=G / K$ with $G=S O_{o}(1, l)$ and $K \cong S O(l)$, where $l \in \mathbb{N}, l \geq 2$. We identify $X$ with $A N$ by using the Iwasawa decomposition $G=A N K, A \cong \mathbb{R}, N \cong \mathbb{R}^{l-1}$ and $M=Z_{K}(A) \cong S O(l-1)$. Finally, $\Gamma$ denotes a uniform lattice, that is, $\Gamma \subset G$ is a discrete, torsion-free and cocompact subgroup. Every nontrivial $\gamma \in \Gamma$ can be conjugate to some $a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma} \in A^{+} M$, see Proposition 2.2.1.

### 5.1. A generalized trace formula

We let $G=S O_{o}(1, l)$. Recall the identification of $\mathbb{R}$ with $A, t \mapsto \exp t H_{0}$ and of $\mathbb{R}^{l-1}$ with $N$ via $u \mapsto \exp X_{u}$ from Section 2.3. Let $d a$ and $d n$ be the left-invariant Haar measures on $A$ and $N$ obtained from this identification. ${ }^{1}$ Further we fix a Haar measure $d k$ on $K$ by requiring $K$ to have unit measure, then it follows from [Hel01, Ch.I Prop. 5.1] and [Hel01, Ch. I Cor. 5.3] that there is a Haar measure $d g$ on $G$ such that

$$
\int_{G} f(g) d g=\int_{A N K} f(a n k) d a d n d k
$$

for all integrable functions $f$. We fix a Haar measures on $X, \Gamma \backslash G$ and on $X_{\Gamma}=$ $\Gamma \backslash G / K$ such that for all integrable functions on $G$

$$
\int_{G} f(g) d g=\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma g) d(\Gamma g)
$$

and

$$
\int_{G} f(g) d g=\int_{X} \int_{K} f(g k) d k d(g K)
$$

resp. for all integrable functions on $\Gamma \backslash G$

$$
\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f(\Gamma g) d(\Gamma g)=\int_{X_{\Gamma}} \int_{K} f(\Gamma g k) d k d(\Gamma g K) .
$$

Let $C_{c}^{\infty}(G / / K)$ be the set of smooth functions with compact support that are bi- $K$-invariant. Denote the right-regular representation of $G$ on $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash G)$ by $\pi_{R}$

[^6]and let $\varphi, \sigma \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash G)$ and $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G)$. The Fourier transform of $f$ is defined as an operator on $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash G)$ by
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{R}(f) \varphi(x):=\int_{G} f(g)\left(\pi_{R}(g) \varphi\right)(x) d g=\int_{G} f(g) \varphi(x g) d g=(* \tilde{\varphi})\left(x^{-1}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\tilde{\phi}(x):=\phi\left(x^{-1}\right)$ and convolution is defined by $(\varphi * f)(x):=\int_{G} \varphi(h) f\left(h^{-1} x\right) d h$. Note that $\pi_{R}(f) \varphi$ is trivially square integrable as $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact.

We now combine $\pi_{R}(f)$ with the multiplication operator on $L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)$ which sends $f \mapsto \sigma \cdot f$. By the compact support of $f$ and an application of Fubini's theorem

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\sigma \cdot \pi_{R}(f)\right] \varphi(\Gamma x) } & =\sigma(\Gamma x) \int_{G} f(g) \varphi(\Gamma x g) d g \\
& =\sigma(\Gamma x) \int_{G} \varphi(\Gamma g) f\left(x^{-1} g\right) d g  \tag{5.2}\\
& =\sigma(\Gamma x) \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi(\Gamma \gamma g) f\left(x^{-1} \gamma g\right) d(\Gamma g) \\
& =\sigma(\Gamma x) \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \varphi(\Gamma g) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f\left(x^{-1} \gamma g\right) d(\Gamma g)
\end{align*}
$$

So, if we define $K_{\sigma}(x, g):=\sigma(x) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f\left(x^{-1} \gamma g\right)$, then $K_{\sigma}(\gamma x, g)=K_{\sigma}(x, \gamma g)=$ $K_{\sigma}(x, g)$ defines a smooth function $K_{\sigma}: \Gamma \backslash G \times \Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$
\left[\sigma \cdot \pi_{R}(f)\right] \varphi(x)=\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \varphi(\Gamma g) K_{\sigma}(x, \Gamma g) d(\Gamma g)
$$

From [GW75] we adopt the following definition. We call $f \in C^{\infty}(G / / K)$ admissible, if

- the operator $\pi_{R}(f)$ is of trace class on $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash G)$ and
- the series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f\left(x \gamma y^{-1}\right)$ converges to a continuous function of $(x, y)$.

Proposition 5.1.1. For $\sigma \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash G / K)$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(G / / K)$ admissible the operator $\sigma \cdot \pi_{R}(f)$ is an integral operator with kernel $K_{\sigma}(\cdot, \cdot)$ as from above. It maps $L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)$ into itself and is a trace class operator on $L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)$.

Proof. Standard theory implies that $\pi_{R}(f)$ is of trace class on $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash G)$, see [Wal76, p.172], and hence also on $L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)$ as soon as we check that $\pi_{R}(f)$ resp. $\sigma \cdot \pi_{R}(f)$ leave $L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)$ invariant. Note that $\pi_{R}(f)$ being of trace class implies that $\sigma \cdot \pi_{R}(f)$ is of trace class as well, since $\sigma$ is bounded. So we only have to check invariance. Since by assumption $\sigma$ is right- $K$-invariant and $f$ is also bi- $K$-invariant, we get for $k \in K$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\sigma \cdot \pi_{R}(f)\right] \varphi(x k) } & =\sigma(x k)\left[\pi_{R}(f) \varphi\right](x k) \\
& =\sigma(x) \int_{G} f(g) \varphi(x k g) d g \\
& =\sigma(x) \int_{G} f\left(k^{-1} g\right) \varphi(x g) d g \\
& =\sigma(x) \int_{G} f(g) \varphi(x g) d g=\left[\sigma \cdot \pi_{R}(f)\right] \varphi(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we applied the transformation $g \mapsto k^{-1} g$ and used the unimodularity of $G$.

From now on we assume that $\sigma \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash G / K)$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(G / / K)$ is admissible. We can now compute the trace by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sigma \cdot \pi_{R}(f)\right) & =\int_{X_{\Gamma}} \sigma(\Gamma y) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f\left(y^{-1} \gamma y\right) d(\Gamma y) \\
& =f(e) \int_{F_{\Gamma}} \sigma(x) d x+\sum_{1 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{F_{\Gamma}} \sigma(x) f\left(x^{-1} \gamma x\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F_{\Gamma} \subset X$ is some fundamental domain for $\Gamma$ in $X$, i.e. $F_{\Gamma}$ is measurable and up to a possible set of measure zero it contains exactly one element of every orbit $\Gamma x, x \in X$. In particular, any fundamental domain $F_{\Gamma}$ satisfies $\int_{\Gamma \backslash X} f(x) d x=$ $\int_{F_{\Gamma}} f \circ \operatorname{pr}(g) d g$, pr : $X \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash X$ canonical projection, for any integrable function $f: \Gamma \backslash X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

We recall notations from Chapter 2.2, in particular that $C \Gamma$ denotes the set of conjugacy classes $[\gamma]$ in $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma_{\gamma}$ is the centralizer of $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then we continue our computation with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{F_{\Gamma}} \sigma(x) f\left(x^{-1} \gamma x\right) d x & =\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \in[\gamma]} \int_{F_{\Gamma}} \sigma(x) f\left(x^{-1} \gamma^{\prime} x\right) d x \\
& =\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \sum_{\Gamma_{\gamma} \gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash \Gamma} \int_{F_{\Gamma}} \sigma\left(\gamma^{\prime} x\right) f\left(x^{-1} \gamma^{\prime-1} \gamma \gamma^{\prime} x\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

In Proposition 2.2.2 it was mentioned that for any nontrivial $\gamma \in \Gamma$ its centralizer $\Gamma_{\gamma}$ is cyclic and infinite as $\Gamma$ is torsion-free. Furthermore, there is a unique primitive element $\gamma_{0} \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma=\gamma_{0}^{n_{\gamma}}$ for some $n_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows $\Gamma_{\gamma}=<\gamma_{0}>$ and

$$
\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \sum_{\Gamma_{\gamma} \gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash \Gamma} \int_{F_{\Gamma}} \sigma\left(\gamma^{\prime} x\right) f\left(x^{-1} \gamma^{\prime-1} \gamma \gamma^{\prime} x\right) d x=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \int_{F_{\gamma_{0}}} \sigma(x) f\left(x^{-1} \gamma x\right) d x
$$

where

$$
F_{\gamma_{0}}:=\biguplus_{\Gamma_{\gamma} \gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash \Gamma} \gamma^{\prime} F_{\Gamma} \subset X
$$

is a fundamental domain for $\Gamma_{\gamma}=<\gamma_{0}>$. Here $\biguplus$ means the disjoint union. Then $<\gamma>\backslash \Gamma_{\gamma}=<\gamma>\backslash<\gamma_{0}>\cong \mathbb{Z} / n_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $\left|<\gamma>\backslash \Gamma_{\gamma}\right|=n_{\gamma}$ and

$$
\int_{F_{\gamma_{0}}} \sigma(x) f\left(x^{-1} \gamma x\right) d x=\frac{1}{n_{\gamma}} \sum_{<\gamma>h \in<\gamma>\backslash \Gamma_{\gamma}} \int_{F_{\gamma_{0}}} \sigma(h x) f\left(x^{-1} h^{-1} \gamma h x\right) d x
$$

as $f\left(x^{-1} h^{-1} \gamma h x\right)=f\left(x^{-1} \gamma x\right)$ for $h \in \Gamma_{\gamma}$. Applying now the transformation $x \mapsto$ $h x$ and using the unimodularity of $X$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \frac{1}{n_{\gamma}} \sum_{<\gamma>h \in<\gamma>\backslash \Gamma_{\gamma}} \int_{F_{\gamma_{0}}} \sigma(h x) f\left(x^{-1} h^{-1} \gamma h x\right) d x \\
= & \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \frac{1}{n_{\gamma}} \sum_{<\gamma>h \in<\gamma>\backslash \Gamma_{\gamma}} \int_{h F_{\gamma_{0}}} \sigma(x) f\left(x^{-1} \gamma x\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we change to a fundamental domain $F_{\gamma} \subset X$ for $\langle\gamma\rangle$ to obtain
$\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \frac{1}{n_{\gamma}} \sum_{<\gamma>h \in<\gamma>\backslash \Gamma_{\gamma}} \int_{h F_{\gamma_{0}}} \sigma(x) f\left(x^{-1} \gamma x\right) d x=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \frac{1}{n_{\gamma}} \int_{F_{\gamma}} \sigma(x) f\left(x^{-1} \gamma x\right) d x$

But $\gamma$ is conjugated to $m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma} \in M A^{+}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\gamma} \gamma \alpha_{\gamma}^{-1}=a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha_{\gamma} \in G$, and a fundamental domain for $a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma}$ can be stated explicitly.
Lemma 5.1.2. (See [BO94, Lemma 3.1])
Let $m a_{t} \in M A^{+}$, where $A=\exp \mathbb{R} H_{0}, a_{t}=\exp t H_{0}$, and identify $X$ with $A N$. A fundamental domain for the cyclic subgroup generated by $a_{t} m$ is given by

$$
F_{a_{t} m}:=\left\{a_{s} n: n \in N, 0 \leq s \leq t\right\} .
$$

Proof. Note that $a_{t} m$ acts on $x=a_{s} n$ by $a_{t} m \cdot x=a_{t+s} m n m^{-1}$. Further,

$$
<a_{t} m>=\left\{a_{z t} m^{z}: z \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

We fix an arbitrary $a_{h} n \in X=A N$ with $h=c \cdot t+s$, where $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq s \leq t$. That is,

$$
<a_{t} m>\cdot a_{h} n=\left\{a_{(z+c) t+s} m^{z} n m^{-z}: z \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

in particular $a_{s} m^{-c} n m^{c}$ is contained in the orbit of $a_{h} n$ and $F_{a_{t} m}$.
Finally, $h \equiv s \bmod \mathbb{Z}$, if $a_{h} n$ is contained in the orbit of $a_{s} n^{\prime}$. This shows that $F_{a_{t} m}$ contains at most one point from any orbit (up to a set of measure zero).

Lemma 5.1.3. Let $G$ be a group acting on a manifold $M, H \subset G$ a subgroup and $F_{H}$ a fundamental domain for $H$. Then $g F_{H}$ is a fundamental domain for $g H^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$.

Proof. The proof is simple. Fix $g \in G$ and $m \in M$. If $y \in H m \cap F_{H}$, then for some $h \in H$

$$
g y=g h m=g h g^{-1} g x \in g H g^{-1}(g x) .
$$

Since left translation by $g$ is a bijection on $G$, the claim follows.
Thus, a fundamental domain for the cyclic subgroup generated by $\gamma=\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma} \alpha_{\gamma}$, see (5.4), is given by

$$
F_{\gamma}:=\left\{\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} x: x \in F_{a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma}}\right\}=: \alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} F_{a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma}} .
$$

Next we rewrite the integral from the right side of (5.3) as an integral over a subset of $A N$. Note that the identification $X=A N$ implies $d x=d a d n$, see [Hel01, Ch.I 5 Cor. 5.3]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \frac{1}{n_{\gamma}} \int_{F_{\gamma}} \sigma(x) f\left(x^{-1} \gamma x\right) d x & =\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \frac{1}{n_{\gamma}} \int_{A /<a_{\gamma}>} \int_{N} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} a n\right) f\left(n^{-1} a^{-1} a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma} a n\right) d n d a \\
& =\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \int_{N} \int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} a n\right) f\left(n^{-1} a^{-1} a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma} a n\right) d a d n \\
& =\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \int_{N} \int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} a n\right) d a f\left(n^{-1} a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma} n\right) d n
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last but one equation we used again Lemma 5.1.3 for a fundamental domain of $<a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma}>$ and the fact $a_{\gamma}=a_{\gamma_{0}}^{n_{\gamma}}$, see the proof of Proposition 2.2.3. We call $I_{\gamma}(\sigma)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\gamma}(\sigma)(x):=\int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} a x\right) d a \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in X=A N$ the weight function of the (weighted) orbital integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{\gamma}(f):=\int_{N} f\left(n^{-1} a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma} n\right) I_{\gamma}(\sigma)(n) d n \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \int_{N} \int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} a n\right) d a f\left(n^{-1} a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma} n\right) d n & =\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \int_{N} f\left(n^{-1} a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma} n\right) I_{\gamma}(\sigma)(n) d n \\
& =\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \mathcal{O}_{\gamma}(f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.2. The weight $I_{\gamma}(\sigma)$

In this section we want to examine the weight $I_{\gamma}(\sigma)$ more closely. The next theorem will us show how we can decompose $I_{\gamma}(\sigma)$. We make use of the following fact which can be found in Helgason's book [Hel01].

Theorem 5.2.1. ([Hel01, Ch. V Cor. 3.4])
Let $X$ be a manifold with countable base and $H$ a compact, connected Lie transformation group, then for any $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$

$$
f=\sum_{\delta \in \widehat{H}} d_{\delta} \bar{\chi}_{\delta} * f=\sum_{\delta \in \widehat{H}} d_{\delta} \chi_{\delta} * f
$$

with absolute convergence, where $(\phi * f)(x):=\int_{H} \phi(h) f\left(h^{-1} x\right) d h$. Here $d_{\delta}$ is the dimension of $\delta$ and $\chi_{\delta}:=\operatorname{Tr}(\delta)$ its character.

For the second equality we use that $\overline{\chi \delta}=\chi_{\delta^{*}}$, if $\delta^{*}$ is the dual of $\delta$. Absolute convergence of a series $\sum_{a \in A} v_{a},\left\{v_{a}\right\}_{a \in A} \subset C^{\infty}(X)$ means that $\sum_{a \in A} \nu\left(v_{a}\right)$ is absolute convergent for every continuous seminorm $\nu$ on $C^{\infty}(X)$.

We apply this to $M$ acting on $X=A N$ by conjugation to obtain a decomposition of the weight $I_{\gamma}(\sigma)$. A useful guiding for the theory presented in the remainder of this section is the theory of generalized spherical functions (Eisenstein integrals) for a rank one symmetric space which can be found in [Hel94, Ch.III $\S 2$ and $\S 11]$. Roughly speaking we replace $K$ acting on $\mathfrak{p}$ with section $\mathfrak{a}$ by $M$ acting on $\mathfrak{n}$ with section $\mathfrak{s}$.

For a unitary representation $\left(\pi, V_{\pi}\right) \in \widehat{M}$ of dimension $d_{\pi}$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$ we define its $\pi$-projection by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\pi}(x):=(f)^{\pi}(x):=d_{\pi} \int_{M} f(m \cdot x) \pi\left(m^{-1}\right) d m \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in X=A N$, where $m \cdot x:=m x$. We recall the function space for $\left(\pi, V_{\pi}\right) \in \widehat{M}$ $C^{\infty}\left(X \times_{M}\left(V_{\pi}\right)\right):=\left\{F \in C^{\infty}\left(X, \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\pi}\right)\right): F(m \cdot x)=\pi(m) F(x)\right.$ for all $\left.x \in X, m \in M\right\}$.

Then for $f \in C^{\infty}(X), x \in X$ and $m^{\prime} \in M$

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\pi}\left(m^{\prime} \cdot x\right) & =d_{\pi} \int_{M} f\left(m \cdot\left(m^{\prime} \cdot x\right)\right) \pi\left(m^{-1}\right) d m \\
& =d_{\pi} \int_{M} f\left(m m^{\prime} \cdot x\right) \pi\left(m^{-1}\right) d m \\
& =d_{\pi} \int_{M} f(m \cdot x) \pi\left(m^{\prime} m^{-1}\right) d m=\pi\left(m^{\prime}\right) f^{\pi}(x) \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

by unimodularity of $M$. Hence, $f^{\pi} \in C^{\infty}\left(X \times_{M} V_{\pi}\right)$.
Remark 5.2.2. We recall the definition of the action of $M$ on $G$ via left translation

$$
m * g:=m g
$$

In Section 4.1 we showed that the following diagram is commutative:


We can also extend the definition of the $\pi$-projection to functions $f \in C^{\infty}(G)$ for $\left(\pi, V_{\pi}\right) \in \widehat{M}, \operatorname{dim}\left(V_{\pi}\right)=d_{\pi}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\pi}(g):=d_{\pi} \int_{M} f(m * g) \pi\left(m^{-1}\right) d m \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows again that $f^{\pi}$ is in
$C^{\infty}\left(G, \operatorname{End}_{M}\left(V_{\pi}\right):=\left\{F \in C^{\infty}\left(G, \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\pi}\right)\right): F(m * g)=\pi(m) F(g)\right.\right.$ for all $\left.m \in M, g \in G\right\}$.
Proposition 5.2.3. For any $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$

$$
f=\sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} d_{\pi}\left(\chi_{\pi} * f\right)(x)=\sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(f^{\pi}\right)
$$

Proof. By the preceding Theorem 5.2.1 we have for $x \in X$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =\sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} d_{\pi}\left(\chi_{\pi} * f\right)(x) \\
& =\sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} d_{\pi}(\operatorname{Tr}(\pi) * f)(x) \\
& =\sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} d_{\pi} \int_{M} \chi_{\pi}(m) f\left(m^{-1} x\right) d m \\
& =\sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} d_{\pi} \int_{M} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\pi\left(m^{-1}\right)\right) f(m \cdot x) d m \\
& =\sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(d_{\pi} \int_{M} \pi\left(m^{-1}\right) f(m \cdot x) d m\right)=\sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(f^{\pi}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by unimodularity of $M$ and the linearity of the trace.
Let us summarize the preliminary result of this chapter.
Theorem 5.2.4. Let $G=S O_{o}(1, l)=A N K, M=Z_{K}(A)$ and $\Gamma \subset G a$ uniform lattice. Denote by $\pi_{R}$ the right regular representation of $G$ on $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash G)$ and fix $\sigma \in C^{\infty}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(G / / K)$ admissible. Then the operator $\sigma \cdot \pi_{R}(f)$, given by (5.2), maps $L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)$ into itself and is of trace class. Its trace can be computed to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sigma \cdot \pi_{R}(f)\right) & =f(e) \int_{F_{\Gamma}} \sigma(x) d x+\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \int_{N} f\left(n^{-1} a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma} n\right) \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(I_{\gamma}(\sigma)^{\pi}(n)\right) d n \\
& =f(e) \int_{F_{\Gamma}} \sigma(x) d x+\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \int_{N} f\left(n^{-1} a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma} n\right) \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} d_{\pi}\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\sigma)\right)(n) d n,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $1 \neq \gamma$ is conjugate to $a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma}, F_{\Gamma} \subset X$ a fundamental domain for $\Gamma$ and $I_{\gamma}(\sigma)^{\pi}$ is defined by (5.7).

REmARK 5.2.5. Let us examine $I_{\gamma}(\sigma)^{\pi}$ more closely. We slightly generalize Lemma 4.1.2 in Chapter 4.

Lemma 5.2.6. If $a \exp s X_{1} \in A \exp \mathbb{R} X$ and $F \in C\left(X, \times_{M} V_{\pi}\right)$, then $F\left(a \exp s X_{1}\right)$ maps $V_{\pi}$ into $V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}$.

Proof. The same proof as before applies. We use $F(m \cdot x)=\pi(m) F(x)$ for any $m \in M, x \in X$. But then for $v \in V_{\pi}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi(m) F\left(a \exp s X_{1}\right) v & =F\left(m \cdot a \exp s X_{1}\right) v=F\left(a m \cdot \exp s X_{1}\right) v \\
& =F\left(a \exp s X_{1}\right) v
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a \exp s X_{1} \in A \exp \mathbb{R} X_{1}, m \in Z_{M}(S)$.
We recall that $r_{g}, l_{g}$ denote right- resp. left-translation on $G$. Let $\gamma \neq 1$ be in $\Gamma$ with primitive $\gamma_{0}$. We assume that $\gamma_{0}$ is conjugated to $a_{\gamma_{0}} m_{\gamma_{0}} \in A^{+} M$, where $a_{\gamma_{0}}=\exp L_{\gamma_{0}} H_{0}$. This implies $L_{\gamma_{0}}=(\sqrt{2(l-1)})^{-1} l_{\gamma_{0}}$, where $l_{\gamma_{0}}$ is defined by $a_{\gamma_{0}}=\exp l_{\gamma_{0}} H_{1}$. By definition (5.7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\sigma)\right)\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) & =\int_{M} I_{\gamma}(\sigma)\left(m \cdot \exp s X_{1}\right) \chi_{\pi}\left(m^{-1}\right) d m \\
& =\int_{M} \int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} m a \exp s X_{1}\right) d a \chi_{\pi}\left(m^{-1}\right) d m \\
& =\int_{M} \int_{0}^{L_{\gamma_{0}}} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} \exp t H_{0} m \exp s X_{1}\right) d a \chi_{\pi}\left(m^{-1}\right) d m \\
& =\sqrt{2(l-1)} \int_{M} \int_{0}^{l_{\gamma_{0}}} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} \exp t H_{1} m \exp s X_{1}\right) d a \chi_{\pi}\left(m^{-1}\right) d m \\
& =\sqrt{2(l-1)} \int_{M} \int_{0}^{l_{\gamma_{0}}} \sigma \circ l_{\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1}} \circ r_{m \cdot \exp s X_{1}} d a \chi_{\pi}\left(m^{-1}\right) d m \\
& =\sqrt{2(l-1)} \int_{M}\left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \sigma \circ r_{m \cdot \exp s X_{1}}\right) \chi_{\pi}\left(m^{-1}\right) d m \\
& =\sqrt{2(l-1)}\left(\chi_{\pi} *\left(x \mapsto \int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \sigma \circ r_{x}\right)\right)\left(\exp s X_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the definition of the $\pi$-projection from (5.7) and for functions $f$ on $\Gamma \backslash X$ the integral over the (prime) closed geodesic belonging to primitive $\gamma_{0}$

$$
c_{\gamma_{0}}=\left\{\Gamma \alpha_{\gamma^{-1}} \exp \left(-t H_{1}\right) M: 0 \leq t \leq l_{\gamma_{0}}\right\}
$$

see (2.5), is given by

$$
\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} f:=\int_{0}^{l_{\gamma_{0}}} f \circ l_{\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1}}\left(\exp t H_{1}\right) d t
$$

Finally, we remark that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{2(l-1)} \int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \sigma & =\int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma}^{-} x\right) d x \\
& =I_{\gamma}(\sigma)(e)  \tag{5.11}\\
& =\int_{M} I_{\gamma}(\sigma)(m) d m
\end{align*}
$$

since for any nontrivial $\pi$

$$
\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\sigma)\right)(e)=0
$$

where $e \in G$ is the neutral element, as $I_{\gamma}(\sigma)$ is right- $K$-invariant and $\int_{M} \chi_{\pi}(m) d m=$ 0.

### 5.3. The case of $\sigma=\varphi$ an automorphic eigenfunction

Now we specify $\sigma$ to be an automorphic eigenfunction of the Laplacian $\Delta_{\Gamma}$ on the compact manifold $X_{\Gamma}$. So let $\varphi$ be some fixed Laplace eigenfunction, i.e.

$$
\Delta_{\Gamma} \varphi=\Omega \varphi=\mu \varphi
$$

for some eigenvalue $\mu$. More specifically, there are $r \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*} \cong \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\mu=-\frac{1}{4}\left(\rho_{0}+\frac{r^{2}}{\rho_{0}}\right)=-\frac{1}{4 \rho_{0}}\left(\rho_{0}^{2}+r^{2}\right)
$$

By the ellipticity of $\Delta_{\Gamma}$ we know that $\varphi$ is smooth and we can apply the theory of the last section to $\sigma=\varphi$. Then we consider $I_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ defined in (5.5), so that

$$
I_{\gamma}(\varphi)(x)=\int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>} \varphi\left(\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} a x\right) d a
$$

Since $\Omega$ lies in the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ it commutes with the right-translation $r_{g}$ and the left-translation $l_{g}$

$$
\Omega\left(\varphi \circ l_{g}\right)=(\Omega \varphi) \circ l_{g}=\mu \varphi \circ l_{g}
$$

for all $g$ in $G$, in particular for $g=\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} a, a \in A$.
If we apply now $\Omega$ to $I_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ we can use, as $A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>$ is compact, the theorem of dominated convergence and exchange differentiation with integration to see that $I_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ is also a $\Omega$-eigenfunction, i.e.

$$
\Omega I_{\gamma}(\varphi)=\mu I_{\gamma}(\varphi)
$$

Since also the action of $M$ and $\Omega$ commute, even for any $\left(\pi, V_{\pi}\right) \in \widehat{M}$, by the same reasoning

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega I_{\gamma}(\varphi)^{\pi}=\mu I_{\gamma}(\varphi)^{\pi} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, according to (5.7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\gamma}(\varphi)^{\pi}(x)=d_{\pi} \int_{M} I_{\gamma}(\varphi)(m \cdot x) \pi\left(m^{-1}\right) d m \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations of the form (5.12) have been discussed in Chapter 4. We fix a slice $S \subset N$ for $M$ acting on $N$ and assume that $S=\exp \mathbb{R}^{+} X_{1}$, where $X_{1} \in \mathfrak{n}$ is of unit length. We recall that if $F$ is an element of $C^{\infty}\left(X \times_{M} V_{\pi}\right)$, then $\left.F\right|_{S}$ maps $V_{\pi}$ onto $V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}$, see Lemma 4.1.2, where $V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}=\mathbb{C} \cdot v$ for some $v \in V_{\pi}$.

In Section 4.1 we have shown that the equation

$$
\Omega F-\mu F=0
$$

leads to an equation on $S$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\left(\alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2} t^{2}+2\right) \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\right. & +\left(\left(\alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2}+2 \alpha\left(H_{\rho}\right)\right) t+2 \frac{l-2}{t}\right) \frac{d}{d t}  \tag{5.14}\\
& \left.+\left.\frac{2}{t^{2}} \sum_{j} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right)\right|_{V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}}-\mu\right) F(t)=0
\end{align*}
$$

for the scalar valued function $F(t)$ defined by $F(t) v:=F\left(\exp t X_{1}\right) v$, see Theorem 4.1.7. Here $\alpha$ is the unique positive root, $H_{1}, H_{\rho} \in \mathfrak{a}^{+}$the unique unit vector resp. the defining vector for $\rho,\left\{Z_{Y_{j}}\right\}_{j}$ the orthogonal set of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ which corresponds to the orthonormal basis $\left\{Y_{j}\right\}_{j}$ of $\left\{X_{1}\right\}^{\perp_{n}}$ via $\left[Z_{Y_{j}}, X_{1}\right]=Y_{j}$. By Lemma 4.1.3 we know that $\left.\sum_{j} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right)\right|_{V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}} \in \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)}\right)$ can be identified with a scalar $\leq 0$.

We note that $F(t)=d_{\pi}\left(\chi_{\pi} * f\right)\left(\exp t X_{1}\right)$, if $F=f^{\pi}$ for some $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$, see Theorem 4.1.7.

The results of Theorem 4.3.1 now imply that there are constants $a, b, d, p$, where $a=\rho_{0}+i r$ and $b=\rho_{0}-i r$ only depend on the eigenvalue, $2 p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $d \leq 0$ depend only on $\pi$ and $S$, such that for some other constant $C$ and for $l \geq 3$ any solution $F$ to (5.14), which is smooth at $t=0$, can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{F}(t):=F(2 \sqrt{l-1} t)=(-1)^{p} C \cdot t^{2 p} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+l, b+l, 1+2 \sqrt{\frac{\left(1-\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}{4}-d} ;-t^{2}\right) \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t>0$, see Remark 4.3.2. By the Leibniz rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{F}^{(2 p)}(t)= & (-1)^{p} C\left(t^{2 p} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p, b+p, 1+2 \sqrt{\frac{\left(1-\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}{4}-d} ;-t^{2}\right)\right)^{(2 p)} \\
= & (-1)^{p} C \sum_{i=0}^{2 p}\binom{2 p}{i} \frac{1}{i!} t^{2 p-i}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p, b+p, 1+2 \sqrt{\frac{\left(1-\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}{4}-d} ;-t^{2}\right)^{(2 p-i)} \\
= & (-1)^{p} C \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p, b+p, 1+2 \sqrt{\frac{\left(1-\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}{4}-d} ;-t^{2}\right) \\
& +(-1)^{p} C \sum_{i=0}^{2 p-1}\binom{2 p}{i} \frac{1}{i!} t^{2 p-i}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p, b+p, 1+2 \sqrt{\frac{\left(1-\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}{4}-d} ;-t^{2}\right)^{(2 p-i)} \\
= & (-1)^{p} C \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p, b+p, 1+2 \sqrt{\frac{\left(1-\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}{4}-d} ;-t^{2}\right) \\
& +(-1)^{p} C \cdot t \sum_{i=0}^{2 p-1}\binom{2 p}{i} \frac{1}{i!} t^{2 p-i-1}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p, b+p, 1+2 \sqrt{\frac{\left(1-\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}{4}-d ;-t^{2}}\right)^{(2 p-i)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,
$\tilde{F}^{(2 p)}(0)=(4(l-1))^{p} F(0)^{(2 p)}=(-1)^{p} C \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p, b+p, 1+2 \sqrt{\frac{\left(1-\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}{4}-d ; 0}\right)=(-1)^{p} C$
because ${ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p, b+p, 1+2 \sqrt{\frac{\left(1-\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}{4}-d} ; 0\right)=1$ by the definition of hypergeometric functions.

For $l=2$ the space of solutions to (5.14) is spanned by ${ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{-t^{2}}{4}\right)$ and $i t \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+\frac{1}{2}, b+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} ;-\frac{t^{2}}{4}\right)$, where $a=\rho_{0}+i r$ and $b=\rho_{0}-i r$ as before. The general solution in this case has thus the form (5.16)
$F(2 t):=F\left(\exp 2 t X_{1}\right)=F(0) \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \frac{1}{2} ;-t^{2}\right)+2 F^{\prime}(0) i t \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+\frac{1}{2}, b+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} ;-t^{2}\right)$.
Now we consider $F:=I_{\gamma}(\varphi)^{\pi}: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $I_{\gamma}(\varphi)^{\pi}(s) v=I_{\gamma}(\varphi)^{\pi}\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) v$, then also

$$
I_{\gamma}(\varphi)^{\pi}(s)=d_{\pi}\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)\left(\exp s X_{1}\right)
$$

see Theorem 4.1.7. Under the map $\exp t X_{1} \mapsto t, X_{1}$ is identified with $\frac{d}{d t}$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{\gamma}(\varphi)^{\pi}\right)^{(2 p)}(0)=\left.d_{\pi} \frac{d^{2 p}}{d t^{2 p}}\right|_{t=0}\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)\left(\exp t X_{1}\right)=d_{\pi}\left(X_{1}^{2 p} \chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)(e) \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e \in N$ is the neutral element. For $I_{\gamma}(\varphi)^{\pi}: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we get from (5.15) for $l \geq 3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\pi}\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)\left(\exp 2 \sqrt{l-1} s X_{1}\right)= & I_{\gamma}(\varphi)^{\pi}(2 \sqrt{l-1} s) \\
\stackrel{(5.15)}{=} & (-1)^{p} \widetilde{I_{\gamma}(\varphi)}{ }^{(2 p)}(0) \cdot \\
& \cdot s^{2 p} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p, b+p, 1+2 \sqrt{\frac{\left(1-\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}{4}}-d ;-s^{2}\right) \\
= & (-1)^{p}(4(l-1))^{p}\left(I_{\gamma}(\varphi)^{\pi}\right)^{(2 p)}(0) \cdot \\
& \cdot s^{2 p} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p, b+p, 1+2 \sqrt{\frac{\left(1-\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}{4}}-d ;-s^{2}\right) \\
\stackrel{(5.17)}{=} & \left.(-1)^{p}(4(l-1))^{p} d_{\pi} \frac{d^{2 p}}{d t^{2 p}}\right|_{t=0}\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)\left(\exp t X_{1}\right) s^{2 p} \\
(5.18) \quad & \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p, b+p, 1+2 \sqrt{\frac{\left(1-\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}{4}-d} d-s^{2}\right) \\
= & (-1)^{p}(4(l-1))^{p} d_{\pi}\left(X_{1}^{2 p} \chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)(e) \cdot s^{2 p} \\
& { }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p, b+p, 1+2 \sqrt{\left.\frac{\left(1-\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}{4}-d ;-s^{2}\right) .} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

For $l=2$ we see from (5.16) that the weight of the orbital integral is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\gamma}(\varphi)(2 s)= & I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\left(\exp 2 s X_{1}\right) \\
= & \left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \varphi\right) \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \frac{1}{2} ;-s^{2}\right)  \tag{5.19}\\
& +2 i\left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} X_{1} \varphi\right) \cdot s \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+\frac{1}{2}, b+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} ;-s^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

From Lemma 4.1.6, Theorem 4.3.1 and Proposition 5.2.3 we get:
THEOREM 5.3.1. Let $X=G / K$ be a real hyperbolic space of dimension $l, \Gamma \subset G$ a uniform lattice, $1 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\varphi$ be an automorphic eigenfunction (on $X_{\Gamma}$ ) with eigenvalue $\mu$. The weight $I_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ can be decomposed as

$$
I_{\gamma}(\varphi)=\sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} d_{\pi}\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)
$$

Here $d_{\pi}\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(I_{\gamma}(\varphi)^{\pi}\right) \in C^{\infty}(X)_{\check{\pi}}$ is a Casimir eigenfunction. On each slice $S=\exp \mathbb{R}^{+} X_{1},\left|X_{1}\right|=1$, for $M$ acting on $N, d_{\pi}\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)$ satisfies equation (5.14) and is given by (5.18) for $l \geq 3$ resp. (5.19) for $l=2$.

Remark 5.3.2. We digress to examine $X_{1}^{2 p} I_{\gamma}^{\pi}(\varphi)$ more closely. Using the definition of $I_{\gamma}(\varphi)^{\pi}$, see (5.13), and the computations made at the end of the last
section, see Remark 5.2.5, as $\exp t X_{1} \in S$ for all $t>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{1}^{2 p}\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)(e) & =\left.\frac{d^{2 p}}{d t^{2 p}}\right|_{t=0} \int_{M} I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\left(m \cdot \exp t X_{1}\right) \chi_{\pi}\left(m^{-1}\right) d m \\
& =\left.\frac{d^{2 p}}{d t^{2 p}}\right|_{t=0} \int_{M} \int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>} \varphi\left(\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} a m \exp t X_{1}\right) d a \chi_{\pi}\left(m^{-1}\right) d m \\
& \left.\stackrel{(5.10)}{=} \sqrt{2(l-1)} \frac{d^{2 p}}{d t^{2 p}}\right|_{t=0}\left(\chi_{\pi} *\left(x \mapsto \int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \varphi \circ r_{x}\right)\right)\left(\exp t X_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\left(\chi_{\pi} *\left(\varphi \circ l_{\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1}}\right)\right)(x)=d_{\pi} \int_{M} \varphi \circ l_{\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1}}(m \cdot x) \chi_{\pi}\left(m^{-1}\right) d m
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{1}^{2 p}\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)(e) & =\left.\frac{d^{2 p}}{d t^{2 p}}\right|_{t=0} \int_{M} I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\left(m \cdot \exp t X_{1}\right) \chi_{\pi}\left(m^{-1}\right) d m \\
& =\left.\frac{d^{2 p}}{d t^{2 p}}\right|_{t=0} \int_{M} \int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>} \varphi\left(\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} a m \exp t X_{1}\right) d a \chi_{\pi}\left(m^{-1}\right) d m \\
& =\left.\frac{d^{2 p}}{d t^{2 p}}\right|_{t=0} \int_{M} \int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>} \varphi \circ l_{\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1}}\left(a m \exp t X_{1}\right) d a \chi_{\pi}\left(m^{-1}\right) d m \\
& =\left.\frac{d^{2 p}}{d t^{2 p}}\right|_{t=0} \int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>} \int_{M} \varphi \circ l_{\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1}}\left(m \cdot a \exp t X_{1}\right) \chi_{\pi}\left(m^{-1}\right) d m d a \\
& =\left.\frac{d^{2 p}}{d t^{2 p}}\right|_{t=0} \int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>}\left(\chi_{\pi} *\left(\varphi \circ l_{\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1}}\right)\right)\left(a \exp t X_{1}\right) d a \\
& =\left.\int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>} \frac{d^{2 p}}{d t^{2 p}}\right|_{t=0}\left(\chi_{\pi} *\left(\varphi \circ l_{\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1}}\right)\right)\left(a \exp t X_{1}\right) d a \\
& =\int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>}\left(X_{1}^{2 p}\left(\chi_{\pi} *\left(\varphi \circ l_{\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1}}\right)\right)\right)(a) d a \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\pi=\mathbf{1}$ trivial, (5.20) simplifies to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>}\left(X_{e_{1}}^{2 p}\left(x \mapsto \int_{M} \varphi \circ l_{\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1}}(m \cdot x) d m\right)\right)(a) d a \\
= & \int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>}\left(X_{e_{1}}^{2 p}\left(\left[x \mapsto \int_{M} \varphi(m \cdot x) d m\right] \circ l_{\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1}}\right)\right)(a) d a \\
= & \int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>}\left(\left(X_{e_{1}}^{2 p}\left[x \mapsto \int_{M} \varphi(m \cdot x) d m\right]\right) \circ l_{\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1}}\right)(a) d a \\
= & \sqrt{2(l-1)} \int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}}\left(X_{e_{1}}^{2 p}\left[x \mapsto \int_{M} \varphi(m \cdot x) d m\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.4. An auxiliary zeta function $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$

We choose now $f$ from a special family of bi- $K$-invariant functions $f_{k}$. We consider on $G$ the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}: g \mapsto\left(1-|g \cdot 0|^{2}\right)^{k / 2} \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{C}$, where we recall the action of $G$ on $B_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ given by (2.11) in Chapter 2.3. While this function is bi- $K$-invariant on $G$, see Lemma 2.3.1, in particular it is a function on $X=G / K$, it does not have compact support and we have to show that $\pi_{R}\left(f_{k}\right)$ is indeed of trace class for suitable $k \in \mathbb{C}$.

Recall that we fixed $H_{0}, H_{1} \in \mathfrak{a}^{+}$where $\alpha\left(H_{0}\right)=1$ and $\left|H_{1}\right|=1$. It follows that $\left|H_{0}\right|^{2}=2(l-1)$, see $[\mathbf{G V 8 8},(4.2 .10)]$ and thus $H_{0}=\sqrt{2(l-1)} H_{1}$. Let now $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be conjugated to $a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma} \in M A^{+}$. By definition of $l_{\gamma}, a_{\gamma}=\exp l_{\gamma} H_{1}=$ $\exp \frac{l_{\gamma}}{\sqrt{2(l-1)}} H_{0}$. Let us set

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\gamma}:=\frac{l_{\gamma}}{\sqrt{2(l-1)}} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by definition $a_{\gamma}=\exp L_{\gamma} H_{0}$ and we call $L_{\gamma}$ the length of the closed geodesic $[\gamma]$. Further, we call the set

$$
\left\{L_{\gamma}: 1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma\right\}
$$

the length spectrum of $\Gamma$. It follows from Proposition 2.2.4 that $\left\{L_{\gamma}: 1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma\right\}$ has an infimum $L_{\mathrm{inf}}>0$.

Remark 5.4.1. Let $[\gamma] \in C \Gamma$. The definition of the length of $[\gamma]$ from (5.22) differs from $l_{\gamma}$ in $[\mathbf{G a n 7 7 a}]$, see also Section 2.2 , by the factor $(\sqrt{2(l-1)})^{-1}$. That is $l_{\gamma}=\sqrt{2(l-1)} L_{\gamma}$. If $G=S O_{o}(1,2)$, then our definition of $L_{\gamma}$ agrees with the one which is used in $[\mathbf{A Z 0 7}]$. In this case $\sqrt{2} L_{\gamma}=l_{\gamma}$.

The computations made at the end of Chapter 2.3, especially equation (2.16ff), give

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}\left(\left(\exp -s X_{e_{1}}\right) a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\left(\exp s X_{e_{1}}\right)\right)=\left(-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1} s^{2}+\left(1+s^{2}\right) \cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{-k} \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{\gamma}=\exp l_{\gamma} H_{1}=\exp L_{\gamma} H_{0}=a_{L_{\gamma}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1}:=\left(m^{-1} m_{\gamma} m\right)_{1,1} \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the first entry in the first row of $m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}$ as we identified $m^{-1} m_{\gamma} m$ with the $(l-1) \times(l-1)$-matrix $\left(m^{-1} m_{\gamma} m\right)_{i, j=1}^{l-1}$ in $S O(l-1)$. In other words, $\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1}$ is just the matrix coefficient belonging to the defining representation of $M$ and the first basis vector evaluated at $m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}$. Also, see equation (2.22),

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}\left(\exp t H_{0} \exp X_{u}\right)=f_{k}\left(a_{t} \exp X_{u}\right)=\left(\cosh t+\frac{|u|^{2}}{2} e^{t}\right)^{-k} \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $X_{u}$ in $\mathfrak{n}$, i.e. $u \in \mathbb{R}^{l-1}$.
In [Gan77b] on page 8 we find the following useful remark for determining whether a function $f \in C^{\infty}(G / / K)$ is admissible.

Remark 5.4.2. For a function $f \in C^{\infty}(G / / K)$ we consider its Abel transform. Here, we use the formula for the Abel transform given in [Wil91, (9.37)]. We define

$$
F_{f}\left(a_{t}\right):=F_{f}(t):=e^{\rho_{0} t} \int_{N} f\left(a_{t} n\right) d n
$$

whenever this integral is finite.
The transform $F_{f}$, if defined, is a smooth function on $A$ resp. $\mathbb{R}$. As a function on $\mathbb{R}$ it is even by the bi- $K$-invariance which translates to a Weyl group invariance on $A$. If $F_{f}$ also satisfies for some $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left(\exp \left(\rho_{0}+\varepsilon\right)|t|\right)\left|F_{f}(t)\right|<\infty \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\pi_{R}(f)$ is admissible.

Proposition 5.4.3. Let $G=S O_{o}(1, l), \pi_{R}$ the right-regular representation of $G$ on $\Gamma \backslash G$, where $\Gamma$ is a uniform lattice, and let $f_{k}$ as defined in (5.21). The function $f_{k}$ is admissible for $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$. In particular, the operator $\pi_{R}\left(f_{k}\right)$ is of trace class for $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$.

Proof. We make use of the criterion from [Gan77b] recalled in Remark 5.4.2. Thus we have to compute the Abel transform $F_{f_{k}}$ and check (5.26).

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{f_{k}}(t) & =e^{\rho_{0} t} \int_{N} f_{k}\left(a_{t} n\right) d n \\
& \stackrel{(5.25)}{=} e^{\rho_{0} t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{l-1}}\left(\cosh t+\frac{|u|^{2}}{2} e^{t}\right)^{-k} d u \\
& =e^{\rho_{0} t} \cosh ^{-k} t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{l-1}}\left(1+\frac{|u|^{2} e^{t}}{2 \cosh t}\right)^{-k} d u \\
& =2^{\rho_{0}} \cosh ^{-k+\rho_{0}} t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{l-1}}\left(1+|u|^{2}\right)^{-k} d u
\end{aligned}
$$

where we applied for the last equation the coordinate transform $u \mapsto e^{-t / 2} \sqrt{2} \cosh ^{1 / 2} t$. $u$. Thus $e^{\rho_{0}|t|}\left|F_{f_{k}}(t)\right|$ behaves as $e^{\left(2 \rho_{0}-\operatorname{Re}(k)\right)|t|}$ for large $|t|$.

Let us then assume that the automorphic eigenfunction $\varphi$ on $X_{\Gamma}$ with eigenvalue $\mu=-\frac{1}{4}\left(\rho_{0}+\frac{r^{2}}{\rho_{0}}\right), r \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*} \cong \mathbb{C}$, is not trivial, so $\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \varphi(x) d x=0$. Then the first summand in the formula for $\varphi \cdot \pi_{R}\left(f_{k}\right)$ in Theorem 5.2.4 vanishes and the trace computes for $l \geq 3$ by (5.23) to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\varphi \cdot \pi_{R}\left(f_{k}\right)\right)= & \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \int_{N} f_{k}\left(n^{-1} a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma} n\right) \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} d_{\pi}\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)(n) d n \\
= & \omega_{l-1} \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in \Gamma} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} d_{\pi} \int_{M} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2} f_{k}\left(\exp -s X_{e_{1}} m^{-1} m_{\gamma} m \exp s X_{1}\right) \\
& \cdot\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)\left(m \cdot \exp s X_{e_{1}}\right) d s d m
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used polar coordinates to obtain the second equality. We recall that if we identify $N \cong \mathbb{R}^{l-1}, u \mapsto X_{u}$, see (2.8), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{N} f(n) d n & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{l-1}} f\left(\exp X_{u}\right) d u \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\partial B_{s}(0)} f d S d s \\
& =\omega_{l-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2} f\left(\exp s X_{e_{1}}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

for radial functions $f$, i.e. $f(n)=\int_{M} f(m \cdot n) d m$ for all $n \in N$. Here $B_{1}(0)=\{x \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{l-1}:|x| \leq 1\right\}$ and $\omega_{l-1}:=\left|\partial B_{1}(0)\right|$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{l-2}$ for $l \geq 3$. For $l=2$ we set $\omega_{1}:=2$.

Now we plug in equation (5.23) to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Tr}\left(\varphi \cdot \pi_{R}\left(f_{k}\right)\right) \\
= & \omega_{l-1} \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in \Gamma} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} d_{\pi} \int_{M} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2} f_{k}\left(\exp -s X_{e_{1}} m^{-1} m_{\gamma} m \exp s X_{1}\right) \\
& \cdot\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)\left(m \cdot \exp s X_{e_{1}}\right) d s d m \\
= & \omega_{l-1} \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in \Gamma} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} \int_{M} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1} s^{2}+\left(1+s^{2}\right) \cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{-k} \\
& \cdot\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)\left(m \cdot \exp s X_{e_{1}}\right) d s d m \\
=\quad & \omega_{l-1} \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in \Gamma} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} d_{\pi} \cosh ^{-k} L_{\gamma} \int_{M} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}\left(\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}}\right)+1\right)^{-k} \\
=\quad & \cdot\left(\chi_{\pi}^{*} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)\left(m \cdot \exp s X_{e_{1}}\right) d s d m \\
& \omega_{l-1} \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in \Gamma} \cosh ^{-k+\frac{l-1}{2}} L_{\gamma} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} d_{\pi} \int_{M} \frac{1}{\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{2}}} \\
& \cdot \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{-k}\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)\left(m \cdot \exp \sqrt{\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1}}} s X_{e_{1}}\right) d s d m
\end{aligned}
$$

where we applied the transformation $s \mapsto \sqrt{\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m-1}\right)_{1,1}}} s$ to obtain the last equality.

We define for the automorphic eigenfunction $1 \neq \varphi, \gamma \neq 1$ and $\pi \in \widehat{M}$

$$
\begin{align*}
c(\varphi, \gamma, \pi, k):= & \omega_{l-1} d_{\pi} \int_{M} \frac{1}{\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}^{\left.\left.m^{-1}\right)_{1,1}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{2}}} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{-k}\right.\right.} \\
& \cdot\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)\left(m \cdot \exp \sqrt{\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m-1}\right)_{1,1}}} s X_{e_{1}}\right) d s d m, \tag{5.27}
\end{align*}
$$

$L_{\gamma}=\sqrt{2(l-1)}^{-1} l_{\gamma}>0$. Thus we can write for $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$ the trace of $\varphi \cdot \pi_{R}\left(f_{k}\right)$ for any nontrivial automorphic eigenfunction $\varphi$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\varphi \cdot \pi_{R}\left(f_{k}\right)\right)=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} c(\varphi, \gamma, \pi, k)\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{-k+\rho_{0}} . \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this special choice of $f_{k}$ we call this trace (5.28) the auxiliary zeta function for $k \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}(k ; \varphi):=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\varphi \cdot \pi_{R}\left(f_{k}\right)\right)=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} c(\varphi, \gamma, \pi, k)\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{-k+\rho_{0}}, \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{0}=\rho\left(H_{0}\right)=\frac{l-1}{2}$.
We will often omit the argument of the (auxiliary) zeta function and write $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$ (resp. $\mathcal{Z}(\varphi)$, see the next section) instead of $\mathcal{R}(k ; \varphi)$ (resp. $\mathcal{Z}(k ; \varphi)$ ).

Remark 5.4.4. In (2.7) we have shown that $\left|X_{e_{1}}\right|^{2}=4(l-1)$, i.e. $\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{l-1}} X_{e_{1}}$ has unit length. On the slice

$$
S_{m}:=m \cdot \exp \mathbb{R}^{+} X_{e_{1}}=\exp \mathbb{R}^{+} m \cdot X_{e_{1}}=\exp \mathbb{R}^{+} m \cdot \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{l-1}} X_{e_{1}}
$$

the function $d_{\pi}\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)$ satisfies for $l \geq 3$, see (5.18),

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\pi}\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)\left(\exp s\left(m \cdot X_{e_{1}}\right)\right)= & d_{\pi}\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)\left(\exp 2 \sqrt{l-1} s\left(\frac{m \cdot X_{e_{1}}}{2 \sqrt{l-1}}\right)\right) \\
= & (-1)^{p}(4(l-1))^{p} d_{\pi}\left(\left(\frac{m \cdot X_{e_{1}}}{2 \sqrt{l-1}}\right)^{2 p} \chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)(e) \cdot s^{2 p} \\
& { }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p, b+p, 1+2 \sqrt{\frac{\left(1-\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}{4}-d} ;-s^{2}\right) \\
= & (-1)^{p} d_{\pi}\left(\left(m \cdot X_{e_{1}}\right)^{2 p} \chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)(e) \cdot s^{2 p} \\
& { }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p, b+p, 1+2 \sqrt{\frac{\left(1-\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}{4}-d ;-s^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constants $a, b, d, p$ depending on the eigenvalue $\mu, \pi$ and the slice $S_{m}$. For $l=2$, see (5.19)

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\left(\exp s X_{e_{1}}\right)= & I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\left(\exp 2 s \frac{X_{e_{1}}}{2}\right) \\
= & \left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \varphi\right) \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \frac{1}{2} ;-s^{2}\right) \\
& +2 i\left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} X_{1} \varphi\right) \cdot s \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+\frac{1}{2}, b+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} ;-s^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 5.4.5. For the case of $G=S O_{o}(1,2)$ we note that $N=\left\{\exp u X_{e_{1}}\right.$ : $u \in \mathbb{R}\}$. It follows thus from (5.6), as $M$ is trivial,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_{\gamma}\left(f_{k}\right)= & \int_{N} f_{k}\left(n^{-1} a_{\gamma} n\right) I_{\gamma}\left(\varphi_{j}\right)(n) d n \\
= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{k}\left(\exp \left(-u X_{e_{1}}\right) a_{\gamma} \exp \left(u X_{e_{1}}\right)\right) I_{\gamma}\left(\varphi_{j}\right)(\exp u X) d u \\
= & \left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \varphi_{j}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{k}\left(\exp \left(-u X_{e_{1}}\right) a_{\gamma} \exp \left(u X_{e_{1}}\right)\right)_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \frac{1}{2} ;-u^{2}\right) d u \\
& +2 i\left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} X_{e_{1}} \varphi_{j}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{k}\left(\exp -u X a_{\gamma} \exp u X\right) \cdot u \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+\frac{1}{2}, b+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} ;-u^{2}\right) d u
\end{aligned}
$$

The restriction $\left.f\right|_{N}$ for any $f \in C(G / / K)$ is even, see Corollary 3.4.2. Hence

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u f\left(\exp \left(-u X_{e_{1}}\right) a_{\gamma} \exp \left(u X_{e_{1}}\right)\right) \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+\frac{1}{2}, b+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} ;-\frac{u^{2}}{4}\right) d u=0
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\gamma}\left(f_{k}\right)=\left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \varphi_{j}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(u^{2}+1\right)^{-k}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \frac{1}{2} ;-u^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-1}}\right) d u
$$

We will now come to the theorem which shows that the auxiliary zeta function is defined at least for all $k \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$.

THEOREM 5.4.6. Let $X=G / K$ be a real hyperbolic space and $\Gamma \subset G$ a uniform lattice. Let $\varphi$ be a non trivial automorphic eigenfunction and $k \in \mathbb{C}$. The auxiliary zeta function $\mathcal{R}(k ; \varphi)$ converges for $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$.

Proof. From Proposition 5.4.3 it follows that $\varphi \cdot \pi_{R}\left(f_{k}\right)$ is of trace class for $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$, because if $\pi_{R}\left(f_{k}\right)$ of trace class then also $\varphi \cdot \pi_{R}(f)$ as $\varphi$ is bounded. But then also $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\varphi \cdot \pi_{R}\left(f_{k}\right)\right)$ converges for $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$.

### 5.5. From $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$ to $\mathcal{Z}(\varphi)$

From the auxiliary zeta function $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$ we can also derive the zeta function $\mathcal{Z}(\varphi)$ via the following lemma, which can be found in [AZ07, Lemma 9.3].

Lemma 5.5.1. Let $k \in \mathbb{C}$ and $y \in(1, \infty)$ then there exist coefficients $\beta(k ; m)$, which tend to zero for $m \rightarrow \infty$, such that

$$
\left(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{y}}\right)^{k}=y^{-k} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta(k ; m) y^{-m} .
$$

Further, $\beta(k ; 0)=2^{-k}$ and $k \mapsto \beta(k ; m)$ is holomorphic for any $m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
Proof. Let $y \in(1, \infty)$. For $k \in \mathbb{C}$ we find by the binomial theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-\sqrt{1-y^{-1}}\right)^{k} & =\left(1-\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{m}(-1)^{m} y^{-m}\right)^{k} \\
& =\left(-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{m}(-1)^{m} y^{-m}\right)^{k} \\
& =\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{m}(-1)^{m-1} y^{-m}\right)^{k} \\
& =\left(y^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{m}(-1)^{m-1} y^{-m+1}\right)^{k} \\
& =y^{-k}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{m+1}(-1)^{m} y^{-m}\right)^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we assume temporarily $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Then by induction and Cauchy's product formula we find coefficients $\beta(k ; m)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{m+1}(-1)^{m} y^{-m}\right)^{k}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta(k ; m) y^{-m} \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\beta(k ; m)=(-1)^{m} \sum_{r_{1}+\ldots+r_{k}=m, r_{i} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{r_{1}+1} \cdots\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{r_{k}+1} .
$$

In particular, $\beta(k ; 0)=2^{-k}$. Let now $z \in(0, \infty)$ and $k \in \mathbb{C}$ arbitrary. Once again the binomial theorem gives

$$
\begin{align*}
z^{k} & =(1+(z-1))^{k} \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\binom{k}{l}(z-1)^{l} \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{l}\binom{k}{l}\binom{l}{m}(-1)^{l-m} z^{m} . \tag{5.31}
\end{align*}
$$

So let $k \in \mathbb{C}$ again be arbitrary. We take for $z$ in (5.31) the series $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{n m^{2}+1}(-1)^{m} y^{-m}(=$ $\left.y-\sqrt{y^{2}-y}\right)$. Then by (5.30)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{m+1}(-1)^{m} y^{-m}\right)^{k}=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{l}\binom{k}{l}\binom{l}{s}(-1)^{l-s}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{m+1}(-1)^{m} y^{-m}\right)^{s} \\
& \stackrel{(5.30)}{=} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{l}\binom{k}{l}\binom{l}{s} \beta(s ; m)(-1)^{l-s}\right) y^{-m} \\
&=: \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta(k ; m) y^{-m}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta(k ; 0) & =\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\binom{k}{l}\binom{l}{s} \beta(s ; 0)(-1)^{l-s} \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{l} \sum_{s=0}^{l}\binom{l}{s}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{s}(-1)^{-s} \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\binom{k}{l}(-1)^{l} \sum_{s=0}^{l}\binom{l}{s}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{s}(-1)^{s} \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\binom{k}{l}(-1)^{l}\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{l} \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\binom{k}{l}(-1)^{l}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{l}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, $k \mapsto \beta(k ; m)$ is holomorphic as $k \mapsto\binom{k}{l}=\frac{k(k-1) \ldots(k-l+1)}{l!}$ is holomorphic for $l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

Now we come to the definition of the zeta function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}(k ; \varphi):=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} c(\varphi, \gamma, \pi, k) e^{-\left(k-\rho_{0}\right) L_{\gamma}} \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 5.5.2. The zeta function $\mathcal{Z}(k ; \varphi)$ converges for $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$.
Proof. This will follow by the next lemma.
Lemma 5.5.3. Let $k \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$. For any $\gamma \neq 1$ there exists a constant $C(\varphi)>0$ only depending on $\varphi$ such that

$$
\left|\sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} c(\varphi, \gamma, \pi, k)\right| \leq C(\varphi) \cdot L_{\gamma} e^{-\rho_{0} L_{\gamma}}
$$

Here $L_{\gamma}>0$ is the length of the closed geodesic $[\gamma] \neq 1$.

We start with the orbital integral $\mathcal{O}_{\gamma}\left(f_{k}\right)$ for $\gamma \neq 1$ which equals by (5.6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_{\gamma}\left(f_{k}\right) & =\int_{N} f_{k}\left(n^{-1} a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma} n\right) I_{\gamma}(\varphi)(n) d n \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{l-1}}\left(-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1}|u|^{2}+\left(1+|u|^{2}\right) \cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{-k} I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\left(\exp X_{u}\right) d u
\end{aligned}
$$

, where $\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1}$ as in (5.24)
$=\cosh ^{-k} L_{\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{l-1}}\left(-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1} \frac{|u|^{2}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}}\left(1+|u|^{2}\right)\right)^{-k} I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\left(\exp X_{u}\right) d u$
$=\cosh ^{-k} L_{\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{l-1}}\left(|u|^{2}\left(1-\frac{\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}}\right)+1\right)^{-k} I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\left(\exp X_{u}\right) d u$
$=\cosh ^{-k} L_{\gamma}\left(1-\frac{\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}}\right)^{-\rho_{0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{l-1}}\left(|u|^{2}+1\right)^{-k} I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\left(\exp X_{T(u)}\right) d u$
$=\cosh ^{\rho_{0}-k} L_{\gamma}\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1}\right)^{-\rho_{0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{l-1}}\left(|u|^{2}+1\right)^{-k} I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\left(\exp X_{T(u)}\right) d u$
$=: \quad(*)$,
where $T(u)=\left(\left(1-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1} \cosh ^{-1} L_{\gamma}\right)^{-1 / 2} u_{1}, \ldots,\left(1-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1} \cosh ^{-1} L_{\gamma}\right)^{-1 / 2} u_{l-1}\right)^{T}$.
By comparing the right side of the definition of $\mathcal{R}(k ; \varphi)$, (5.29), with the last equa-
tion $(*)$ for $\mathcal{O}_{\gamma}\left(f_{k}\right)=\cosh ^{-k+\rho_{0}} L_{\gamma} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} c(\varphi, \gamma, \pi, k)$ we see that
$\sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} c(\varphi, \gamma, \pi, k)=\cosh ^{k-\rho_{0}} L_{\gamma} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\gamma}\left(f_{k}\right) \stackrel{(*)}{=}\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1}\right)^{-\rho_{0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{l-1}}\left(|u|^{2}+1\right)^{-k} I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\left(\exp X_{T(u)}\right) d u$.
Now $\left|\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1}\right| \leq 1$ and $\varphi$ is bounded as a continuous function on compact $\Gamma \backslash X$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\left(\exp X_{T(u)}\right)\right| & \leq \int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>}\left|\varphi\left(\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} a \exp X_{T(u)}\right)\right| d a \\
& \leq C \int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>}=C L_{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $C:=\max _{x \in X_{\Gamma}}\{|\varphi(x)|\}$. For $k \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\varphi ; k):=C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{l-1}}\left(|u|^{2}+1\right)^{-\operatorname{Re}(k)} d u \geq 0 \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{l-1}}\left(|u|^{2}+1\right)^{-\operatorname{Re}(k)} d u
$$

surely converges for all $k \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$ and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{l-1}}\left(|u|^{2}+1\right)^{-\operatorname{Re}(k)} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{l-1}}\left(|u|^{2}+1\right)^{-2 \rho_{0}} d u=\frac{\omega_{l-1}}{2} B\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)
$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} c(\varphi, \gamma, \pi, k)\right| & =\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1}\right)^{-\rho_{0}}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{l-1}}\left(|u|^{2}+1\right)^{-k} I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\left(\exp X_{T(u)}\right) d u\right| \\
& \leq e^{-\rho_{0} L_{\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{l-1}}\left(|u|^{2}+1\right)^{-\operatorname{Re}(k)}\left|I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\left(\exp X_{T(u)}\right)\right| d u \\
& \leq C(\varphi) \cdot L_{\gamma} e^{-\rho_{0} L_{\gamma}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $C(\varphi):=C\left(\varphi ; 2 \rho_{0}\right)$, see (5.33).

We continue with the proof of Proposition 5.5.2. By Lemma 5.5.3 we know that for $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$

$$
|\mathcal{Z}(k ; \varphi)| \leq C(\varphi) \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} L_{\gamma} e^{-\rho_{0} L_{\gamma}}
$$

But for any $1 \neq \gamma \in C \Gamma$ we can find some natural number $n$ such that $n-1 \leq L_{\gamma} \leq n$. Hence $L_{\gamma} e^{-\rho_{0} L_{\gamma}} \leq n e^{-\rho_{0}(n-1)}=e^{\rho_{0}} n e^{-\rho_{0} n}$. It follows that $\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} L_{\gamma} e^{-\rho_{0} L_{\gamma}}$ is convergent as it is bounded from above by

$$
e^{\rho_{0}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n e^{-\rho_{0} n}
$$

which is convergent as $\rho_{0}>0$.

Remark 5.5.4. We follow Anantharaman and Zelditch in calling $\mathcal{R}(\sigma)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\sigma)$ zeta functions, instead of logarithmic derivatives of zeta functions, which would be more correct in view of the classical Selberg Zeta function

$$
Z_{S}(k):=\prod_{[\gamma]} \prod_{s=0}^{\infty}\left(1-e^{-(s+k) L_{\gamma}}\right)
$$

Normally one would pass from $\frac{d}{d k} \ln Z_{S}$ to $Z_{S}$ by showing that $\frac{d}{d k} \ln Z_{S}$ has simple poles with integer residue. As we will see in Chapter $7, \mathcal{Z}(\sigma)$ still has simple poles in some cases, but we can not guarantee that its residues are integers.

Theorem 5.5.5. Let $X=G / K$ be a real hyperbolic space and $\Gamma \subset G$ a uniform lattice. Let $\varphi$ be a non trivial automorphic eigenfunction and $k \in \mathbb{C}$. We have

$$
\mathcal{Z}(k ; \varphi)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta\left(k-\rho_{0} ; m\right) \mathcal{R}(k+2 m ; \varphi)
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$, where the coefficients $\beta\left(k-\rho_{0} ; m\right)$ are determined by Lemma 5.5.1.

Proof. A slight modification of the proof of [AZ07, Lemma 9.3.] works also here. We have $e^{-t} \cdot \cosh t=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+e^{-2 t}\right)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(e^{-t}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}} & =\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}}(\cosh t)^{-\left(k-\rho_{0}\right)}\left(1+e^{-2 t}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}}(\cosh t)^{-\left(k-\rho_{0}\right)}\left(1+(\cosh t-\sinh t)^{2}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}}(\cosh t)^{-\left(k-\rho_{0}\right)}\left(1+\left(y-\sqrt{y^{2}-1}\right)^{2}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $y=\cosh t$ and using $\cosh ^{2} t-\sinh ^{2} t=1$. We continue with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(e^{-t}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}} & =\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}}(\cosh t)^{-\left(k-\rho_{0}\right)}\left(1+\left(y^{2}-2 y \sqrt{y^{2}-1}+y^{2}-1\right)\right)^{k-\rho_{0}} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}}(\cosh t)^{-\left(k-\rho_{0}\right)}\left(2 y^{2}-2 y^{2} \sqrt{1-y^{-2}}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}}(\cosh t)^{-\left(k-\rho_{0}\right)}\left(2 y^{2}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}}\left(1-\sqrt{1-y^{-2}}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}} \\
& =(\cosh t)^{-\left(k-\rho_{0}\right)}\left(y^{2}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}}\left(1-\sqrt{1-y^{-2}}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We assume now $t \neq 0$, so that $\cosh t>1$. By the preceding Lemma 5.5.1 there are coefficients $\beta\left(k-\rho_{0} ; m\right)$ such that

$$
\left(1-\sqrt{1-y^{-2}}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}}=\left(y^{2}\right)^{-\left(k-\rho_{0}\right)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta\left(k-\rho_{0} ; m\right)\left(y^{2}\right)^{-m}
$$

Hence,

$$
(\cosh t)^{-\left(k-\rho_{0}\right)}\left(y^{2}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}}\left(y^{2}\right)^{-\left(k-\rho_{0}\right)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta\left(k-\rho_{0} ; m\right)\left(y^{2}\right)^{-m}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta\left(k-\rho_{0} ; m\right)(\cosh t)^{-\left(k+2 m-\rho_{0}\right)} .
$$

Thus, we have shown for any $t \neq 0$

$$
\left(e^{-t}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta\left(k-\rho_{0} ; m\right)(\cosh t)^{-\left(k+2 m-\rho_{0}\right)}
$$

and it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1 \neq \gamma} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} c\left(\varphi_{n}, \gamma, \pi, k\right) e^{-\left(k-\rho_{0}\right) L_{\gamma}} & =\sum_{1 \neq \gamma} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} c\left(\varphi_{n}, \gamma, \pi, k\right) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta\left(k-\rho_{0} ; m\right)\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{-\left(k+2 m-\rho_{0}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta\left(k-\rho_{0} ; m\right) \sum_{1 \neq \gamma} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} c\left(\varphi_{n}, \gamma, \pi, k\right)\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{-\left(k+2 m-\rho_{0}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L_{\gamma}=\sqrt{2(l-1)}^{-1} l_{\gamma}>0$ for $\gamma \neq 1$.

### 5.6. Simplifications - the zeta function for $S O_{o}(1,2)$ and $S O_{o}(1,3)$

In this section we want to explain how the zeta function

$$
\mathcal{R}(k ; \varphi)=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} c(\varphi, \gamma, \pi, k)\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{-k+\rho_{0}}
$$

resp. $c(\varphi, \gamma, \pi, k)$ simplify if $\Gamma$ satisfies a special property. Namely, we have seen before that every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is conjugated in $G$ to some $a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma} \in A M$. The assumption we make is that $m_{\gamma}$ is always central in $M$.

This assumption is satisfied for any uniform lattice, if $G=S O_{o}(1,2)$ or $S O_{o}(1,3)$. In this case $M$ is trivial resp. isomorphic to $S O(2)$, i.e. abelian. Unfortunately, we do not know of any examples in $S O_{o}(1, l)$, for $l>3$. The case $S O_{o}(1,2)$ has also been considered in [AZ07].

Let 1 denote the trivial representation of $M$ on $\mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi \neq 1$ a non trivial automorphic eigenfunction with eigenvalue $\mu=-\frac{1}{4}\left(\rho_{0}+\frac{r^{2}}{\rho_{0}}\right)$. Further, let us assume $m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}=m_{\gamma}$ for all $m \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We now look at the definition (5.27) of $c(\varphi, \gamma, \pi, k)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
c(\varphi, \gamma, \pi, k)= & \omega_{l-1} d_{\pi} \int_{M} \frac{1}{\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{2}}} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{-k} \\
& \cdot\left(\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\right)\left(m \cdot \exp \sqrt{\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1}}} s X_{e_{1}}\right) d s d m \\
= & (*) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In Proposition 2.2.6 we observed that for $\sigma \in C(\Gamma \backslash G), n \in N$ and $z \in M_{m_{\gamma}} A$

$$
\int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} a z n\right) d a=\int_{\alpha_{\gamma} \Gamma_{\gamma} \alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} \backslash G_{a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma}}} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} x z n\right) d x=\int_{\alpha_{\gamma} \Gamma_{\gamma} \alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} \backslash G_{a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma}}} \sigma\left(\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} x n\right) d x .
$$

In particular this is true for $z \in M_{m_{\gamma}}=M$ by assumption. It follows that the weight function

$$
I_{\gamma}(\varphi)(n)=\int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>} \varphi\left(\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} a n\right) d a=\int_{\alpha_{\gamma} \Gamma_{\gamma} \alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} \backslash G_{a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma}}} \varphi\left(\alpha_{\gamma}^{-1} x n\right) d x
$$

is also left- $M$-invariant since $M_{m_{\gamma}}=M$, i.e. $G_{a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma}}=M A$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Thus, $\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\varphi)=0$ for all $\pi \neq 1$ and $c(\varphi, \gamma, \mathbf{1}, k)=0$ for any non trivial $\pi$. Then $\mathcal{R}(k ; \varphi)$ simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}(k ; \varphi)=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} c(\varphi, \gamma, \mathbf{1}, k)\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{-k+\rho_{0}} . \tag{5.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $\pi=\mathbf{1}$ implies $p=d=0$, see Theorem 4.3.1, hence we get the following proposition.

Proposition 5.6.1. For $\varphi \neq 1, \gamma \neq 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$ the coefficient $c(\varphi, \gamma, \mathbf{1}, k)$ can be computed to

$$
\begin{align*}
c(\varphi, \gamma, \mathbf{1}, k)= & \omega_{l-1} \sqrt{2(l-1)}\left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \varphi\right) \frac{1}{\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1}\right)^{\rho_{0}}} \\
& \cdot \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{-k}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2} \frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1}}\right) d s, \tag{5.35}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\frac{1}{\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{(1,1)}\right)^{\rho_{0}}}=\frac{2^{\rho_{0}}}{e^{\rho_{0} L_{\gamma}} \operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)}
$$

For $l=2$ and $l=3$ we thus get

$$
\mathcal{R}(k ; \varphi)=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} c(\varphi, \gamma, \mathbf{1}, k)\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{-k+1 / 2}, l=2
$$

resp.

$$
\mathcal{R}(k ; \varphi)=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} c(\varphi, \gamma, \mathbf{1}, k)\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{-k+1}, l=3
$$

where

$$
c(\varphi, \gamma, \mathbf{1}, k)=\frac{2\left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \varphi\right)}{\sinh L_{\gamma} / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{-k}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \frac{1}{2} ;-s^{2} \frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-1}\right) d s
$$

for $l=2$ resp.
$c(\varphi, \gamma, \mathbf{1}, k)=\frac{2 \omega_{2}\left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \varphi\right)}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} s\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{-k}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, 1 ;-s^{2} \frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1}}\right) d s$
for $l=3$.

Proof. First, by the assumptions on $m_{\gamma}$ and as $I_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ is left- $M$-invariant we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
c(\varphi, \gamma, \mathbf{1}, k)= & \omega_{l-1} \int_{M} \frac{1}{\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{2}}} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{-k} \\
& \cdot I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\left(m \cdot \exp \sqrt{\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}^{\left.m m^{-1}\right)_{1,1}}\right.}} s X_{e_{1}}\right) d s d m \\
= & \omega_{l-1} \frac{1}{\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{2}}} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{-k} \\
& \cdot I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\left(\exp \sqrt{\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1}}} s X_{e_{1}}\right) d s \\
=: & (+) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The equality in (5.35) of Proposition 5.6.1 then follows directly from (+) and the following observations

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\gamma}(\varphi)\left(\exp \sqrt{\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1}}} s X_{e_{1}}\right) & =I_{\gamma}(\varphi)(e)_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2} \frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1}}\right) \\
& =\sqrt{2(l-1)}\left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \varphi\right){ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2} \frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

see equations (5.19) for $l=2$ resp. (5.18), $l \geq 3$, with $p=d=0$ and also (5.11) and Remark 5.4.4. To get the second equality about $\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{(1,1)}\right)^{-\rho_{0}}$ we use two observations.

Lemma 5.6.2. Let $a \in A^{+}$and $m \in M$. The map $h(m a): n \mapsto m^{-1} a^{-1} n^{-1} a m n$ is a diffeomorphism of $N$ and the Haar measure dn transforms to

$$
d h(m a)(n)=\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}(m a)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right) d n
$$

Proof. See [Hel94, Ch. I Lem.5.4.] or [Wil91, Th.11.24.].
Corollary 5.6.3. For integrable functions $f$ on $N, a \in A^{+}$and $m \in M$

$$
\int_{N} f(n) d n=\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}(m a)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right) \int_{N} f\left(m^{-1} a^{-1} n^{-1} a m n\right) d n
$$

resp. if $f$ is also bi-K-invariant, then

$$
\int_{N} f(a n) d n=\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}(m a)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right) \int_{N} f\left(n^{-1} a m n\right) d n
$$

We already know that for $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
f_{k}\left(\left(\exp -s X_{e_{1}}\right) a_{\gamma} m\left(\exp s X_{e_{1}}\right)\right)=\left(-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1} s^{2}+\left(1+s^{2}\right) \cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{-k}
$$

see (5.23). Also for $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
f_{k}\left(\exp t H_{0} \exp s X_{e_{1}}\right)=f_{k}\left(a_{t} \exp s X_{e_{1}}\right)=\left(\cosh t+\frac{s^{2}}{2} e^{t}\right)^{-k}
$$

see (5.25). We finally recall the polar coordinates formula

$$
\int_{N} f(n) d n=\omega_{l-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2} f\left(\exp s X_{e_{1}}\right) d s
$$

for bi- $M$-invariant, integrable functions $f$ on $N$. Thus for $f=f_{k}, a=a_{\gamma}=$ $\exp L_{\gamma} H_{0}$ and $m=m_{\gamma}$ we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)} \int_{N} f_{k}\left(a_{\gamma} n\right) d n \\
= & \frac{\omega_{l-1}}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{M} f_{k}\left(a_{\gamma} m \exp s X_{e_{1}} m^{-1}\right) d m d s \\
= & \frac{\omega_{l-1}}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{M} f_{k}\left(a_{\gamma} \exp s X_{e_{1}}\right) d m d s \\
= & \frac{\omega_{l-1}}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(\frac{s^{2}}{2} e^{L_{\gamma}}+\cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{-k} d s \\
= & \frac{\omega_{l-1}}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)} \cosh ^{-k} L_{\gamma} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(\frac{s^{2} e^{L_{\gamma}}}{2 \cosh L_{\gamma}}+1\right)^{-k} d s \\
= & \frac{\omega_{l-1}}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)} \cosh ^{-k} L_{\gamma} e^{-\frac{l-1}{2} L_{\gamma}}\left(2 \cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{-k} d s \\
= & \frac{\omega_{l-1}}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)} 2^{\frac{l-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{l-1}{2} L_{\gamma}} \cosh ^{-k+\frac{l-1}{2}} L_{\gamma} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{-k} d s \\
= & (*)
\end{aligned}
$$

equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{N} f_{k}\left(n^{-1} m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma} n\right) d n= & \omega_{l-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2} \int_{M} f_{k}\left(\exp -s X_{e_{1}}\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right) a_{\gamma} \exp s X_{e_{1}}\right) d m d s \\
= & \omega_{l-1} \int_{M} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1} s^{2}+\left(1+s^{2}\right) \cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{-k} d s d m \\
& \left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1}=\left(m^{-1} m m\right)_{1,1} \\
= & \omega_{l-1} \cosh ^{-k} L_{\gamma} \int_{M} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}\left(1-\frac{\left(m^{m^{\prime}}\right)_{1,1}}{\cosh t}\right)+1\right)^{-k} d s d m^{\prime} \\
= & \omega_{l-1} \cosh ^{-k} L_{\gamma} \int_{M}\left(1-\frac{\left(m_{\gamma}^{\left.m^{-1}\right)_{1,1}}\right.}{\cosh L_{\gamma}}\right)^{-\frac{l-1}{2}} d m \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-k} d s \\
= & \omega_{l-1} \cosh ^{-k+\frac{l-1}{2}} L_{\gamma} \int_{M}\left(\frac{1}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1}}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{2}} d m \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-k} d s \\
=: & (* *) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, Corollary 5.6.3 and comparing $(*)$ to $(* *)$ gives

$$
\int_{M}\left(\frac{1}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1}}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{2}} d m=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)} 2^{\frac{l-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{l-1}{2} L_{\gamma}}
$$

In particular if $m_{\gamma}$ is central then $\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{(1,1)}=\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1}$ is actually independent of $m$ and as $\int_{M}=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M}\left(\frac{1}{\cosh t-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1}}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{2}} d m & =\frac{1}{\left(\cosh t-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{2}}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)} 2^{\frac{l-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{l-1}{2} L_{\gamma}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we can replace

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{(1,1)}\right)^{\rho_{0}}} & =\frac{1}{\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{(1,1)}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{2}}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{t}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)} 2^{\frac{l-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{l-1}{2} L_{\gamma}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{t}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)} 2^{\rho_{0}} e^{-\rho_{0} L_{\gamma}} \tag{5.36}
\end{align*}
$$

in the first equality of (5.35).

We can even get rid off the integrals in the formula (5.35) for $c(\varphi, \gamma, \mathbf{1})$. Putting for the moment $z:=\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m-1}\right)_{1,1}\right)} \stackrel{(5.36)}{=} \frac{2^{\rho_{0}} \cosh L_{\gamma}}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\mathrm{n}}\right) e^{\rho_{0} L_{\gamma}}}$ we have to compute for $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$ the integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{-k}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2} \frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1}}\right) d s \\
= & \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{-k}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-z s^{2}\right) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the transformation $s \mapsto \sqrt{s}$ this turns into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{\frac{l-2}{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{2}}(s+1)^{-k} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-z s\right) d s \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $\rho_{0}=\frac{l-1}{2}$ the transformation $s \mapsto \frac{s}{z}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{\frac{l-2}{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{2}}(s+1)^{-k}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-z s\right) d s \\
= & \frac{1}{2} z^{k-\rho_{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{\rho_{0}-1}(s+z)^{-k}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s\right) d s \\
= & \frac{1}{2} z^{k-\rho_{0}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma\left(a-\rho_{0}+k\right) \Gamma\left(b-\rho_{0}+k\right)}{\Gamma(k) \Gamma\left(a+b-\rho_{0}+k\right)} \\
& { }_{2} F_{1}\left(a-\rho_{0}+k, b-\rho_{0}+k, a+b-\rho_{0}+k ; 1-z\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} z^{k-\rho_{0}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma(a-c+k) \Gamma\left(b-\rho_{0}+k\right)}{\Gamma(k)^{2}} \\
& { }_{2} F_{1}\left(a-\rho_{0}+k, b-\rho_{0}+k, a+b-\rho_{0}+k ; 1-z\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} z^{k-\rho_{0}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma(k-a) \Gamma(k-b)}{\Gamma(k)^{2}} \\
& { }_{2} F_{1}\left(a-\rho_{0}+k, b-\rho_{0}+k, k ; 1-z\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used an integral transform for hypergeometric functions, see [Bat54, 20.2 (10)] which is valid for $\operatorname{Re}\left(a-\rho_{0}+k\right)=\operatorname{Re}(k-b)=\operatorname{Re}\left(k-\frac{\rho_{0}}{2}+\frac{i r}{2}\right)>0$, $\operatorname{Re}\left(b-\rho_{0}+k\right)=\operatorname{Re}(k-a)=\operatorname{Re}\left(k-\frac{\rho_{0}}{2}-\frac{i r}{2}\right)>0$ for $k \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$.

From (5.35) we thus get

$$
\begin{align*}
c(\varphi, \gamma, \mathbf{1}, k)= & \omega_{l-1} \sqrt{2(l-1)}\left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \varphi\right) \frac{1}{\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1}\right)^{\rho_{0}}} \\
& \cdot \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1}}\right)^{k-\rho_{0}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}-i r\right)+k\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}+i r\right)+k\right)}{\Gamma(k)^{2}} \\
& \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}-i r\right)+k,-\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}+i r\right)+k, k ; 1-\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1}}\right) \\
= & \frac{\omega_{l-1} \sqrt{2(l-1)}\left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \varphi\right)}{2}\left(\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{(1,1)}}\right)^{k-2 \rho_{0}} \\
& \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}-i r\right)+k\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}+i r\right)+k\right)}{\Gamma(k)^{2}} \\
(5.38) \quad & \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}-i r\right)+k,-\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}+i r\right)+k, k ; 1-\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1}}\right) \tag{5.38}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\frac{2^{\rho_{0}} \cosh L_{\gamma}}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\rho_{0} L_{\gamma}}}=\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}\right)_{1,1}}$.
Remark 5.6.4. Recall the definition (5.27) for $c(\varphi, \gamma, \pi, k)$. For $G=S O_{o}(1, l)$ with $l \geq 4$ the definition of $c(\varphi, \gamma, \pi, k)$ can be simplified at least one more step by using a computer algebra program like MATHEMATICA in order to carry out the $d s$-integration. Let $z:=\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-\left(m_{\gamma}^{m-1}\right)_{(1,1)}}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{-k} s^{2 p}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+p, b+p, 1+2 \sqrt{\frac{\left(\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}{4}-d ;-z s^{2}}\right) d s \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(z^{k-\rho_{0}-p} \frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(l-3)^{2}-16 d}\right) \Gamma(k-a) \Gamma(k-b) \Gamma\left(p-k+\rho_{0}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+k-\rho_{0}-p+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(l-3)^{2}-16 d}\right) \Gamma(a+p) \Gamma(b+p)}\right. \\
& { }_{3} F_{2}\left(\{k, k-a, k-b\},\left\{k+1-p-\rho_{0}, 1+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(l-3)^{2}-16 d}+k-\rho_{0}-p\right\} ; z\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{\Gamma\left(k-\rho_{0}-p\right) \Gamma\left(\rho_{0}+p\right)}{\Gamma(k)}{ }_{3} F_{2}\left(\left\{a+p, b+p, \rho_{0}+p\right\},\left\{1+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(l-3)^{2}-16 d}, \rho_{0}-k+p\right\} ; z\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here

$$
{ }_{3} F_{2}\left(\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{4}\right\},\left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right\} ; z\right):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)_{k}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)_{k}\left(\alpha_{3}\right)_{k}}{\left(\beta_{1}\right)_{k}\left(\beta_{2}\right)_{k}} \frac{z^{k}}{k!}
$$

denotes the generalized hypergeometric function, see for example [Olv74, §11].

## 5.7. $\sigma \equiv 1$ - the classical Selberg zeta function

In this section we want to compare the (classical) Selberg zeta function as one can find in the book $[\mathbf{B O 9 5}]$ with our zeta function $\mathcal{Z}(1)$ derived from $\mathcal{R}(1)$. So we recall that we have considered the auxiliary zeta function from (5.29)

$$
\mathcal{R}(k ; \varphi)=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} c(\varphi, \gamma, \pi, k)\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{-k+\rho_{0}}
$$

and the zeta function from (5.32)

$$
\mathcal{Z}(k ; \varphi)=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} c(\varphi, \gamma, \pi, k) e^{-\left(k-\rho_{0}\right) L_{\gamma}} .
$$

From now on we fix $\varphi \equiv 1$ and go back to Theorem 5.2 .4 with $\sigma \equiv \varphi \equiv 1$. Then we note that in this case the weight $I_{\gamma}(\sigma)$, see (5.5), is a constant which equals

$$
\int_{A /<a_{\gamma_{0}}>} d a=\int_{0}^{L_{\gamma_{0}}} d t=\frac{L_{\gamma}}{n_{\gamma}}=L_{\gamma_{0}}=\frac{l_{\gamma_{0}}}{\sqrt{2(l-1)}},
$$

see Proposition 2.2.3, where $l_{\gamma_{0}}$ is the length of the prime closed geodesic belonging to the conjugacy class of $\gamma$. In particular, the weight is $M$-invariant and hence $\chi_{\pi} * I_{\gamma}(\sigma)=0$ for any $\pi \neq 1$. Hence,
(5.39) $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sigma \cdot \pi_{R}(f)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\pi_{R}(f)\right)=f(e)|\Gamma \backslash G|+\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} L_{\gamma_{0}} \int_{N} f\left(n^{-1} a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma} n\right) d n$ for any $f \in C^{\infty}(G / / K)$ such that $\pi_{R}(f)$ is of trace-class. We note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, k\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} u^{l-2}\left(u^{2}+1\right)^{-k} d u & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{\frac{n-2}{2} y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+y)^{-k} d y} \\
& =\frac{\Gamma\left(k-\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right)}{2 \Gamma(k)}
\end{aligned}
$$

see (2.24). Furthermore, $\omega_{l-1}$ is positive by definition. Now we modify the function $f_{k}$ from (5.21) by dividing it by the non-zero number, see (6.15),

$$
2^{\rho_{0}} \omega_{l-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{-k} d s=2^{\rho_{0}-1} \omega_{l-1} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)
$$

Then replacing $f_{k}$ by

$$
\frac{f_{k}}{2^{\rho_{0}-1} \omega_{l-1} B\left(k-\rho_{0} \cdot \rho_{0}\right)}
$$

in $\pi_{R}\left(f_{k}\right)$ gives still a trace class operator and in the proof of Proposition 5.6.1 we have computed

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{N} f_{k}\left(n^{-1} a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma} n\right) d n & =\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)} \int_{N} f_{k}\left(a_{\gamma} n\right) d n \\
& =\frac{2^{\rho_{0}-1} e^{-\rho_{0} t} \omega_{l-1} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)} \cosh ^{-k+\rho_{0}} L_{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{1}{2^{\rho_{0}-1} \omega_{l-1} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)} \int_{N} f_{k}\left(n^{-1} a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma} n\right) d n=\frac{e^{-\rho_{0} L_{\gamma}}}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)} \cosh ^{-k+\rho_{0}} L_{\gamma} .
$$

We define for $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$

$$
\mathcal{R}(k ; 1):=\mathcal{R}(k):=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \frac{L_{\gamma_{0}} e^{-\rho_{0} L_{\gamma}}}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)}\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{\left(-k+\rho_{0}\right) L_{\gamma}}
$$

resp.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}(k ; 1):=\mathcal{Z}(k):=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \frac{L_{\gamma_{0}} e^{-\rho_{0} L_{\gamma}}}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)} e^{\left(-k+\rho_{0}\right) L_{\gamma}} . \tag{5.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows immediately from (5.39) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}(k) & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\pi_{R}\left(\frac{f_{k}}{\omega_{l-1} 2^{\rho_{0}-1} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)}\right)\right)-\frac{f_{k}(e)}{\omega_{l-1} 2^{\rho_{0}-1} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)} \cdot|\Gamma \backslash G| \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\pi_{R}\left(\frac{f_{k}}{\omega_{l-1} 2^{\rho_{0}-1} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{\omega_{l-1} 2^{\rho_{0}-1} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)} \cdot|\Gamma \backslash G|
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\mathcal{R}(k)$ is well-defined at least for $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$. Also, the proof of 5.5.5 works as before to get

$$
\mathcal{Z}(k)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta\left(k-\rho_{0} ; m\right) \mathcal{R}(k+2 m)
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$.
We compare now $\mathcal{Z}$ to the logarithmic derivative $L_{S, \chi}(s, \sigma)$ of the zeta function

$$
Z_{S, \mathbf{1}}(k, \mathbf{1}):=\prod_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \prod_{r=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{det}\left(1-\left(S^{r}\left(\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}\right)\right|_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}\right)\right) e^{-\left(k+\rho_{0}\right) l_{\gamma}}\right)
$$

from [BO95, Def. 3.2], when $\chi=\mathbf{1}$ is the trivial character of $\Gamma, \sigma=\mathbf{1}$ the trivial representation of $M$ and $S^{r}$ denotes the $r^{t h}$ symmetric power of an endomorphism. By Lemma 3.3. in [BO95] $Z_{S, \mathbf{1}}(s, \sigma)$ converges for $\operatorname{Re}(k)>\rho_{0}$ and $L_{S, \mathbf{1}}(s, \mathbf{1})$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{S, \mathbf{1}}(k, \mathbf{1}):=\frac{d}{d k} \ln Z_{S, \mathbf{1}}(k, \mathbf{1})=2 \cdot \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \frac{l_{\gamma}}{n_{\gamma}} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)} e^{\left(\rho_{0}-k\right) l_{\gamma}} . \tag{5.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $\gamma_{0}^{n_{\gamma}}=\gamma$, that is $l_{\gamma} / n_{\gamma}=l_{\gamma_{0}}$. If we compare (5.40) with (5.41) we see using

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(a_{t}\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)=e^{2 \rho_{0} t} \operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(a_{-t}\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)
$$

that up to the constant $2(-1)^{l-1}, \mathcal{Z}(k)$ equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{S, \mathbf{1}}\left(k-\rho_{0}, \mathbf{1}\right), \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the special case that for all $\gamma \in \Gamma, m_{\gamma}$ is trivial. In particular for $l=2$, i.e. $G=S O_{0}(1,2)$. More general let us define

$$
c\left(a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma}\right):=\frac{l_{\gamma_{0}}}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\operatorname{Ad}\left(a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)} .
$$

We recall that for $\gamma \in \Gamma, l_{\gamma}=\sqrt{2(l-1)} l_{\gamma}$, thus

$$
\mathcal{Z}(k)=(\sqrt{2(l-1)})^{-1} \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} c\left(a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma}\right) e^{-k L_{\gamma}}
$$

and

$$
Z_{S, \mathbf{1}}\left(k+\rho_{0}, \mathbf{1}\right)=2(-1)^{l-1} \cdot \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} c\left(a_{\gamma}^{-1} m_{\gamma}^{-1}\right) e^{-k l_{\gamma}}
$$

## CHAPTER 6

## The spectral trace

In this chapter we want to compute the spectral trace of the trace class operator $\varphi \cdot \pi_{R}\left(f_{k}\right)$ from Chapter 5 . We obtain a general formula involving Wigner distributions which is valid for all rank one symmetric spaces, see Theorem 6.1.3 and a refined version thereof which involves Patterson-Sullivan distributions in Theorem 6.2.18. A careful analysis of this refined trace formula will show that we can define a meromorphic continuation of $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\varphi)$ by Theorem 6.2 .18 . This will be done in the next chapter.

### 6.1. First computations on the spectral trace

Let $X=G / K$ be a symmetric space of noncompact type of rank one, $G=$ $N A K, M=Z_{K}(A), \Gamma \subset G$ a uniform lattice and $B=K / M$ the boundary of $X=G / K$. We denote by $S X_{\Gamma}$ the unit sphere bundle of $X_{\Gamma}=\Gamma \backslash X$. It can be identified with $\Gamma \backslash G / M$, see Lemma 2.2.5. We also identify the dual $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$ of the complexification $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}=\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbb{C}$ by sending a linear functional $\lambda$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ to $\lambda\left(H_{0}\right)$, where $H_{0}$ is defined in (4.9). By $\Omega$ we denote the Casimir operator as in (2.2).

Further, let $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis of $L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)$ of automorphic eigenfunctions, in particular

$$
\Omega \varphi_{j}=-\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right) \varphi_{j}, j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}
$$

for $\lambda_{j} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi_{j}(\gamma g)=\varphi_{j}(g)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then one can assume that

$$
0=\lambda_{0}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2} \leq \lambda_{1}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2} \leq \cdots,
$$

see [Wil91, (12.12)]. Hence, there are the two cases $\lambda_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$ or $\lambda_{j} \in i \mathbb{R}$ and there are only finitely many $\lambda_{j}$ in the latter case, see [Wil91, Cor. 12.10]. Thus, when we consider asymptotics, we can assume that $\lambda_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\varphi_{j}$ is an eigenfunction with $\lambda_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$, we say that $\varphi_{j}$ or $\lambda_{j}$ lies in the principal series, otherwise we say that $\varphi_{j}$ resp. $\lambda_{j}$ is in the complementary series. Let $j_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\varphi_{j}$ is in the complementary series iff $j \leq j_{0}$. We make the assumption that $\lambda_{j_{0}}$ possibly equals 0 , while $\lambda_{j} \neq 0$ for all $j \neq j_{0}$.

We recall that we defined the horocycle bracket by

$$
\langle g K, k M\rangle=A\left(k^{-1} g\right)
$$

see (2.19), where $A: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ is the projection belonging to $G=N A K$. One can show that there is for any automorphic eigenfunction $\varphi$ with eigenvalue $-\left(\lambda^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)$ some $T_{\varphi}$ in the dual $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(B)$ of $C^{\infty}(B)$, such that for $z \in X_{\Gamma}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=\left\langle e^{(i \lambda+\rho)\langle z, \cdot\rangle}, T_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{B}=: \int_{B} e^{(i \lambda+\rho)\langle z, b\rangle} d T_{\varphi}(b) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

see $[\mathbf{H S}]$ or $[\mathrm{Hel01}$, Ch.II $\S 4 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{iii})] . T_{\varphi}$ is unique up to Weyl group action. That is, if we assume $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \geq 0$, then $T_{\varphi}$ is unique. We will always assume that $\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) \geq 0$ for all eigenvalues $\mu_{j}$ and call $T_{\varphi}$ boundary value of $\varphi$.

We state an analogue of Proposition 2.10 in [Zel89] for the special case of an automorphic eigenfunction. It shows that the operator $\pi_{R}(f)$, defined in (5.1), is
diagonalized by the orthonormal basis $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. Finally, we recall the definitions of the spherical transform $\mathcal{S}(f, \cdot)$ in (2.21).

Proposition 6.1.1. $\pi_{R}(f) \varphi_{j}=\mathcal{S}\left(f,-\lambda_{j}\right) \varphi_{j}$, where $f \in L^{1}(G / / K)$.
Proof. [Gan72, Th.7,Cor. 8 and following remark]

By the invariance of the spherical transform under the Weyl group we also have $\mathcal{S}\left(f,-\lambda_{j}\right)=\mathcal{S}\left(f, \lambda_{j}\right)$ for all $j$.

Let us fix an automorphic eigenfunction $\varphi_{k}$ from the orthonormal basis $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}$ as we did in Section 5.3. We suppose in addition that $f \in C^{\infty}(G / / K)$ is such that $\pi_{R}(f)$ is of trace class, for example $f=f_{k}$ from Section 5.4. We then conclude that, as for Proposition 5.1.1, since $\varphi_{k}$ is bounded, that $\varphi_{k} \cdot \pi_{R}(f)$ is also of trace class and maps $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash X)$ into itself.

In contrast to Chapter 5, where we computed the trace by integrating a kernel for $\varphi_{k} \cdot \pi_{R}(f)$ over $\Gamma \backslash X$ to get the trace, we now sum matrix coefficients which we build from pairing $\varphi_{k} \cdot \pi_{R}(f)$ with the orthonormal basis $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}$. Explicitly,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\varphi_{k} \cdot \pi_{R}(f)\right) & =\sum_{j}\left\langle\varphi_{k} \cdot \pi_{R}(f) \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)} \\
\stackrel{\text { Prop.6.1.1 }}{=} & \sum_{j}\left\langle\varphi_{k} \mathcal{S}\left(f,-\lambda_{j}\right) \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{j}\left\langle\varphi_{k} \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)} \mathcal{S}\left(f,-\lambda_{j}\right\rangle . \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Next we define a map Op from $C\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)$ into the bounded operators on $L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Op}(a) \varphi_{j}:=a \cdot \varphi_{j} . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we can infer from (6.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\varphi_{k} \cdot \pi_{R}(f)\right) & =\sum_{j}\left\langle\varphi_{k} \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)} \mathcal{S}\left(f,-\lambda_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j}\left\langle\operatorname{Op}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)} \mathcal{S}\left(f,-\lambda_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 6.1.2. This definition of Op is a special instance of a $\psi D O$-calculus for symbols from [Sch10, Def. 4.14.], that is, a map Op from symbols $a \in C^{\infty}(X \times B)$ into continuous operators from

$$
C^{\infty}(X)_{c} \rightarrow C^{\infty}(X)
$$

resp. from the dual of $C^{\infty}(X)$

$$
\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(X):=\left(C^{\infty}(X)\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(X):=\left(C_{c}^{\infty}(X)\right)^{\prime}
$$

into the dual of $C_{c}^{\infty}(X)$, see [Sch10, Th. 4.15.]. For $a \in C_{c}^{\infty}(X \times B), \operatorname{Op}(a)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Op}(a) u(x)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathfrak{a}_{+}^{*}} \int_{K / M} e^{(i \lambda+\rho)\langle z, b\rangle} \mathcal{F}(u, \lambda, b) a(x, b) d b|c(\lambda)|^{-2} d \lambda, \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}(u, \lambda, b)$ is Helgason's non-euclidean Fouriertransform, see (2.20), $c(\lambda)$ is Harish-Chandra's $c$-function and we identified $G / M=X \times K / M$, i.e. $a(z)=a(x, b)$ for $z \in G / M, x \in X, b \in K / M$. Note that this integral is finite, as $\mathcal{F}(u, \lambda, b)$ is rapidly decreasing in $\lambda$, see [Hel94, Ch.III Th.5.1.]. Furthermore, it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Op}(a) e^{(i \lambda+\rho)\langle z, b\rangle}=a(z, b) e^{(i \lambda+\rho)\langle z, b\rangle} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a \in C(G / M)$, see $[\mathbf{H S},(4.2)]$ or $[\mathbf{S c h 1 0},(4.18)]$.
The linear map $a \mapsto\left\langle\operatorname{Op}(a) \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)}$ is called the Wigner distribution associated with $\varphi_{j}$ on $C^{\infty}\left(S X_{\Gamma}\right)$.

With (6.5) we also see that the definition of Op from [Sch10, Def. 4.14.] extends (6.3), since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Op}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \varphi_{j}(x)= \operatorname{Op}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \int_{B} e^{(i \lambda+\rho)\langle x, b\rangle} d T_{\varphi_{j}}(b), T_{\varphi_{j}} \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(B) \text { boundary value, see (6.1) } \\
&= \int_{B} \operatorname{Op}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) e^{(i \lambda+\rho)\langle x, b\rangle} d T_{\varphi_{j}}(b), \text { see }[\mathbf{H S},(4.7)] \\
&= \int_{B} \varphi_{k}(x) e^{(i \lambda+\rho)\langle x, b\rangle} d T_{\varphi_{j}}(b) \\
& \quad \text { with } \operatorname{Op}(a) e^{(i \lambda+\rho)\langle x, b\rangle}=a(x, \lambda, b) e^{(i \lambda+\rho)\langle x, b\rangle}, \text { see }[\mathbf{S c h 1 0},(4.18)] \\
&= \varphi_{k}(x) \int_{B} e^{(i \lambda+\rho)\langle x, b\rangle} d T_{\varphi_{j}}(b)=\varphi_{k}(x) \varphi_{j}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x \in X$.
To sum up, we have the following.
Proposition 6.1.3. Let $X=G / K$ be a noncompact symmetric space of rank one and $\Gamma \subset G$ a uniform lattice. Further, we fix a orthonormal basis $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}_{j}$ of $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash X)$ of automorphic Laplace-eigenfunctions with eigenvalues

$$
-\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)
$$

and choose $\varphi_{k}$ in $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}_{j}$. Finally, let $f \in C^{\infty}(G / / K)$ be such that $\pi_{R}(f)$ is of trace class, where $\pi_{R}$ is the right-regular representation of $G$ on $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash G)$. For example $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G / / K)$ suffices. Then $\varphi_{k} \cdot \pi_{R}(f)$ is also of trace class with trace given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\varphi_{k} \cdot \pi_{R}(f)\right)=\sum_{j}\left\langle\operatorname{Op}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(S X_{\Gamma}\right)} \mathcal{S}\left(f, \lambda_{j}\right) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

See (6.3) for the definition of $\operatorname{Op}\left(\varphi_{k}\right)$.
We call (6.6) also the spectral trace of the operator $\varphi_{k} \cdot \pi_{R}(f)$.

### 6.2. From Wigner to Patterson-Sullivan distributions

In this section $G / K$ is at first an arbitrary noncompact symmetric space of rank one. From Theorem 6.2.7 on we will specialize to real hyperbolic spaces. The next step then is to relate $\left\langle\mathrm{Op}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle$ to the Patterson-Sullivan distributions.

Recall that $B=K / M$. We consider the diagonal action of of $G$ on

$$
B^{(2)}:=(B \times B)-\Delta(B),
$$

where $\Delta(B)$ is the diagonal in $B \times B$. One can show that this action is transitive and that the stabilizer of $(M, w M)$, where $w$ is the non-trivial Weyl group element, is $M A$, [HS, Prop. 2.4.]. Then we identify $B^{(2)}$ with $G / M A$ and write $g\left(b, b^{\prime}\right)$ for an element in $G$ with $g\left(b, b^{\prime}\right) \cdot(M, w M)=\left(g\left(b, b^{\prime}\right) \cdot M, g\left(b, b^{\prime}\right) \cdot w M\right)=\left(b, b^{\prime}\right)$.

We now recall some definitions from $[\mathbf{H H S 1 2}],[\mathbf{H S}]$ and $[\mathbf{S c h 1 0}]$. For a function $f$ on $G / M$ the Radon transform on $G / M$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{R} f\left(b, b^{\prime}\right):=\int_{A} f\left(g\left(b, b^{\prime}\right) a M\right) d a
$$

see [HHS12, Def. 4.3]. This is well-defined by the unimodularity of $A$ and maps $C_{c}^{\infty}(G / M)$ into $C_{c}^{\infty}(G / M A)$, see [HHS12, Lem. 4.4]. Next we define the so-called
intertwining operators. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$ and $a \in C(G)$ we set

$$
L_{\lambda} a(g):=\int_{N} e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)} a(g n) d n
$$

see $[\mathbf{H S},(1.3)],[\mathbf{S c h 1 0},(6.35)]$, whenever the integral exists, where $H\left(g^{-1} k\right)=$ $-A\left(k^{-1} g\right)$ and $w$ is the non-trivial Weyl group element. One can show that $L_{\lambda}$ maps $C_{c}^{\infty}(G / M)$ into $C^{\infty}(G / M),[\mathbf{S c h 1 0}$, Lem. 6.30.].

We continue with the notion of intermediate values. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$ we define the intermediate value on $G / M A$

$$
d_{\lambda}(g M A):=e^{(i \lambda+\rho)(H(g)+H(g w))},
$$

see [HHS12, Def. 4.1]. Then we are in the position to define Patterson-Sullivan distributions. We start with distributions on $G / M$.

Definition 6.2.1. [HHS12, Def. 4.8], [Sch10, Def. 6.12]
For an automorphic Laplace-eigenfunction $\varphi$ with eigenvalue

$$
-\left(\lambda^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)
$$

the Patterson-Sullivan distribution $\mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}$ on $G / M$ is given by

$$
\int_{G / M} a(g M) d \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi}(g M):=\left\langle a, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle:=\int_{B^{(2)}} \mathcal{R} a\left(b, b^{\prime}\right) d_{\lambda}\left(b, b^{\prime}\right) d T_{\varphi}(b) d T_{\varphi}\left(b^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $T_{\varphi}$ is the boundary value of $\varphi$.
We call $\chi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G / M)$ a smooth fundamental domain cut-off, if

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi(\gamma g)=1
$$

for all $g \in G$. Smooth fundamental domain cut-off functions exist. If for example $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G / M)$ is such that $f$ equals 1 on a fundamental domain for $\Gamma$, then $\chi(g)=\frac{f(g)}{\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma g)}$ is a smooth cut-off.

We have the following two Theorems, 6.2.2 and 6.2.10, which are generalizations of Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 6.4 in [AZ07].

Theorem 6.2.2. [AZ07, Theorem 1.1], [HS, Theorem 1.1], [Sch10, Theorem 6.40.]

Let $a \in C^{\infty}\left(S X_{\Gamma}\right)$. Then

$$
\left\langle\operatorname{Op}(a) \varphi_{\lambda}, \varphi_{\lambda}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)}=\left\langle L_{\lambda}(\chi a), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{G / M}
$$

where $\chi$ is an arbitrary smooth fundamental domain cut-off.
We will use this theorem to extend Patterson-Sullivan distributions $\mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}$ to the range $L_{\lambda}\left(C_{c}^{\infty}(G / M)\right)$ of the intertwiner $L_{\lambda}$. The next lemma allows us to define Patterson-Sullivan distributions on $\Gamma \backslash G / M$.

Lemma 6.2.3. [HHS12, 4.12], [AZ07, 3.5]
Let $T \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Gamma \backslash G / M), a \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash G / M)$ and $a_{1}, a_{2} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G / M)$ with $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_{i}(\gamma g M)=$ $a(g M)$ for $i=1,2$. Then $\left\langle a_{1}, T\right\rangle_{G / M}=\left\langle a_{2}, T\right\rangle_{G / M}$.

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle a_{i}, T\right\rangle_{G / M} & =\int_{G / M} a_{i}(g M) d T(g M) \\
& =\int_{G / M} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi(\gamma g M) a_{i}(g M) d T(g M) \\
g M \mapsto \stackrel{\gamma}{-1}^{-1} g M & \int_{G / M} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi(g M) a_{i}\left(\gamma^{-1} g M\right) d T\left(\gamma^{-1} g M\right) \\
& =\int_{G / M} \chi(g M) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_{i}\left(\gamma^{-1} g M\right) d T(g M) \\
& =\int_{G / M} \chi(g M) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_{i}(\gamma g M) d T(g M) \\
& =\int_{G / M} \chi(g M) a(g M) d T(g M)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let now $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G / M)$ with $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi_{i}(\gamma g M)=1$ for all $g \in G$ and $a$ in $C^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash G / M)$. Set $a_{i}=a \chi_{i},(i=1,2)$. Then

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_{i}(\gamma g M)=\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a(\gamma g M) \chi_{i}(\gamma g M)=a(g M) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi_{i}(\gamma g M)=a(g M)
$$

and hence, see also [AZ07, Lemma 3.5],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle a \chi_{1}, T\right\rangle_{G / M}=\left\langle a \chi_{2}, T\right\rangle_{G / M} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can show that the Patterson-Sullivan distributions are $\Gamma$-invariant on $G / M$, i.e.

$$
\left\langle f \circ \gamma, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{G / M}=\left\langle f, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{G / M}
$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G / M),[\mathbf{H H S 1 2}$, Prop.4.10] or [Sch10, Prop.6.13.]. Here $f \circ \gamma(g)=f(\gamma g)$ for all $g \in G$. Thus they descend to the quotient $\Gamma \backslash G / M$ and define there elements of $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Gamma \backslash G / M)$, see [HHS12, Prop.4.9].

Definition 6.2.4. [HHS12, Def.4.13], [Sch10, Def.6.19.]
Let $\varphi$ be an automorphic eigenfunction. On $S X_{\Gamma}=\Gamma \backslash G / M$ the Patterson-Sullivan distributions $\mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}$ are given by

$$
\int_{\Gamma \backslash G / M} a(\Gamma g M) d \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}(\Gamma g M):=\left\langle a, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}:=\left\langle\chi a, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{G / M}
$$

where $\chi$ is some smooth fundamental domain cut-off.
By (6.7) this definition is independent of the choice of $\chi$.
Remark 6.2.5. In [HHS12, Prop. 4.9] it is shown that the Patterson-Sullivan distributions are continuous distributions on $C^{\infty}(G / M)$ resp. $C^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash G / M)$. That is, there exist a constant $C>0$ depending only on $\varphi$ and a seminorm ||.|| independent of $\varphi$ such that

$$
\left|\left\langle\chi f, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}\right| \leq C\|\chi f\|
$$

for all $f \in C^{\infty}(G / M)$.
We state now a lemma which connects the Patterson-Sullivan distributions on $G / M$ with those on $\Gamma \backslash G / M$.

Lemma 6.2.6. Let $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G / M)$, then

$$
\left\langle f, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{G / M}=\left\langle\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f \circ \gamma, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{\Gamma \backslash G / M}
$$

Proof. This follows since $\mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}$ is $\Gamma$-invariant. By Definition 6.2 .4 we have for any smooth fundamental domain cut-off $\chi$ and any $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G / M)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f \circ \gamma, \mathrm{PS}\right\rangle_{\Gamma \backslash G / M} & =\left\langle\chi \cdot \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f \circ \gamma, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{G / M} \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi \cdot(f \circ \gamma), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{G / M} \\
\Gamma-\text { invariance } & \left\langle f \cdot \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi \circ \gamma^{-1}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{G / M} \\
& =\left\langle f, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{G / M},
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi \circ \gamma^{-1}=1$, since $\chi$ is a fundamental domain cut-off.
Let $a \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash G / M)$ and let $\pi_{M}$ denote the projection from $C(G) \rightarrow C(G / M)$ given by

$$
\pi_{M}(f)(g):=\int_{M} f(g m) d m
$$

Further, we write $f^{n}:=f \circ r_{n}$ for $n \in N$ for functions $f$, i.e. $f^{n}(g)=f(g n)$ for $g \in G$. Putting $a=\varphi_{k}$ and applying the theory of Chapter 4 we obtain:

Theorem 6.2.7. Let $G=S O_{o}(1, l)=A N K, M=Z_{K}(A), X_{e_{1}} \in \mathfrak{n}$ as for (2.7) and $\Gamma \subset G$ a uniform lattice. Further, let $\varphi_{k}$ be an automorphic Laplace eigenfunction on $\Gamma \backslash G / K$, then $n \mapsto\left\langle\pi_{M}\left(\varphi_{k}^{n}\right), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}$ is bi-M-invariant and a solution to

$$
\Omega f=-\left(\lambda_{k}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right) f=-\frac{1}{4 \rho_{0}}\left(\rho_{0}^{2}+r_{k}^{2}\right) f
$$

on $N$. On the slice $\exp \mathbb{R}^{+} X_{e_{1}}$ it satisfies for any $j$ and $k$ for $l>2$

$$
\left\langle\pi_{M}\left(\varphi_{k}^{\exp s X_{e_{1}}}\right), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}=\left\langle\varphi_{k}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right)
$$

where $a=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}+i r_{k}\right)$ and $b=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}-i r_{k}\right)$ as in Section 4.2. For $l=2$ it is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\pi_{M}\left(\varphi_{k}^{\exp s X_{e_{1}}}\right), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}= & \left\langle\varphi_{k}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \frac{1}{2} ;-s^{2}\right) \\
& +2 i\left\langle X_{1} \varphi_{k}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \cdot s \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+\frac{1}{2}, b+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} ;-s^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. As $\Omega$ and translations commute

$$
\Omega \varphi_{k}^{n}=-\frac{1}{4 \rho_{0}}\left(\rho_{0}^{2}+r_{k}^{2}\right) \varphi_{k}^{n}
$$

for all $n \in N$. If we express $\varphi_{k}^{n}$ in local charts of the compact manifold $S X_{\Gamma}=$ $\Gamma \backslash G / M$ as $\varphi_{k}^{n}\left(x_{l}\right)$, then all partial derivatives of $\frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{l}^{\alpha}} \varphi_{k}^{n}\left(x_{l}\right)$ in these local coordinates $x_{l}$ are simultaneously continuous in $x_{l}$ and $n$. Thus, we can interchange the
distribution with differentiation using [Sch57, Ch.IV Th.II] to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega\left(n \mapsto \int_{\Gamma \backslash G / M} \int_{M} \varphi_{k}^{n}(\Gamma g m) d m \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}(\Gamma g M)\right) \\
= & \int_{\Gamma \backslash G / M} \int_{M} \Omega\left(n \mapsto \varphi_{k}^{n}(\Gamma g m)\right) d m \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}(\Gamma g M) \\
= & n \mapsto-\frac{1}{4 \rho_{0}}\left(\rho_{0}^{2}+r_{k}^{2}\right) \int_{\Gamma \backslash G / M} \int_{M} \varphi_{k}^{n}(\Gamma g m) d m \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}(\Gamma g M) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, for all $m_{1}, m_{2} \in M$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Gamma \backslash G / M} \int_{M} \varphi_{k}^{m_{1} n m_{2}}(\Gamma g m) d m \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}(\Gamma g M) & =\int_{\Gamma \backslash G / M} \int_{M} \varphi_{k}\left(\Gamma g m m_{1} n m_{2}\right) d m \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}(\Gamma g M) \\
& =\int_{\Gamma \backslash G / M} \int_{M} \varphi_{k}(\Gamma g m n) d m \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}(\Gamma g M)
\end{aligned}
$$

by unimodularity of $M$ and right- $K$-invariance of $\varphi_{k}$. Hence,
$F(n):=\int_{\Gamma \backslash G / M} \int_{M} \varphi_{k}^{n}(\Gamma g m) d m \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}(\Gamma g M)=\int_{\Gamma \backslash G / M} \int_{M} \varphi_{k}(\Gamma g m n) d m \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}(\Gamma g M)$ is a bi- $M$-invariant solution on $N$, in particular at the origin $n=e$, to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega F+\mu_{k} F=0, \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{k}=\frac{1}{4 \rho_{0}}\left(\rho_{0}^{2}+r_{k}^{2}\right)$ and we can restrict $F$ to the slice $S=\exp \mathbb{R}^{+} X_{1}=$ $\exp \mathbb{R}^{+} X_{e_{1}}$. By Theorem 4.1.7 we know that on the slice $S$ equation (6.8) reads for bi- $M$-invariant functions $F$, see equation (4.6),

$$
\left(\left(\alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2} s^{2}+2\right) \frac{d^{2}}{d s^{2}}+\left(\left(\alpha\left(H_{1}\right)^{2}+2 \alpha\left(H_{\rho}\right)\right) s+2 \frac{l-2}{s}\right) \frac{d}{d s}+\mu_{k}\right) F(s)=0
$$

For $l>3$, by Theorem 4.3.1, the restriction of the function $F(n)$ to the slice is then given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(s):=F\left(\exp s X_{1}\right) & =F(0) \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ; \frac{-s^{2}}{4(l-1)}\right) \\
& \stackrel{\text { def.of } F}{=}\left\langle\varphi_{k}^{\exp 0 X_{1}}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ; \frac{-s^{2}}{4(l-1)}\right) \\
& =\left\langle\varphi_{k}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ; \frac{-s^{2}}{4(l-1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

resp.

$$
F\left(\exp s X_{e_{1}}\right)=\left\langle\varphi_{k}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right) .
$$

The claim for $l=2$ follows similarly.

Lemma 6.2.8. For $a \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash G / M)$ and any fundamental domain cut-off $\chi$ we have

$$
\left\langle\pi_{M}(\chi a)^{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{G / M}=\left\langle\pi_{M}\left(a^{n}\right), \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{\Gamma \backslash G / M}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\pi_{M}\left((\chi a)^{n}\right), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{G / M} d n & =\int_{G / M} \int_{M}(\chi a)(g m n) d m \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}(g M) \\
& =\int_{\Gamma \backslash G / M} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{M}(\chi a)(\gamma g m n) d m \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}(\Gamma g M), \text { as } \int_{G / M}=\int_{\Gamma \backslash G / M} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \\
& =\int_{\Gamma \backslash G / M} \int_{M} a(g m n) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi(\gamma g m n) d m \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}(\Gamma g M), \text { as } a \text { is } \Gamma \text {-invariant } \\
& =\int_{\Gamma \backslash G / M} \int_{M} a(g m n) d m \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi}(\Gamma g M), \text { as } \chi \text { is a fundamental domain cut-off } \\
& =\int_{\Gamma \backslash G / M} \pi_{M}\left(a^{n}\right)(g) \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi}(\Gamma g M) \\
& =\left\langle\pi_{M}\left(a^{n}\right), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 6.2.9. Let $\varphi_{j}$ be an automorphic eigenfunction in the principal series and $\varphi_{k}$ any automorphic eigenfunction with eigenvalues $-\left(\rho_{0}^{2}+\lambda_{j}^{2}\right)$ resp. $-\frac{1}{4 \rho_{0}}\left(\rho_{0}^{2}+r_{k}^{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\int_{N} e^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho\right) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)}\left\langle\pi_{M}\left(\varphi_{k}\right)^{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} d n
$$

converges absolutely.
Proof. We recall that $G=S O_{o}(1, l)$ and $M \cong S O(l-1)$. First we note that the integrand $n \mapsto e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)}\left\langle\pi_{M}\left(\varphi_{k}^{n}\right), P S_{\lambda}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}$ of (6.12) is bi- $M$-invariant. We find then on the slice $S=\left\{\exp s X_{e_{1}}: s>0\right\}$ that

$$
e^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho\right) H\left(\exp -s X_{e_{1}} w\right)}=\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}\right)}
$$

see (2.18). Further, by Theorem $6 \cdot 2.7$ for $l \geq 3$

$$
\left\langle\pi_{M}\left(\varphi_{k}^{\exp s X_{e_{1}}}\right), \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}=\left\langle\varphi_{k}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right)
$$

on the slice $S$. Hence, we can use for $l \geq 3$ polar coordinates and we get the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{N} e^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho\right) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)}\left\langle\pi_{M}\left(\varphi_{k}^{n}\right), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} d n \\
= & \omega_{l-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}\right)}\left\langle\pi_{M}\left(\varphi_{k}^{\exp s X_{e_{1}}}\right), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} d s \\
\stackrel{\text { Prop. } 6.2 .7}{=} & \omega_{l-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}\right)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right) d s \cdot\left\langle\varphi_{k}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}=:(*) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $l=2$ we know that $N=\left\{\exp s X_{e_{1}}: s \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ and by Theorem 6.2.7 with $a=\varphi_{k}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{N} e^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho\right) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)}\left\langle\pi_{M}\left(\varphi_{k}^{n}\right), \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} d n \\
= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}\right)}\left\langle\left(\varphi_{k}^{\exp s X_{e_{1}}}\right), \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} d s \\
\stackrel{\text { Prop. } 6.2 .7}{=} & \left\langle\varphi_{k}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}\right)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right) d s \\
& +2 i\left\langle X_{e_{1}} \varphi_{k}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}\right)} s \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a+\frac{1}{2}, b+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} ;-s^{2}\right) d s \\
= & \left\langle\varphi_{k}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}\right)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right) d s=:(* *) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $a=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}+i r_{k}\right)$ and $b=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}-i r_{k}\right)$. We want to use Lemma 6.2.19 from the appendix to this chapter to investigate the convergence of $(*)$ resp. ( $* *$ ). Thus, we have to check whether

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right)>\rho_{0}+\operatorname{Re}\left(i r_{k}\right) \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\varphi_{j}$ is in the principal series $2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right)=2 \rho_{0}$. Because

$$
0 \leq \frac{1}{4 \rho_{0}}\left(\rho_{0}^{2}+r_{k}^{2}\right)
$$

either $r_{k} \in \mathbb{R}$ or $r_{k} \in i \mathbb{R}$. In any case $\operatorname{Re}\left(i r_{k}\right) \leq 0$ and (6.9) is true. The integrals in $(*)$ resp. $(* *)$ now converge absolutely by Theorem 6.2.19.

From now on we will always assume that $G=S O_{o}(1, l)$. In order to explain the connection between Wigner- and Patterson-Sullivan distributions we need the following continuity property of the latter ones.

Proposition 6.2.10. Let $a \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash G / M)$. Then

$$
\left\langle L_{\lambda}(\chi a), \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{G / M}=\int_{N} e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)}\left\langle\pi_{M}\left((\chi a)^{n}\right), \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{G / M} d n
$$

where $\chi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G / M)$ is a smooth fundamental domain cut-off and $\varphi$ an automorphic eigenfunction with eigenvalue $-\left(\lambda^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)$.

Proof. By Theorem 6.2.2 we know that $\left\langle L_{\lambda}(\chi a), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{G / M}$ is finite. Thus,

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\left\langle L_{\lambda}(\chi a), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle & \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} & \int_{B^{2}} \mathcal{R}\left(L_{\lambda}(\chi a)\right)\left(b, b^{\prime}\right) d_{\lambda}\left(b, b^{\prime}\right) d T_{\varphi}(b) d T_{\varphi}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \\
& \stackrel{\text { Lemma }}{ } \begin{array}{l}
5.15 \text { in }[\mathrm{HS}] \\
=
\end{array} & \int_{B^{2}} \int_{X} \chi a(z, b) e^{(i \lambda+\rho)\left(\langle z, b\rangle+\left\langle z, b^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)} d z d T_{\varphi}(b) d T_{\varphi}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \\
& =: & (*)
\end{array}
$$

We then identify $G / M=X \times B=G / K \times K / M$ and assume that $\chi(z, b)=\chi(z)$ is independent of $b$, i.e. $\chi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G / K)$. Further we assume that $T_{\varphi}$ equals $D_{b}^{\alpha} F_{\varphi}$ for some differential operator $D_{b}^{\alpha}$ on $B$ and some $F_{\varphi} \in C(B)$ in the distributional sense, see [GO05, Th. 1.3.]. That is,

$$
\left\langle f, T_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{B}=\int_{B}\left(D_{b}^{\alpha} f\right)(b) F_{\varphi}(b) d b
$$

for all $f \in C^{\infty}(B)$. Then we also identify $X=A N, d x=d n d a$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(*) & =\int_{B^{2}} \int_{A N} \chi(a n) D_{b}^{\alpha} D_{b^{\prime}}^{\alpha}\left(a(a n, b) e^{(i \lambda+\rho)\left(\langle a n, b\rangle+\left\langle a n, b^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)}\right) F_{\varphi}(b) F_{\varphi}\left(b^{\prime}\right) d n d a d b d b^{\prime} \\
\stackrel{\text { Fubini }}{=} & \int_{N} \int_{B^{2}} \int_{A} \chi(a n) D_{b}^{\alpha} D_{b^{\prime}}^{\alpha}\left(a(a n, b) e^{(i \lambda+\rho)\left(\langle a n, b\rangle+\left\langle a n, b^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)}\right) F_{\varphi}(b) F_{\varphi}\left(b^{\prime}\right) d a d b d b^{\prime} d n \\
& =\int_{N} \int_{B^{(2)}} \int_{A} \chi(a n) D_{b}^{\alpha} D_{b^{\prime}}^{\alpha}\left(a(a n, b) e^{(i \lambda+\rho)\left(\langle a n, b\rangle+\left\langle a n, b^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)}\right) F_{\varphi}(b) F_{\varphi}\left(b^{\prime}\right) d a d b d b^{\prime} d n \\
& =\int_{N} \int_{B^{(2)}} \int_{A} \chi(a n) a(a n, b) e^{(i \lambda+\rho)\left(\langle a n, b\rangle+\left\langle a n, b^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)} d a d T_{\varphi}(b) d T_{\varphi}\left(b^{\prime}\right) d n=:(* *)
\end{aligned}
$$

We write $\chi a$ as a function on $G / M$, compare $[\mathbf{H S},(6.15 f f)]$. For any $g \in G$ with $(g \cdot M, g \cdot w M)=\left(b, b^{\prime}\right)$ we have

$$
\chi a(\mathrm{gan} \cdot o, b)=\chi a(\mathrm{gan} \cdot o, g \cdot M)=\chi a(\mathrm{gan} \cdot o, \mathrm{gan} \cdot M)=\chi a(\mathrm{ganM})
$$

Since $d x=d a d n$ is $G$-invariant, $(* *)$ equals

$$
\int_{N} \int_{G / M A} \int_{A} \chi a(\text { gan } M) e^{(i \lambda+\rho)(\langle g a n \cdot o, g \cdot M\rangle+\langle g a n \cdot o, g \cdot w M\rangle)} d a d T_{\varphi} \otimes d T_{\varphi}(g M A) d n .
$$

Then $\langle g a n \cdot o, g \cdot M\rangle=\langle g a n \cdot o, g a n \cdot M\rangle=H(g a n)=H(g a)$, since $g \cdot M=b$ and $P=M A N$, in particular $A N$ fixes $M$. Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle g a n \cdot o, g \cdot w M\rangle & =-H\left(n^{-1} a^{-1} w\right)+H(g w) \\
& =-H\left(n^{-1} w\right)+H(a)+H(g w) \\
& =-H\left(n^{-1} w\right)+H(g a w),
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\langle g \cdot x, g \cdot b\rangle=\langle x, b\rangle+\langle g \cdot o, g \cdot b\rangle, H(g a)=H(g)+H(a)$, see $[\mathbf{H e l 9 4}$, Ch.II (46)], for all $a \in A$ and $g \in G$. Also $H\left(w a w^{-1}\right)=H\left(a^{-1}\right)$ for all $a \in A$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{N} \int_{G / M A} \int_{A} \chi a(g a n M) e^{(i \lambda+\rho)(\langle g a n \cdot o, g \cdot M\rangle+\langle g a n \cdot o, g \cdot w M\rangle)} d a d T_{\varphi} \otimes d T_{\varphi}(g M A) d n \\
= & \int_{N} \int_{G / M A} \int_{A} \chi a(g a n M) e^{(i \lambda+\rho)(H(g a)+H(g a w))} e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)} d a d T_{\varphi} \otimes d T_{\varphi}(g M A) d n \\
= & \int_{N} \int_{G / M A} \int_{A} \chi a(g a n M) e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)} d_{\lambda}(g M A) d T_{\varphi} d a \otimes d T_{\varphi}(g M A) d n d a \\
= & \int_{N} e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)} \int_{G / M A} d_{\lambda}(g M A) \int_{A}(\chi a)^{n}(g a M) d a d T_{\varphi} \otimes d T_{\varphi}(g M A) d n \\
= & \int_{N} e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)} \int_{G / M} \chi a(g n M) d \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi}(g M) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $H$ is the projection belonging to $G=K A N$, which is bi- $M$-invariant, and $w$ normalizes $M$. Hence, for any $m \in M$

$$
H\left(n^{-1} w\right)=H\left(n^{-1} w w^{-1} m w\right)=H\left(n^{-1} m w\right)=H\left(m^{-1} n^{-1} m w\right)
$$

Furthermore, $\chi a \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G / M)$ and $\int_{M}=1$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{N} \int_{G / M} e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)} \chi a(g n) d \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}(g M) d n \\
= & \int_{N} \int_{G / M} \int_{M} e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H\left(m^{-1} n^{-1} m w\right)} \chi a(g n m) d m d \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi}(g M) d n .
\end{aligned}
$$

For every $m \in M$ the mapping $n \mapsto m n m^{-1}$ is an automorphism of $N$ fixing $d n$, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{N} \int_{G / M} \int_{M} e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H\left(m^{-1} n^{-1} m w\right)} \chi a(g n m) d m d \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}(g M) d n \\
= & \int_{N} \int_{G / M} \int_{M} e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)} \chi a(g m n) d m d \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi}(g M) d n \\
= & \int_{N} e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)} \int_{G / M} \int_{M} \chi a(g m n) d m d \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi}(g M) d n \\
= & \int_{N} e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)}\left\langle\pi_{M}\left((\chi a)^{n}\right), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{G / M} d n .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that $\pi_{M}\left((\chi a)^{n}\right)$ is indeed in $C_{c}^{\infty}(G / M)$ as $M$ is compact.
In view of Lemma 6.2.8 we also get the following corollary.
Corollary 6.2.11. Let $a \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash G / M)$. Then

$$
\left\langle L_{\lambda}(\chi a), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{G / M}=\int_{N} e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)}\left\langle\pi_{M}\left(a^{n}\right), \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} d n
$$

Hence, combining this theorem, Corollary 6.2 .11 and Theorem 6.2 .2 we get an exact relation between Wigner- and Patterson-Sullivan distributions from the principal series on the level of non-constant automorphic eigenfunctions. For $k \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(k)>\rho_{0}$ let us set

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, k\right):=\int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-k} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right) d s \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which depends on $k$ and the eigenfunction $\varphi_{j}$ with eigenvalue $\mu_{j}=-\frac{1}{4 \rho_{0}}\left(\rho_{0}^{2}+r_{j}^{2}\right)$, $a=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}+i r_{j}\right), b=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}-i r_{j}\right)$.

THEOREM 6.2.12. Let $G=S O_{o}(1, l), \varphi_{j}$ be an automorphic eigenfunction in the principal series and $\varphi_{k}$ be any non-constant automorphic eigenfunctions, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathrm{Op}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)} & =\omega_{l-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}\right)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right) d s \cdot\left\langle\varphi_{k}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \\
(6.11) & =\omega_{l-1} I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, i \lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}\right) \cdot\left\langle\varphi_{k}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, i \lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}\right)$ as in (6.10).
Proof. For any $\varphi_{k}$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left\langle\mathrm{Op}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle & \stackrel{6.2 .2}{=} & \left\langle L_{\lambda_{j}}\left(\chi \varphi_{k}\right), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{G / M} \\
& \stackrel{6.2 .11}{=} \int_{N} e^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho\right) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)}\left\langle\pi_{M}\left(\varphi_{k}^{n}\right), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} d n . \tag{6.12}
\end{array}
$$

As in the proof of Proposition 6.2 .9 we find that for $l \geq 3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{N} e^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho\right) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)}\left\langle\pi_{M}\left(\varphi_{k}^{n}\right), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} d n \\
= & \omega_{l-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}\right)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right) d s \cdot\left\langle\varphi_{k}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $l=2$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{N} e^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho\right) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)}\left\langle\pi_{M}\left(\varphi_{k}^{n}\right), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} d n \\
= & \left\langle\varphi_{k}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}\right)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality of (6.11) follows from the definition of $I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, k\right)$, see (6.10), and the convergence of the integral $I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, i \lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}\right)$ follows from Theorem 6.2.19.

We excluded the case where $\varphi_{j}$ is a complementary series eigenfunctions, as we do not know whether the integral

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}\right)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}+i r_{k}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}-i r_{k}\right), \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right) d s
$$

converges in this case. It surely converges absolutely if $\varphi_{j}$ is in the principal series as Theorem 6.2.19 shows.

But we can still say something about the relation between Wigner- and PattersonSullivan distributions in the case of the complementary series. Let us define

$$
C_{k, j}=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\left\langle\mathrm{Op}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)}}{\left\langle\varphi_{k}, \mathrm{PS} \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}} & , & \left\langle\varphi_{k}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \neq 0 \\
0 & , & \text { else }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 6.2.13. For any automorphic eigenfunctions $\varphi_{k}$ and $\varphi_{j}$ we have

$$
\left\langle\operatorname{Op}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)}=C_{k, j} \cdot\left\langle\varphi_{k}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}
$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the case of $\left\langle\varphi_{k}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}=0$ and show that it implies $\left\langle\mathrm{Op}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)}=0$. If we assume $\left\langle\varphi_{k}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}=0$, it follows by Theorem 6.2.7 that for any smooth fundamental domain cut-off $\chi$

$$
n \mapsto\left\langle\pi_{M}\left(\chi \varphi_{k}\right)^{n}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{G / M}
$$

vanishes identically. Thus,

$$
\int_{N} e^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho\right) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)}\left\langle\pi_{M}\left(\chi \varphi_{k}\right)^{n}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{G / M} d n=0 .
$$

But then it follows by Proposition 6.2.10 and Theorem 6.2.2 that

$$
\int_{N} e^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho\right) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)}\left\langle\pi_{M}\left(\chi \varphi_{k}\right)^{n}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{G / M} d n \stackrel{\text { Prop. } 6.2 .10}{=}\left\langle L_{\lambda_{j}}\left(\chi \varphi_{k}\right), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{G / M} .
$$

Note that by Proposition 6.2.21 from the appendix to this chapter we will know how $C_{k, j}$ decays, if $\varphi_{j}$ is in the principal series.

Definition 6.2.14. For an automorphic eigenfunction $\varphi$ with eigenvalue $-\left(\lambda^{2}+\right.$ $\rho_{0}^{2}$ ) from the principal series we define the normalized Patterson-Sullivan distribution by

$$
\left\langle a, \widehat{\mathrm{PS}}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}:=\frac{\left\langle a, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}}{\left\langle\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}}
$$

It will follow from the next proposition that $\left\langle\mathbf{1}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \neq 0$, if $\varphi$ is in the principal series. For the normalization of Patterson-Sullivan distributions we have:

Proposition 6.2.15. Let $\varphi$ be an automorphic eigenfunction with eigenvalue $-\left(\lambda^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{a}_{+}^{*}$, i.e. such that $\varphi_{j}$ is in the principal series. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle L_{\lambda}(\chi \mathbf{1}), \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{G / M} & =\left\langle\mathbf{1}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{\Gamma \backslash G / M} \cdot \mathcal{C}(\lambda) \\
\text { Here } \mathcal{C}(\lambda)=\int_{N} e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)} d n & =\omega_{l-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-\left(i \lambda+\rho_{0}\right)} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle L_{\lambda}(\chi \mathbf{1}), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{G / M} & =\int_{N} e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)}\left\langle\pi_{M}\left(\chi^{n}\right), \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{G} d n \\
& =\int_{N} e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)} \int_{G / M} \int_{M} \chi^{n}(g m) d m \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}(g M) d n \\
& =\int_{N} e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)} \int_{G / M} \pi_{M}\left(\chi^{n}\right)(g M) \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi}(g M) d n \\
& =\int_{N} e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H\left(n^{-1} w\right)}\left\langle\mathbf{1}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{\Gamma \backslash G / M} d n=\mathcal{C}(\lambda)\left\langle\mathbf{1}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{\Gamma \backslash G / M}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 6.2.16. We recall Harish-Chandra's $c$-function which is given by

$$
c(\lambda)=\int_{\bar{N}} e^{-(i \lambda+\rho) H(\bar{n})} d \bar{n},
$$

where the measure $d \bar{n}$ on $\bar{N}$ is normalized such that $\int_{\bar{N}} e^{-2 \rho(H(\bar{n}))} d \bar{n}=1$, see Theorem 2.4.7. Now with our normalization of $d n$ via $N \cong \bar{N} \cong \mathbb{R}^{l-1}, X_{u} \mapsto$ $\theta X_{u} \mapsto u$, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\bar{N}} e^{-2 \rho(H(\bar{n}))} d \bar{n} & =\int_{N} e^{-2 \rho\left(H\left(n_{-u} w\right)\right)} d u \\
& =\omega_{l-1} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-2 \rho_{0}} d s \\
& =\frac{\omega_{l-1}}{2} B\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Together with Theorem 6.2.2 we get:
Corollary 6.2.17. If $\varphi_{j}$ is an automorphic eigenfunction in the principal series with eigenvalue $-\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)$, then

$$
\left\langle 1, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \cdot \mathcal{C}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)=1
$$

resp.

$$
\left\langle a, \widehat{\mathrm{PS}}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}=\mathcal{C}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)\left\langle a, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}
$$

for $a \in C^{\infty}\left(S X_{\Gamma}\right)$.
Finally, let us state the refinement of Proposition 6.1.3, we obtained in this section by Theorems 6.2.12 and 6.2.13.

Theorem 6.2.18. Let $G=S O_{o}(1, l)=N A K, M=Z_{K}(A), \Gamma \subset G$ a uniform lattice and $S X_{\Gamma}=\Gamma \backslash G / M$ the unit sphere bundle. We fix an orthonormal basis $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}_{j}$ of $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash X)$ of automorphic Laplace-eigenfunctions with eigenvalues $-\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{4 \rho_{0}}\left(r_{j}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)$ and choose a non-constant $\varphi_{k}$ in $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}_{j}$. Finally, let $f \in C^{\infty}(G / / K)$ such that $\pi_{R}(f)$ is of trace class, where $\pi_{R}$ is the right-regular representation of $G$ on $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash X)$. For example $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G / / K)$ suffices. Then $\varphi_{k} \cdot \pi_{R}(f)$ is also of trace class with trace given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\varphi_{k} \cdot \pi_{R}(f)\right)= & \sum_{j}\left\langle\operatorname{Op}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(S X_{\Gamma}\right)} \mathcal{S}\left(f, \lambda_{j}\right) \\
= & \sum_{j>0}^{j_{0}}\left\langle\operatorname{Op}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)} \mathcal{S}\left(f, \lambda_{j}\right) \\
& +\omega_{l-1} \sum_{j>j_{0}} I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right) \mathcal{S}\left(f, \lambda_{j}\right) \cdot\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\lambda_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \\
= & \sum_{j>0}^{j_{0}} C_{k, j} \mathcal{S}\left(f, \lambda_{j}\right) \cdot\left\langle\varphi_{k}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{\left.S X_{\Gamma}\right)} \\
& +\omega_{l-1} \sum_{j>j_{0}} I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right) \mathcal{S}\left(f, \lambda_{j}\right) \cdot\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\lambda_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}+i r_{k}\right), b=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}-i r_{k}\right), \rho_{0}=\frac{l-1}{2}$ and $\mathcal{S}(f)$ denotes the spherical transform.
6.2.1. Convergence and asymptotics of $I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, z\right)$. In this appendix to Section 6.2 we want to discuss the convergence and asymptotic behaviour of the integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, z\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-z}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right) d s \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we needed in Proposition 6.2.9. The next theorem determines $z \in \mathbb{C}$ for which (6.13) converges absolutely.

Lemma 6.2.19. Let $\varphi_{k}$ be an automorphic Laplace eigenfunction with eigenvalue $\mu_{k}=-\frac{1}{4 \rho_{0}}\left(\rho_{0}^{2}+r_{k}^{2}\right)$, so $a=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}+i r_{k}\right), b=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}-i r_{k}\right)$. The integral

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-z}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right) d s
$$

converges absolutely for $\rho_{0}+\operatorname{Re}\left(i r_{k}\right)<2 \operatorname{Re}(z)$, more precisely

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|s^{n-2}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-z}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right)\right| d s \leq C \int_{0}^{\infty}(s+1)^{-2 \operatorname{Re}(z)+\rho_{0}+\operatorname{Re}\left(i r_{k}\right)} d s
$$

for some constant $C$ independent of $z$ and $r_{k}$.
Proof. The proof in [AZ07, Prop. 5.2.] generalizes almost verbatim. First we note that $s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{-z}$ is asymptotically $s^{2 \rho_{0}-1-2 \operatorname{Re}(z)}$, where $\rho_{0}=\frac{l-1}{2}$. The hypergeometric factor can be controlled by a formula for hypergeometric functions, see for example [GV88, (4.7.23)],

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{2} F_{1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma ; s)= & \frac{\Gamma(\gamma) \Gamma(\beta-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)}|s|^{-\alpha}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\alpha, 1-\gamma+\alpha, 1-\beta+\alpha ; s^{-1}\right) \\
& +\frac{\Gamma(\gamma) \Gamma(\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\gamma-\beta)}|s|^{-\beta}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\beta, 1-\gamma+\beta, 1-\alpha+\beta ; s^{-1}\right) \tag{6.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Since ${ }_{2} F_{1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma ; 0)=1$ it follows that asymptotically ${ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right)$ equals

$$
\frac{\Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma\left(-i r_{k}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\rho_{0}}{2}-\frac{i r_{k}}{2}\right)^{2}} \cdot|s|^{-\left(\rho_{0}+i r_{k}\right)}+\frac{\Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma\left(i r_{k}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\rho_{0}}{2}+\frac{i r_{k}}{2}\right)^{2}} \cdot|s|^{-\left(\rho_{0}-i r_{k}\right)}
$$

Now $\Gamma(x+i y) \sim \sqrt{2 \pi} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|y|}|y|^{x-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $|y| \rightarrow \infty$, see (2.25). Hence the ratios $\frac{\Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma\left(-i r_{k}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\rho_{0}}{2}-\frac{i r_{k}}{2}\right)^{2}}$ and $\frac{\Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma\left(i r_{k}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\rho_{0}}{2}+\frac{i r k}{2}\right)^{2}}$ are uniformly bounded in $r_{k}$. Thus, for some constant $C>0$ independent of $r_{k}$ and for all $s \geq 0$

$$
\left|{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right)\right| \leq C(1+s)^{-\rho_{0}+\operatorname{Re}\left(i r_{k}\right)}
$$

Multiplying the two terms together we find that the integrand

$$
s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{-z}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right)
$$

is asymptotically bounded by

$$
C(1+s)^{\rho_{0}-1+\operatorname{Re}\left(i r_{k}\right)-2 \operatorname{Re}(z)}
$$

which is integrable on $[0, \infty)$ iff

$$
\rho_{0}-1+\operatorname{Re}\left(i r_{k}\right)-2 \operatorname{Re}(z)<-1
$$

i.e. iff

$$
\rho_{0}+\operatorname{Re}\left(i r_{k}\right)<2 \operatorname{Re}(z)
$$

In particular $I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, i \lambda+\rho_{0}\right)$ converges if $-\left(\lambda^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)$ is an eigenvalue from the principal series, that is, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Next, we want to show that $k \mapsto I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, k\right)$ for fixed $a$ and $b$ can be meromorphically extended to $\mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 6.2.20. Let $\varphi$ be a Laplacian eigenfunction with eigenvalue $-\frac{1}{4 \rho_{0}}\left(r^{2}+\right.$ $\left.\rho_{0}^{2}\right), a=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}+i r\right), b=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}-i r\right)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, z\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma\left(a-\rho_{0}+z\right) \Gamma\left(b-\rho_{0}+z\right)}{\Gamma(z)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma(z-a) \Gamma(z-b)}{\Gamma(z)^{2}} \tag{6.15}
\end{align*}
$$

defines a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ with poles exactly in $a, a-1, a-2, \ldots$ and $b, b-1, b-2, \ldots$. Furthermore, $I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, k\right)$ does not vanish for $\operatorname{Re}(k)>0$.

Proof. Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(z)>\rho_{0}$. By Lemma 6.2.19

$$
I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, z\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{-z}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0},-s^{2}\right) d s
$$

converges absolutely. We use now an integral transform from [Bat54, 20.2 (9)] to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{-z}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0},-s^{2}\right) d s & \stackrel{s \mapsto \sqrt{s}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{\rho_{0}-1}(s+1)^{-k}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s\right) d s \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma\left(z+a-\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma\left(z+b-\rho_{0}\right)}{\Gamma(z)^{2}} \\
(6.16) & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma(z-b) \Gamma(z-a)}{\Gamma(z)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The remaining claims now follow from properties of the Gamma function, see Remark 2.5.1.

Now we want to examine how

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right) d s
$$

grows as $\lambda_{j}$ tends to $\infty$. In (6.16) we showed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}\right)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right) d s \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

equals

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho_{0}}{2}+i\left(\lambda_{j}+\frac{r_{k}}{2}\right)\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho_{0}}{2}+i\left(\lambda_{j}-\frac{r_{k}}{2}\right)\right)}{\Gamma\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}\right)^{2}}
$$

Then we make use of the asymptotic formula for the $\Gamma$-function

$$
\Gamma(x+i y) \sim \sqrt{2 \pi} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|y|}|y|^{x-\frac{1}{2}}, y \rightarrow \infty
$$

see (2.25). It follows that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}\right)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right) d s
$$

asymptotically equals, as $\lambda_{j} \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\lambda_{j}+\frac{r_{k}}{2}\right)}\left(\lambda_{j}+\frac{r_{k}}{2}\right)^{\frac{\rho_{0}-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\lambda_{j}-\frac{r_{k}}{2}\right)}\left(\lambda_{j}-\frac{r_{k}}{2}\right)^{\frac{\rho_{0}-1}{2}}}{e^{-\pi \lambda_{j}} \lambda_{j}^{2 \rho_{0}-1}} \sim \lambda_{j}^{-\rho_{0}} . \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We just have proved the following proposition which will be useful for the meromorphic continuation in Chapter 7.

Proposition 6.2.21. Let $\lambda_{j}$ be in the principal series, i.e. $\lambda_{j}>0$. The integral

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{\left(-i \lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}\right)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right) d s
$$

decays asymptotically as $\lambda_{j}^{-\rho_{0}}$ for $\lambda_{j} \rightarrow \infty$.

## CHAPTER 7

## The meromorphic continuation of $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\varphi)$

In this chapter we will at first give meromorphic continuations of $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\varphi)$ which were defined in (5.29) resp. (5.32) on the complex half plane $\{k \in \mathbb{C}$ : $\left.\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}\right\}$ to all of $\mathbb{C}$. This is done in Section 7.1 and Section 7.2. For the loaction of possible poles and residues of $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\varphi)$ the focus is on a certain strip $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ and the (main) results are summarized in Section 7.3.

In Section 7.4 we will shortly explain how to normalize $\mathcal{Z}(\varphi)$ in order to obtain a simple formula for its residue in the strip $\mathcal{S}$. The last Section 8.2 compares our results on the zeta function $\mathcal{Z}(\varphi)$ in the surface case with the ones from [AZ07], see also Theorem 1.0.1 and (1.3).

### 7.1. The meromorphic continuation of $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$

In this section we will discuss the meromorphic continuation which we obtain by using the formula for the spectral trace from Chapter 6. The case of $G=S O_{o}(1,2)$ was dealt with before in [AZ07, Th. 9.1.]. Let us recall that $G=S O_{o}(1, l)=K A N$ and we fixed a uniform lattice $\Gamma$ with an orthonormal basis of automorphic Laplace eigenfunctions $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}$ in $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash G / K)$ with eigenvalues $-\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{4 \rho_{0}}\left(r_{j}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)$. Here $\varphi_{0}, \ldots, \varphi_{j_{0}}$ are in the complementary series, i.e. $\lambda_{0}^{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{j_{0}}^{2} \in\left[-\rho_{0}^{2}, 0\right]$, and $\varphi_{j}$ is in the principal series, i.e. $\lambda_{j}^{2} \in(0, \infty)$ for $j>j_{0}$.

By the results of Chapter 5, see Theorem 5.4.6, we know that the geometric trace of

$$
\varphi_{n} \circ \pi_{R}\left(f_{k}\right)
$$

for any eigenfunction $\varphi_{n} \in\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}$ which is orthogonal to constants, is given by the auxiliary zeta function, see (5.29),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} c\left(\varphi_{n}, \gamma, \pi, k\right)\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{-k+\rho_{0}}, \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$. On the other hand, by Theorem 6.1.3 the spectral trace of $\varphi_{n} \circ \pi_{R}\left(f_{k}\right)$ is given for $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$ by

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left\langle\operatorname{Op}\left(\varphi_{n}\right) \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)} \mathcal{S}\left(f_{k}, \lambda_{j}\right)
$$

which equals

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\langle\operatorname{Op}\left(\varphi_{n}\right) \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)} \mathcal{S}\left(f_{k}, \lambda_{j}\right)
$$

as $\varphi_{n}$ is orthogonal to the constant function by assumption. From Theorem 6.2.18 we then infer that the spectral trace of $\varphi_{n} \cdot \pi_{R}\left(f_{k}\right)$ equals, if we replace $\left\langle\operatorname{Op}\left(\varphi_{n}\right) \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)}$ by $C_{n, j}\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}$ and keep in mind that $C_{n, j}=\omega_{l-1} I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right)$, see Theorems 6.2.12 and 6.2.13,
$\sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}} C_{n, j} \mathcal{S}\left(f_{k}, \lambda_{j}\right) \cdot\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}+\omega_{l-1} \sum_{j=j_{0}+1}^{\infty} I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right) \mathcal{S}\left(f_{k}, \lambda_{j}\right) \cdot\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}=:(+)$.

Then we use the formula for the spherical transform of $\mathcal{S}\left(f_{k} \cdot \lambda_{j}\right)$, see (2.26), to see that $(+)$ equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2^{k-2} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right) \omega_{l-1} \sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}} C_{n, j} B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}+ \\
& 2^{k-2} \omega_{l-1}^{2} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right) \sum_{j=j_{0}+1}^{\infty} B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \\
& \cdot I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right) \\
= & (*) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the operator $\varphi_{n} \cdot \pi_{R}\left(f_{k}\right)$ is of trace class for $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$ we know that $(*)$ coincides with (7.1) for $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$. To obtain the meromorphic continuation we want to show that $(*)$ converges for any $k$ in $\mathbb{C}$ except from the set
$\mathcal{P}:=\left\{\rho_{0} \pm i \lambda_{j}, \rho_{0}-2 \pm i \lambda_{j}, \ldots:-\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)\right.$ eigenvalue of the Laplacian $\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cup\left\{\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}-1, \rho_{0}-2, \ldots\right\} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that
$k \mapsto 2^{k-2} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right) \omega_{l-1} \sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}} C_{n, j} B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}$
defines a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$. The poles correspond to the poles of the Beta functions $B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)$ and $B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)$, i.e. $k=\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}-1, \ldots$ resp. $k=\rho_{0} \pm i \lambda_{j}, \rho_{0}-2 \pm i \lambda_{j}, \ldots$, where $-\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)$ is an eigenvalue of the Laplacian.

Thus, for the convergence of $(*)$ we only have to consider the infinite series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=j_{0}+1}^{\infty}\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \cdot I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right) \cdot B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right) \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

outside (7.2). Let us check each of the three terms in this series separately. At first, we know that

$$
I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} s^{l-2}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-\left(i \lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}\right)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b, \rho_{0} ;-s^{2}\right) d s
$$

behaves for $j \rightarrow \infty$ as $\lambda_{j}^{-\rho_{0}}$, see Proposition 6.2.21, because $\varphi_{j}$ is in the principal series. For the term $\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}$ we claim the following:

LEMMA 7.1.1. For any automorphic eigenfunction $\varphi_{n},\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \widehat{\mathrm{PS}}_{\lambda_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}$ is uniformly bounded, i.e. there is some constant $C>0$ independent of $j$ such that

$$
\left|\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \widehat{\mathrm{PS}}_{\lambda_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}\right| \leq C
$$

for all $j$.
Proof. In [HS, Th. 1.3.] it is shown that

$$
\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \widehat{\mathrm{PS}}_{\lambda_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}
$$

behaves asymptotically for $j \rightarrow \infty$ like

$$
\left\langle\mathrm{Op}\left(\varphi_{n}\right) \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)}=\int_{X_{\Gamma}} \varphi_{n}(x)\left|\varphi_{j}(x)\right|^{2} d x
$$

But $X_{\Gamma}$ is compact so that

$$
\left|\left\langle\operatorname{Op}\left(\varphi_{n}\right) \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)}\right| \leq \int_{X_{\Gamma}}\left|\varphi_{n}(x) \| \varphi_{j}(x)\right|^{2} d x \leq C,
$$

where $C:=\max \left\{\varphi_{n}(x): x \in X_{\Gamma}\right\}$. Hence, $\left\langle\operatorname{Op}\left(\varphi_{n}\right) \varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)}$ and also $\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \widehat{\operatorname{PS}}_{\lambda_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}$ are bounded uniformly in $j$.

Now by definition $\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \widehat{\operatorname{PS}_{\lambda_{j}}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}=\mathcal{C}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \mathrm{PS}_{\lambda_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}$ and

$$
\mathcal{C}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)=\frac{\omega_{l-1}}{2} B\left(i \lambda_{j}, \rho_{0}\right)=\frac{\omega_{l-1}}{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(i \lambda_{j}\right) \Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right)}
$$

which by (2.25) for $\lambda_{j} \rightarrow \infty$ equals

$$
\frac{\sqrt{2 \pi} e^{-\pi / 2\left|\lambda_{j}\right|}\left|\lambda_{j}^{-1 / 2}\right|}{\sqrt{2 \pi} e^{-\pi / 2\left|\lambda_{j}\right|}\left|\lambda_{j}^{\rho_{0}-1 / 2}\right|}=\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{-\rho_{0}} .
$$

Corollary 6.2.17 implies then:
Corollary 7.1.2. For fixed $\varphi_{n},\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \sim \lambda_{j}^{\rho_{0}}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.
Finally, we see:
Lemma 7.1.3. Let $k \in \mathbb{C}-\left\{\rho_{0} \pm i \lambda_{j}, \rho_{0}-2 \pm i \lambda_{j}, \ldots:-\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)\right.$ eigenvalue of the Laplacian $\}$. Then $B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)$ behaves asymptotically for $j \rightarrow \infty$ like

$$
e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\left|\operatorname{Im}(k)+\lambda_{j}\right|+\left|\operatorname{Im}(k)-\lambda_{j}\right|\right)}\left|\operatorname{Im}(k)+\lambda_{j}\right|^{\frac{\operatorname{Re}(k)-\rho_{0}-1}{2}}\left|\operatorname{Im}(k)-\lambda_{j}\right| \frac{\mathrm{Re}(k)-\rho_{0}-1}{2} .
$$

Proof. Let us write out

$$
\begin{aligned}
B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right) & =\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{k+i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(k-\rho_{0}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\operatorname{Re}(k)-\rho_{0}+i\left(\operatorname{Im}(k)-\lambda_{j}\right)}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\operatorname{Re}(k)-\rho_{0}+i\left(\operatorname{Im}(k)+\lambda_{j}\right)}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\operatorname{Re}(k)-\rho_{0}+i \operatorname{Im}(k)\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the asymptotic formula for the Gamma function, see (2.25) in Remark 2.5.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(x+i y) \sim \sqrt{2 \pi} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|y|}|y|^{x-\frac{1}{2}}, y \rightarrow \infty \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find that $B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)$ behaves for $j \rightarrow \infty$ as

$$
e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\left|\operatorname{Im}(k)+\lambda_{j}\right|+\left|\operatorname{Im}(k)-\lambda_{j}\right|\right)}\left|\operatorname{Im}(k)+\lambda_{j}\right|^{\frac{\operatorname{Re}(k)-\rho_{0}-1}{2}}\left|\operatorname{Im}(k)-\lambda_{j}\right|^{\frac{\mathrm{Re}(k)-\rho_{0}-1}{2}} .
$$

Since the term $e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\left|\operatorname{Im}(k)+\lambda_{j}\right|+\left|\operatorname{Im}(k)-\lambda_{j}\right|\right)}$ dominates the other terms

$$
\left|\operatorname{Im}(k)+\lambda_{j}\right|^{\frac{\mathrm{Re}(k)-\rho_{0}-1}{2}}\left|\operatorname{Im}(k)-\lambda_{j}\right|^{\frac{\mathrm{Re}(k)-\rho_{0}-1}{2}}
$$

and since the series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n e^{-\alpha n}$ is absolutely convergent for every $\alpha>0$, we see that the series

$$
\sum_{j=j_{0}+1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\left|\operatorname{Im}(k)+\lambda_{j}\right|+\left|\operatorname{Im}(k)-\lambda_{j}\right|\right)}\left|\operatorname{Im}(k)+\lambda_{j}\right| \frac{\mathrm{Re}(k)-\rho_{0}-1}{2}\left|\operatorname{Im}(k)-\lambda_{j}\right| \frac{\mathrm{Re}(k)-\rho_{0}-1}{2}
$$

is absolute convergent for any $k \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus, by Proposition 6.2.21, Lemma 7.1.1 and Lemma 7.1.3 we find the following proposition,

Proposition 7.1.4. The infinite series (7.3) converges absolutely for $k$ in $\mathbb{C}$ outside the set $\mathcal{P}$, see (7.2), and defines there a holomorphic function.

Hence, we define the meromorphic continuation of $\mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ by $(*)$. Let us now focus on a certain strip in $\mathbb{C}$ and describe the poles and residues of $\mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ more precisely. It follows from Proposition 7.1.4 that in the strip

$$
\mathcal{S}:=\left\{k \in \mathbb{C}: \rho_{0}-\frac{1}{2}<\operatorname{Re}(k)<\rho_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\right\}
$$

the poles are at

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}}:=\left\{\rho_{0}, \rho_{0} \pm i \lambda_{j}:-\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right) \text { eigenvalue of the Laplacian }\right\} \cap \mathcal{S} .
$$

For computing the residue we make use of the following facts.
Lemma 7.1.5. See [FB06, Kap.III]
(1) If $f$ is holomorphic in $z_{0}$, then $\operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{0}} f=0$
(2) The residue is linear, i.e. for all functions $f, g$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{0}}(\alpha f+\beta g)=\alpha \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{0}} f+\beta \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{0}} g
$$

(3) If $g$ is holomorphic and bijective on $\mathbb{C}$, then $\operatorname{Res}_{z=g\left(z_{0}\right)}=\operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{0}} g^{\prime} \cdot(f \circ g)$.
(4) If $f$ has a pole of order $m$ at $z_{0}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{0}} f=\left.\frac{d^{m-1}}{d z^{m-1}}\right|_{z=z_{0}}\left(\frac{\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{m}}{(m-1)!} f(z)\right) .
$$

(5) If $f$ is holomorphic in $z_{0}$ and $g$ has a pole of order 1 at $z_{0}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{0}} f \cdot g=f\left(z_{0}\right) \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{0}} g .
$$

From (4) and (5) we can deduce:
(6) If $f$ is holomorphic in $z_{0}$ and $g$ has a pole of order 2 at $z_{0}, g(z)=\sum_{k=-2}^{\infty} a_{k}(z-$ $\left.z_{0}\right)^{k}$ in a neighbourhood of $z_{0}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{0}} f \cdot g=f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \cdot \frac{a_{-2}}{2}+f\left(z_{0}\right) \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{0}} g .
$$

Let us now compute the residue at $k \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}}$, i.e. $k=\rho_{0}$ or $k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}$ and there is an eigenfunction $\varphi_{j}$ with eigenvalue $-\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)$. If $\varphi_{j}$ is now in the principal series, then $\lambda_{j} \neq 0$ and $\int_{0}^{\infty} u^{l-2}\left(1+u^{2}\right)^{-\left(\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right)} d u=\frac{1}{2} B\left(i \lambda_{j}, \rho_{0}\right)$ is defined. The residue $\operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}} \mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ at $k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}$ then equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2^{\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}-2} \omega_{l-1}^{2} B\left(i \lambda_{j}, \rho_{0}\right) \sum_{r}\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{r}}\right\rangle I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right) \\
& \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}} B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the (finite) sum runs over all eigenfunctions $\varphi_{r}$ with eigenvalue $-\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)$.
Now the residue $\operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}} B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}} B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right) & =\operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{k+i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(k-\rho_{0}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\Gamma\left(i \lambda_{j}\right)}{\Gamma\left(i \lambda_{j}\right)} \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}} \Gamma\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Res}_{k=0} \Gamma(k) \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, the residue at $k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}$ in the principal series is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}} \mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right) & =2^{\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}-2} \sum_{r}\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{r}}\right\rangle \omega_{l-1}^{2} B\left(i \lambda_{j}, \rho_{0}\right) \cdot I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right) \\
& =2^{\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}-1} \omega_{l-1} I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right) \sum_{r}\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \widehat{\operatorname{PS}}_{\varphi_{r}}\right\rangle \\
& =:(\mathrm{I})
\end{aligned}
$$

by Remark 2.5.1 and Corollary 6.2.17, since $2^{-1} \omega_{l-1} B\left(i \lambda_{j}, \rho_{0}\right)=\mathcal{C}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)$ for $\lambda_{j}>0$.
If $\varphi_{j}$ is in the complementary series and $j<j_{0}$, then $\lambda_{j} \neq 0$ and $B\left(i \lambda_{j}, \rho_{0}\right)$ is defined. The residue $\operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}} \mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ at $k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2^{\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}-2} B\left(i \lambda_{j}, \rho_{0}\right) \omega_{l-1} \sum_{r} C_{n, r}\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{r}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \\
& \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}} B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As before $\operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}} B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)=1$ and hence the residue at $k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}, j<j_{0}$, is
$\operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}} \mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)=2^{\rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}-2} B\left(i \lambda_{j}, \rho_{0}\right) \omega_{l-1} \sum_{r} C_{n, r}\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{r}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}=:(\mathrm{II})$,
where we again sum over all eigenfunctions $\varphi_{r}$ with eigenvalue $-\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)$.
The same formula (II) is valid for $j=j_{0}$ and $\lambda_{j_{0}} \neq 0$. If $\lambda_{j_{0}}=0$, then

$$
B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)=\frac{\Gamma\left(k-\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right)}{\Gamma(k)}
$$

has a pole of order 1 at $k=\rho_{0}$, while

$$
B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j_{0}}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{j_{0}}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)=B\left(\frac{k-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)^{2}}{\Gamma\left(k-\rho_{0}\right)}
$$

has also a pole of order 1 at $k=\rho_{0}$. The product of both has thus a pole of order 2 at $k=\rho_{0}$ and the Laurent series around $k=\rho_{0}$ of the product starts with $\left(k-\rho_{0}\right)^{-2}$. Using Lemma 7.1.5(6) the residue $\operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} \mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ at $k=\lambda_{j_{0}}+\rho_{0}=\rho_{0}$ computes to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} \mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} 2^{k-2} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right) \omega_{\ell-1} \sum_{s} C_{n, s} B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{s}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{s}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{s}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \\
& +\operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} 2^{k-2} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right) \omega_{\ell-1} \sum_{r} C_{n, r} B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{r}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{r}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{r}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \\
& +2^{\rho_{0}-2} \omega_{\ell-1}^{2} \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right) \sum_{j=j_{0}+1}^{\infty} B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \\
& \cdot I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right) \\
= & \omega_{\ell-1} \sum_{s} C_{n, s} B\left(\frac{i \lambda_{s}}{2}, \frac{-i \lambda_{s}}{2}\right)\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{s}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} 2^{\rho_{0}-2} \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right) \\
& +\omega_{\ell-1} \sum_{r} C_{n, r}\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{r}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} 2^{k-2} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right) B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{j}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right) \\
& +\left(2^{\rho_{0}-2} \omega_{\ell-1}^{2} \sum_{j=j_{0}+1}^{\infty} B\left(\frac{i \lambda_{j}}{2}, \frac{-i \lambda_{j}}{2}\right)\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \cdot I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right)\right) \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sum_{s}$ runs over all eigenfunctions $\varphi_{s}$ with eigenvalue $\mu_{s}=-\left(\lambda_{s}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)>-\rho_{0}^{2}$ and $\sum_{s}$ runs over all eigenfunctions $\varphi_{r}$ with eigenvalue $\mu_{r}=-\left(\lambda_{r}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)=-\rho_{0}^{2}$. Then $B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)=\frac{\Gamma\left(k-\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right)}{\Gamma(k)}$ has a pole of order 1 at $k=\rho_{0}$, while

$$
B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j_{0}}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{j_{0}}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)=B\left(\frac{k-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)^{2}}{\Gamma\left(k-\rho_{0}\right)}
$$

has also a pole of order 1 at $k=\rho_{0}$. The product of both has thus a pole of order 2 at $k=\rho_{0}$ and the Laurent series around $k=\rho_{0}$ of of the product starts with $\left(k-\rho_{0}\right)^{-2}$. Using Lemma 7.1.5(6) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} 2^{k-2} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right) B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{r}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{r}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right) \\
= & \ln (2) 2^{\rho_{0}-3}+2^{\rho_{0}-2} \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right) B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{r}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{r}-\rho_{0}}{2}\right) \\
= & \ln (2) 2^{\rho_{0}-3}+2^{\rho_{0}-2} \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right) B\left(\frac{k-i \lambda_{j_{0}}-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k+i \lambda_{j_{0}-\rho_{0}}^{2}}{2}\right) \\
= & \ln (2) 2^{\rho_{0}-3}+2^{\rho_{0}-2} \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right) B\left(\frac{k-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right) B\left(\frac{k-\rho_{0}}{2}, \frac{k-\rho_{0}}{2}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)^{2}}{\Gamma\left(k-\rho_{0}\right)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(k-\rho_{0}\right) \Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right)}{\Gamma(k)}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right)} \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)^{2}}{\Gamma\left(k-\rho_{0}\right)} \cdot \Gamma\left(k-\rho_{0}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} \Gamma\left(\frac{k-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)^{2}=\operatorname{Res}_{k=0} \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{2}=2 \operatorname{Res}_{k=0} \Gamma(k)^{2} \\
= & \left.2 \frac{d}{d k}\right|_{k=0} \frac{k^{2}}{2} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)^{2}}{k^{2}}=2 \Gamma^{\prime}(1)=-2 C_{\gamma},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{\gamma}$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant, see [FB06, Kap.IV]. Also

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)=\operatorname{Res}_{k=0}=1 .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} \mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right) \\
= & \omega_{\ell-1} \sum_{s} C_{n, s} B\left(\frac{i \lambda_{s}}{2}, \frac{-i \lambda_{s}}{2}\right)\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{s}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} 2^{\rho_{0}-2} \\
& +\omega_{\ell-1} \sum_{r} C_{n, r}\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{r}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \cdot\left(\ln (2) 2^{\rho_{0}-3}-2^{\rho_{0}-1} C_{\gamma}\right) \\
& +2^{\rho_{0}-2} \omega_{\ell-1}^{2} \sum_{j=j_{0}+1}^{\infty} B\left(\frac{i \lambda_{j}}{2}, \frac{-i \lambda_{j}}{2}\right)\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \cdot I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right) \\
= & \omega_{\ell-1} \sum_{s} C_{n, s} B\left(\frac{i \lambda_{s}}{2}, \frac{-i \lambda_{s}}{2}\right)\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{s}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} 2^{\rho_{0}-2} \\
& +\omega_{\ell-1}\left(\ln (2) 2^{\rho_{0}-3}-2^{\rho_{0}-1} C_{\gamma}\right) \sum_{r} C_{n, r}\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{r}}\right\rangle_{\left.S X_{\Gamma}\right)} \\
& +2^{\rho_{0}-2} \omega_{\ell-1}^{2} \sum_{j=j_{0}+1}^{\infty} B\left(\frac{i \lambda_{j}}{2}, \frac{-i \lambda_{j}}{2}\right)\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \cdot I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right) \\
=: & (I I I) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, if $\lambda_{j_{0}} \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ has still a pole at $k=\rho_{0}$ coming from the term $B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)$. The residue $\operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} \mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} \mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right) \\
= & 2^{\rho_{0}-2} \omega_{\ell-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}} C_{n, j} B\left(\frac{i \lambda_{j}}{2}, \frac{-i \lambda_{j}}{2}\right)\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}\right. \\
& \left.+\omega_{\ell-1} \sum_{j=j_{0}+1}^{\infty} B\left(\frac{i \lambda_{j}}{2}, \frac{-i \lambda_{j}}{2}\right)\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right)\right) \operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right) \\
= & 2^{\rho_{0}-2} \omega_{\ell-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}} C_{n, j} B\left(\frac{i \lambda_{j}}{2}, \frac{-i \lambda_{j}}{2}\right)\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}\right. \\
& \left.+\omega_{\ell-1} \sum_{j=j_{0}+1}^{\infty} B\left(\frac{i \lambda_{j}}{2}, \frac{-i \lambda_{j}}{2}\right)\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda_{j}\right)\right) \\
= & (I V),
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}} B\left(k-\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)=1$.

### 7.2. The meromorphic continuation of $\mathcal{Z}(\varphi)$

In this short section we show how the meromorphic continuation of $\mathcal{Z}(k ; \varphi)$ is deduced from the one of $\mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$.

For the zeta function $\mathcal{Z}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ we note that the poles of $\mathcal{R}\left(k+2 m ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ are the $-2 m$-shifted poles of $\mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$. Now
$\mathcal{Z}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta\left(k-\rho_{0} ; m\right) \mathcal{R}\left(k+2 m ; \varphi_{n}\right)=\beta\left(k-\rho_{0} ; 0\right) \mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \beta\left(k-\rho_{0} ; m\right) \mathcal{R}\left(k+2 m ; \varphi_{n}\right)$,
see Theorem 5.5.5.
We also know from Lemma 5.5 .1 that $\beta\left(k-\rho_{0} ; m\right) \rightarrow 0$ for $m \rightarrow \infty$ and that $k \mapsto \beta\left(k-\rho_{0} ; m\right)$ is holomorphic for any $m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Furthermore,

$$
\mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} c\left(\varphi_{n}, \gamma, \pi, k\right)\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{-k+\rho_{0}}
$$

and by Lemma 5.5.3 we know that

$$
\sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} c\left(\varphi_{n}, \gamma, \pi, k\right)
$$

is absolutely bounded by

$$
C\left(\varphi_{n}\right) \cdot L_{\gamma} e^{-\rho_{0} L_{\gamma}}
$$

where $L_{\gamma}$ is the length of the closed geodesic [ $\left.\gamma\right]$ and

$$
C\left(\varphi_{n}\right):=\frac{\omega_{l-1} \max _{X_{\Gamma}}\left\{\left|\varphi_{n}\right|\right\}}{2} B\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)
$$

if $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$. It follows that for $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)\right| & \leq \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma}\left|\sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} c\left(\varphi_{n}, \gamma, \pi, k\right)\right|\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{-\operatorname{Re}(k)+\rho_{0}} \\
& \leq C\left(\varphi_{n}\right) \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} e^{-\rho_{0} L_{\gamma}} L_{\gamma}\left(\cosh L_{\gamma}\right)^{-\operatorname{Re}(k)+\rho_{0}} \\
& \leq C\left(\varphi_{n}\right)\left(\cosh L_{\mathrm{inf}}\right)^{-\operatorname{Re}(k)+\rho_{0}} \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} e^{-\rho_{0} L_{\gamma}} L_{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

for the constant $C\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ depending only on $\varphi_{n}$. Here $L_{\mathrm{inf}}=\sqrt{2(l-1)}^{-1} l_{\mathrm{inf}}$, where $l_{\text {inf }}>0$ is the infimum of the set $\left\{l_{\gamma}: 1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma\right\}$, see Proposition 2.2.4. Thus

$$
\left|\mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)\right| \leq C \cdot\left(\cosh L_{\mathrm{inf}}\right)^{-\operatorname{Re}(k)}
$$

for some constant $C>0$, since

$$
C\left(\varphi_{n}\right)\left(\cosh L_{\mathrm{inf}}\right)^{\rho_{0}} \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} e^{-\rho_{0} L_{\gamma}} L_{\gamma}
$$

is bounded.
As a consequence, $\mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)=O\left(e^{-\operatorname{Re}(k)}\right)$ for $\operatorname{Re}(k)>2 \rho_{0}$. In particular, $\mathcal{R}\left(k+2 m ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ decays like $e^{-\operatorname{Re}(k)+2 m}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, (7.5) converges for $k$ away from the poles and defines there a meromorphic continuation of the zeta function $\mathcal{Z}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$. Since in the strip

$$
\mathcal{S}=\rho_{0}-\frac{1}{2}<\operatorname{Re}(k)<\rho_{0}+\frac{1}{2}
$$

the only poles of $\mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ are at

$$
\left\{\rho_{0}, \rho_{0} \pm i \lambda_{j}:-\left(\lambda_{j}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right) \text { eigenvalue of the Laplacian }\right\} \cap \mathcal{S},
$$

only the $m=0$-term contributes to poles of $\mathcal{Z}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ in $\mathcal{S}$. The residues/poles in the strip $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{Z}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ are hence the same as the ones of $\mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ modulo $\beta\left(k-\rho_{0} ; 0\right)$ which equals $2^{\rho_{0}-k}$ by Lemma 5.5.1. More precisely, we have to distinguish again the 4 cases from (I) to (IV) and to note that

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{z=k} \mathcal{Z}\left(z ; \varphi_{n}\right)=\beta\left(k-\rho_{0} ; 0\right) \operatorname{Res}_{z=k} \mathcal{R}\left(z ; \varphi_{n}\right)=2^{\rho_{0}-k} \operatorname{Res}_{z=k} \mathcal{R}\left(z ; \varphi_{n}\right)
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\rho_{0}-\frac{1}{2}<\operatorname{Re}(k)<\rho_{0}+\frac{1}{2}$.

### 7.3. Summary

We collect the results of the previous sections:
ThEOREM 7.3.1. Let $\varphi_{n}$ be a non-constant automorphic eigenfunction. The zeta functions $\mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{Z}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ can be extended meromorphically to $\mathbb{C}$ by $(*)$ resp. (7.5). In the strip $\rho_{0}-\frac{1}{2}<\operatorname{Re}(k)<\rho_{0}+\frac{1}{2}$ the only possible poles are at $k \in\left\{\rho_{0}, \rho_{0} \pm i \lambda_{j}:-\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)\right.$ eigenvalue of the Laplacian $\}$. If the eigenvalue $-\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)$ lies in the principal series and $(I) \neq 0$, there is a pole of order 1 at $k=\rho_{0} \pm i \lambda_{j}$, the residue of $\mathcal{R}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ is then given by (I). If the eigenvalue comes from the complementary series, $\lambda_{j} \neq 0$ and $(I I) \neq 0$, then there is also a pole of order 1 at $k=\rho_{0} \pm i \lambda_{j}$ and residue (II). If $\lambda_{j}=0$, there is a pole of order 2 and residue determined by (III). If (I) or (II) vanishes, then $\mathcal{R}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ can be holomorphically extended to $k=\rho_{0} \pm i \lambda_{j}$. The residues of $\mathcal{Z}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ are the same modulo $\beta\left(k-\rho_{0} ; 0\right)=2^{\rho_{0}-k}$.

### 7.4. Normalization of $\mathcal{Z}(\varphi)$

In this chapter we have shown so far that $\mathcal{Z}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ is a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$. Let $k_{0}:=\rho_{0}+i \lambda$, where $-\left(\lambda^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)$ is an eigenvalue of the Laplacian from the principal series. Then $\mathcal{Z}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ has a simple pole at $k_{0}$ with residue given - up to a non-zero constant - by normalized Patterson-Sullivan distributions, see Equation $(I)$ in Section 7.1. This constant equals

$$
\omega_{l-1} I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda\right) .
$$

To be consistent with $[\mathbf{A Z 0 7}]$ we can divide $\mathcal{Z}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ by this constant, i.e. we consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \mapsto \frac{\mathcal{Z}\left(\varphi_{n}, k\right)}{\omega_{l-1} I\left(a, b, 2^{-1}, k\right)} . \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
k \mapsto \frac{1}{\omega_{l-1} I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, k\right)} \stackrel{\text { Lem. 6.2.20 }}{=} \frac{2 \Gamma(k)^{2}}{\omega_{l-1} \Gamma(k-a) \Gamma(k-b) \Gamma\left(\rho_{0}\right)}
$$

is a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ with poles exactly in $\{0,-1,-2, \ldots\}$. Hence (7.6) defines also a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$. In the strip $\rho_{0}-\frac{1}{2}<\operatorname{Re}(k)<\rho_{0}+\frac{1}{2}$ the poles of (7.6) equal the poles of $\mathcal{Z}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$. The residue at $k_{0}, \rho_{0}+\frac{1}{2}<\operatorname{Re}\left(k_{0}\right)<\rho_{0}-\frac{1}{2}$, is

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{k=k_{0}} \frac{\mathcal{Z}\left(\varphi_{n}, k\right)}{\omega_{l-1} I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, k\right)}=\frac{1}{\omega_{l-1} I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, k_{0}\right)} \operatorname{Res}_{k=k_{0}} \mathcal{Z}\left(\varphi_{n}, k\right)
$$

In particular, if $k_{0}=\rho_{0}+i \lambda$ comes from the principal series, we deduce from equation ( $I$ ) that

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{k=k_{0}} \frac{\mathcal{Z}\left(\varphi_{n}, k\right)}{\omega_{l-1} I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, k\right)}=2^{\rho_{0}-1} \sum_{r}\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \widehat{\mathrm{PS}}_{\varphi_{r}}\right\rangle
$$

where as before the finite sum runs over all eigenfunctions $\varphi_{r}$ with eigenvalue $-\left(\lambda^{2}+\right.$ $\left.\rho_{0}^{2}\right)$. We can of course also normalize $\mathcal{R}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ by the same constant $\left(\omega_{l-1} I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, k\right)\right)^{-1}$.

### 7.5. Comparison with the zeta function from [AZ07]

Let $G=S O_{o}(1,2)$. In this section we want to discuss a difference between the zeta function [AZ07, (1.9ii)] and our zeta function, see (5.32), in the case of a compact hyperbolic surface $X_{\Gamma}=\Gamma \backslash S O_{o}(1,2) / S O(2)$. In [AZ07, (1.9ii)] we find as a definition

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{1}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right):=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \frac{e^{-k L_{\gamma}}}{1-e^{-L_{\gamma}}}\left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \varphi_{n}\right)=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \frac{e^{-\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right) L_{\gamma}}}{2 \sinh \frac{L_{\gamma}}{2}}\left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \varphi_{n}\right)
$$

After normalizing our zeta function $\mathcal{Z}\left(k ; \varphi_{j}\right)=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} c\left(\varphi_{j}, \gamma, \mathbf{1}, k\right) e^{-(k-1 / 2) L_{\gamma}}$ from Proposition 5.6 .1 by $(4 I(a, b, 1 / 2, k))^{-1}$ the term $(2 I(a, b, 1 / 2, k))^{-1} c\left(\varphi_{j}, \gamma, \mathbf{1}, k\right)$ takes the form, see equation (5.38),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (4 I(a, b, 1 / 2, k))^{-1} \cdot c\left(\varphi_{j}, \gamma, \mathbf{1}, k\right) \\
\text { Lem. } 6.2 .20 & \frac{2 \Gamma(k)^{2}}{4 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma(k-a) \Gamma(k-b)} \cdot \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\cosh L_{\gamma}-1}} \sqrt{2}\left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \varphi_{n}\right) \\
& \cdot\left(\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-1}\right)^{k-1}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(k-a, k-b, k ; 1-\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-1}\right) \\
& \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma(k-a) \Gamma(k-b)}{\Gamma(k)^{2}} \\
=\quad & \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2 \sqrt{2} \sinh \frac{L_{\gamma}}{2}}\left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \varphi_{n}\right) \\
& \cdot\left(\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-1}\right)^{k-1}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(k-a, k-b, k ; 1-\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4 I(a, b, 1 / 2, k)} \mathcal{Z}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)= & \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \frac{e^{-\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right) L_{\gamma}}}{2 \sinh \frac{L_{\gamma}}{2}}\left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \varphi_{n}\right) \\
& \cdot\left(\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-1}\right)^{k-1}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(k-a, k-b, k ; 1-\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $a=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}+i r_{n}\right)$ and $b=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}-i r_{n}\right)$, if the eigenvalue of $\varphi_{n}$ is $-\frac{1}{4 \rho_{0}}\left(\rho_{0}^{2}+r_{n}^{2}\right)$. The difference $\mathcal{Z}_{1}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4 I(a, b, 1 / 2, k)} \mathcal{Z}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ thus equals on $\{k \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re}(k)>1\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right):= & \sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \frac{e^{-\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right) L_{\gamma}}}{2 \sinh \frac{L_{\gamma}}{2}}\left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \varphi_{n}\right) \\
& \cdot\left(1-\left(\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-1}\right)^{k-1}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(k-a, k-b, k ; 1-\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On $\{k \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re}(k)>1\}$, the function $\mathcal{D}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ is holomorphic as it is the difference of two holomorphic functions. Furthermore, it is also holomorphic on the half plane $\{k \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re}(k)>0\}$, because $\left(\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-1}\right)^{k-1}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(k-a, k-b, k ; 1-\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-1}\right)$ tends to 1 as $L_{\gamma} \rightarrow \infty$, the argument $1-\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-1}$ lies always in the interval $(-\infty, 1)$ and $k \mapsto{ }_{2} F_{1}(k-a, k-b, k ; x)$ is holomorphic on $\{k \in \mathbb{C}: k \neq 0,-1,-2, \ldots\}$ for fixed $x \in(-\infty, 1)$, see [Olv74, Th. 9.1]. It follows that the term

$$
\left(1-\left(\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-1}\right)^{k-1}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(k-a, k-b, k ; 1-\frac{\cosh L_{\gamma}}{\cosh L_{\gamma}-1}\right)\right)
$$

is bounded for all $L_{\gamma}$. Also $C \cdot \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{n}{1-e^{-n}} e^{-k n}$ is a summable upper bound to

$$
\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \frac{e^{-\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right) L_{\gamma}}}{2 \sinh \frac{L_{\gamma}}{2}}\left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \varphi_{n}\right)=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \frac{e^{-k L_{\gamma}}}{1-e^{-L_{\gamma}}}\left(\int_{c_{\gamma_{0}}} \varphi_{n}\right)
$$

for a suitable constant $C>0$.
Thus,

$$
\frac{1}{4 I(a, b, 1 / 2, k)} \mathcal{Z}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)+\mathcal{D}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)
$$

defines a meromorphic continuation of $\mathcal{Z}_{1}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$ to the half plane $\{k \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re}(k)>$ $0\}$ with the same poles and residues as $\frac{1}{4 I(a, b, 1 / 2, k)} \mathcal{Z}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$.

## CHAPTER 8

## The zeta function on the spherical spectrum

Let $G=S O_{o}(1, l)=A N K$ as before. Also let $\Gamma \subset G$ be a uniform lattice. We will use a decomposition of the right-regular representation $\pi_{R}$ on $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash G)$ in order to extend the definition to zeta function $\mathcal{Z}(\sigma)$, where $\sigma$ is more general than an automorphic eigenfunction of the Laplacian. More precisely, $\sigma$ will be an element of phase space $C^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash G / M), M=Z_{K}(A)$, which satisfies a certain finiteness conditions explained in Section 8.1. In Section 8.2 we indicate an approach for general $\sigma \in C^{\infty}\left(S X_{\Gamma}\right)$.

The case of $S O_{o}(1,2)$ is dealt with in $[\mathbf{A Z 0 7}]$ and we will basically omit this case as In this chapter we want to show how one can pass in Theorem 5.5.5 and 5.4.6, resp. Theorem 6.2 .18 and 7.3 .1 from automorphic eigenfunctions $\varphi_{n}$ to $\sigma \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash G / M)$ lying in the class 1 spectrum with only finitely many nontrivial components.

### 8.1. Extension to the spherical spectrum

To give a dynamical interpretation of Patterson-Sullivan distributions, one needs to pass from automorphic eigenfunctions $\varphi_{n}$ to arbitrary $\sigma \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash G / M)$. We make use of the decomposition of the (right-)regular representation $\pi_{R}$ of $G$ on $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash G)$. So let $G=S O_{o}(1, l), l>2$, and $\Gamma$ a uniform lattice. Since $\Gamma$ is assumed to be co-compact, the representation decomposes discretely into a direct sum of at most 2 different types, see [Wil91, Th. 2.7.],

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash G)=\bigoplus_{\text {spherical }} V \oplus \bigoplus_{\text {non-spherical }} W \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spherical part is called the spherical spectrum or also class 1 spectrum. Here we call a representation spherical, if it possesses a non-trivial $K$-invariant vector.

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash G)^{M}=L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash G / M)=\bigoplus_{\text {spherical }} V^{M} \oplus \bigoplus_{\text {non-spherical }} W^{M} \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this section we want to show how one can pass in Theorem 5.5.5 and 5.4.6, resp. Theorem 6.2 .18 and 7.3 .1 from automorphic eigenfunctions $\varphi_{n}$ to $\sigma \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash G / M)$ lying in the class 1 spectrum with only finitely many nontrivial components. That is,

$$
\sigma=\sum_{\text {finite }} \sigma_{n},
$$

where each $\sigma_{n}$ lies in some irreducible spherical (principal series) component $V$ and is right- $M$-invariant.

We show now that the subspace of $M$-invariant functions in each spherical principal series representation is generated by the spherical vector if we restrict the representation from $G$ to $A$.

Lemma 8.1.1. Let $G=S O_{o}(1, l), l>2$, and $V$ be an irreducible spherical representation with spherical vector $\varphi$. Then $A$ acts on $V^{M}$ with cyclic vector $\varphi$, i.e. $U(\mathfrak{a}) \varphi$ is dense in $V^{M}$. If $G=S O_{o}(1,2)$, then

$$
U(\mathfrak{a}) \varphi \oplus U(\mathfrak{a}) X \varphi
$$

is dense in $V^{M}=V$, where $\mathfrak{n}=\mathbb{R} X$.
Proof. Since $V$ is assumed to be irreducible we have (up to completion)

$$
V^{M}=(U(\mathfrak{g}) \varphi)^{M}=U(\mathfrak{g})^{M} \varphi
$$

since we can average over the compact group $M$, i.e. for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ we can define the $M$-average $\tilde{X}$ by

$$
\tilde{X} f(g):=\left.\int_{M} \frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} f(g \exp \operatorname{Ad}(m) t X) d m
$$

Then $\tilde{X} f$ is $M$-invariant, if $f$ is. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(\mathfrak{g})^{M} \varphi & =U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n})^{M} \varphi \\
& =U(\mathfrak{a}) U(\mathfrak{n})^{M} \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $l>2, M$ is not trivial and it follows that $U(\mathfrak{n})^{M}$ is generated by the Laplacian $\sum_{i} X_{i}^{2}$ on $\mathfrak{n}$, see [Hel01, Prop. 4.11.]. Further, we know by Chapter 2.1

$$
\sum_{i} X_{i}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-\Omega-H^{2}+2 H_{\rho}\right) \bmod \mathfrak{k} U(\mathfrak{g})
$$

Because the space of $K$-invariant elements in $V$ is one dimensional and because $\Omega \varphi$ is also $K$-invariant, we deduce that $\varphi$ is a Casimir eigenfunction, let us assume $\Omega \varphi=\mu \varphi$. Hence, the effect of applying $\sum_{i} X_{i}^{2}$ to $\varphi$ can be expressed by elements in $U(\mathfrak{a})$, i.e.

$$
\left(\sum_{i} X_{i}^{2}\right) \varphi=\frac{1}{2}\left(-\mu-H^{2}+2 H_{\rho}\right) \varphi
$$

where $\mu$ is the eigenvalue of $\varphi$.
If $l=2$, i.e. $M$ is trivial, we just note that $U(\mathfrak{n})^{M}=U(\mathfrak{n})$ is generated by $X$, since $\mathfrak{n}=\mathbb{R} X$.

If we assume $l>2$ and $V$ is some irreducible representation in the class 1 spectrum in (8.1), the lemma shows that restricting the irreducible $G$-representation $\pi_{R}$ on $V$ from $G$ to $A$ yields an $A$-representation on $V^{M}$ with cyclic vector $\varphi$, if $\varphi$ is the (normalized) $K$-fixed vector in $V$. It follows that the induced representation of $L^{1}(A)$ on $V^{M}$ is also cyclic with cyclic vector $\varphi,[\operatorname{Dix} 77,13.3 .5]$.

Thus, if $\sigma_{n}$ is an $M$-invariant element of the irreducible spherical component $V$ with (normalized) $K$-fixed vector $\varphi_{n}$, then there is some $\alpha_{n} \in L^{1}(A)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}=\int_{A} \alpha_{n}(a) \pi_{R}(a) \varphi_{n} d a \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By invariance under the geodesic flow for any $a \in A$ and any $\operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}$

$$
\left\langle\pi_{R}(a) \varphi_{n}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}=\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}
$$

Hence, as $\mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}$ is a continuous functional on $C^{\infty}\left(S X_{\Gamma}\right)$, see Remark 6.2.5, and as $S X_{\Gamma}$ is compact

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\sigma_{n}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} & =\left\langle\int_{A} \alpha_{n} \pi_{R}(a) \varphi_{n} d a, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \\
& =\int_{A} \alpha_{n}(a)\left\langle\pi_{R}(a) \varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} d a  \tag{8.4}\\
& =\int_{A} \alpha_{n}(a)\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} d a \\
& =\int_{A} \alpha_{n}(a) d a \cdot\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \operatorname{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}
\end{align*}
$$

That is for any $\mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}$, the value $\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}$ essentially determines $\mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}$ on the whole $M$-invariant part $V^{M}$ of the irreducible spherical component $V$ associated with $\varphi_{n}$. We also remark that (8.4) remains true if we replace $\mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}$ by $\widehat{\mathrm{PS}}_{\varphi_{j}}$.

For $G=S O_{o}(1,2)$ see [AZ07, Th. 9.6.]. Here, for spherical irreducible components $V$ of $L^{2}\left(\Gamma \backslash S O_{o}(1,2)\right)$ one basically needs knowledge of $\mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}$ on $\varphi_{n}$ and $X \varphi_{n}$, if $\varphi_{n}$ is the (normalized) spherical vector of $V$. If $V$ is not spherical, in other words, if $V$ is a discrete series representation, then $\mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}$ on $V$ is determined by its value on the lowest weight vector.

Going back to $G=S O_{o}(1, l), l>2$, we define for $\sigma=\sum_{n}^{\text {finite }} \sigma_{n}=\sum_{n}^{\text {finite }} \int_{A} \alpha_{n}(a) \pi_{R}(a) \varphi_{n} d a$, see (8.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}(\sigma):=\sum_{n}^{\text {finite }} \int_{A} \alpha_{n}(a) d a \cdot \mathcal{Z}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)=\sum_{1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{M}} c(\gamma, \sigma, \pi, k) e^{-\left(k-\rho_{0}\right) \log a_{\gamma}} \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\varphi_{n}$ is the normalized $K$-fixed vector of the component of $\sigma_{n}$ in $L^{2}(\Gamma \backslash G)$, see (8.1). Here

$$
c(\gamma, \sigma, \pi, k):=\sum_{n}^{\text {finite }} \int_{A} \alpha_{n}(a) d a \cdot c\left(\gamma, \varphi_{n}, \pi, k\right)
$$

Now the analogue of Theorem 7.3.1 holds.
Proposition 8.1.2. Let $\sigma$ be a function in $C^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash G / M)$ with only finitely many nontrivial components in the spherical spectrum (8.1) and no component in the non spherical spectrum. The zeta function $\mathcal{Z}(\sigma)$ defined by (8.5) is a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$. In the strip $\mathcal{S}=\left\{k \in \mathbb{C}: \rho_{0}-\frac{1}{2}<\operatorname{Re}(k)<\rho_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\right\}$, the poles are at

$$
\left\{\rho_{0}, \rho_{0} \pm i \lambda:-\left(\rho_{0}+\lambda^{2}\right) \text { eigenvalue of the Laplacian }\right\} \cap \mathcal{S}
$$

If $-\left(\lambda^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)$ is an eigenvalue of the Laplacian from the principal series, the residue at $k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda$ is up to the non-zero constant $\omega_{l-1} I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\text {finite }}\left\langle\sigma, \widehat{P S}_{\varphi}\right\rangle, \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where this finite sum ranges over all normalized Patterson-Sullivan distributions $\widehat{P S}_{\varphi}$ associated to Laplace eigenfunctions with eigenvalue $-\left(\lambda^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)$.

Proof. The meromorphic continuation of $\mathcal{Z}(\sigma)$ follows directly from Theorem 7.3.1 and the fact that $\sigma$ has only finitely many nontrivial components. In order to compute the residue at $k=\rho+i \lambda \in \mathcal{S}$ we recall that we can assume that there are $\alpha_{n} \in L^{1}(A)$ such that

$$
\sigma=\sum_{n}^{\text {finite }} \sigma_{n}=\sum_{n}^{\text {finite }} \int_{A} \alpha_{n}(a) \pi_{R}(a) \varphi_{n} d a
$$

see (8.3). This implies, see (8.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\sigma, \widehat{\mathrm{PS}}_{\varphi},\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}}=\sum_{n}^{\text {finite }} \int_{A} \alpha_{n}(a) d a\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \widehat{\mathrm{PS}}_{\varphi}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the residue at $k_{0} \in \mathcal{S}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{k=k_{0}} \mathcal{Z}(k ; \sigma)=\sum_{n}^{\text {finite }} \int_{A} \alpha_{n}(a) d a \operatorname{Res}_{k=k_{0}} \mathcal{Z}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)
$$

In particular, if $k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda \in \mathcal{S},-\left(\lambda^{2}+\rho_{0}^{2}\right)$ an eigenvalue from the principal series, it follows from Theorem 7.3.1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}_{k=\rho_{0}+i \lambda} \mathcal{Z}(k ; \sigma) & =\sum_{n}^{\text {finite }} \int_{A} \alpha_{n}(a) d a \omega_{l-1} I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda\right) \sum_{r: \lambda_{r}^{2}=\lambda^{2}}^{\text {finite }}\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \widehat{\operatorname{PS}}_{\varphi_{r}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \\
& =\omega_{l-1} I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda\right) \sum_{r: \lambda_{r}^{2}=\lambda^{2}}^{\text {finite }} \sum_{n}^{\text {finite }} \int_{A} \alpha_{n}(a) d a\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \widehat{\operatorname{PS}}_{\varphi_{r}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} \\
& \stackrel{(8.7)}{=} \omega_{l-1} I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, \rho_{0}+i \lambda\right) \sum_{r: \lambda_{r}^{2}=\lambda^{2}}^{\text {finite }}\left\langle\sigma, \widehat{\mathrm{PS}}_{\varphi_{r}}\right\rangle_{S X_{\Gamma}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the general case of a $K$-finite Casimir eigenfunction of type $\delta, \delta \in \widehat{K}$ we just state the following.

Lemma 8.1.3. Let $l>2$ and $V$ be any irreducible representation of $G$ with $K$ finite $\Omega$-eigenfunction $v$ of type $\delta,\left(\delta, V_{\delta}\right) \in \widehat{K}$, i.e. $\operatorname{span}\{K \cdot v\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d_{\delta}}\right\} \cong$ $V_{\delta}$ and $\Omega v=\mu v$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{d_{\delta}} U(\mathfrak{a}) v_{i}$ contains $V^{M}$.

Proof. We use the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$. Then by irreducibility $V=U(\mathfrak{g}) v=S(\mathfrak{p}) U(\mathfrak{k}) v$, where $S(\mathfrak{p})$ is the symmetric algebra of $\mathfrak{p}$. Since $v$ is $K$-finite of type $\delta$, we have that $U(\mathfrak{k}) v$ is contained in $\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d_{\delta}}\right\}$, where the $v_{i}$ are $K$-translates which are $K$-finite of type $\delta$ and $\Omega$-eigenfunctions, $\Omega v_{i}=\mu_{i} v_{i}$, since $\Omega$ lies in the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Thus,

$$
V^{M} \subset \bigoplus_{i} S(\mathfrak{p})^{M} v_{i}
$$

But $S(\mathfrak{p})^{M}=U(\mathfrak{a}) S(\mathfrak{p})^{K}$, as $l>2$, where $U(\mathfrak{p})^{K}$ is generated by $\sum_{j} T_{j}^{2}, T_{j}$ forming an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{p}$. Now $\Omega$ can be expressed as $\Omega=\sum_{j} T_{j}^{2}-\sum_{l} W_{t}^{2}$, where the $W_{t}$ form an orthonormal base of $\mathfrak{k}$. Hence for any $i$,

$$
\sum_{j} T_{j}^{2} v_{i}=\Omega v_{i}+\sum_{l} W_{t}^{2} v_{i}=\mu_{i} v_{i}+\sum_{l} W_{t}^{2} v_{i} \in \operatorname{span}\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d_{\delta}}\right\} .
$$

It follows that

$$
V^{M} \subset \bigoplus_{i} U(\mathfrak{a}) v_{i}
$$

### 8.2. Outlook

It remains an open problem what are good choices for $\sigma$ coming from the $M$ invariant part $V^{M}$ of a non-spherical representation $V$ in the decomposition (8.2), if $G=S O_{o}(1, l)$ with $l>2$. Lemma 8.1.3 shows that if $V$ has a $K$-finite $\Omega$ eigenfunction $v$ then $\mathrm{PS}_{\varphi_{j}}$ is basically determined by its value on finitely many $K$-translates $v_{i}$ of $v$. Thus, one would like to associate a zeta function $\mathcal{Z}\left(v_{i}\right)$ to
$v_{i}$ as one did in the case of an automorphic eigenfunction $\varphi$. Here for example the problem occurs how to associate an operator with $v_{i}$ which maps $L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)$ into itself and how to compute its trace. For $G=S O_{o}(1,2),[\mathbf{A Z 0 7}]$ gives a solution to these problems, at least if $\sigma$ has only finitely many nontrivial components in the decomposition (8.1).

An approach would be to consider instead of $\sigma \in C^{\infty}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)$ and $f_{k} \in C^{\infty}(G / / K)$ sections of vector bundles $\Sigma \in C^{\infty}\left(X_{\Gamma} \times_{K} V_{\delta}\right)$ and $F_{k} \in C^{\infty}\left(X \times_{K} V_{\delta^{*}}\right)$ for $K$-types $\delta, k \in \mathbb{C}$, so that $\Sigma \cdot \pi_{R}\left(F_{k}\right)$ maps $L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)$ into itself and is an operator of trace class on $L^{2}\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)$.
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## Index

$\mathfrak{a}^{k}, \mathfrak{a}$ conjugated by $k \in K, 17$
$\mathfrak{n}^{k}, \mathfrak{n}$ conjugated by $k \in K, 17$
() ${ }^{\pi}, \pi$-projection, 60
() $)^{\pi}, \pi$-projection, 59
$\left(m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}\right)_{1,1}$, first entry in first row of $m_{\gamma}^{m^{-1}}, 66$
$0_{l-1}$, null matrix, 24
$A: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$, projection, 27
$A$, abelian part of Iwasawa dec. of $S O_{o}(1, l), 24$
$A$, abelian subgroup of $G, 17$
$B$, boundary of $X, 83$
$B(\cdot, \cdot)$, Killing form, 17
$B\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$, unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{l}, 25$
$B(x, y)$, beta function, 29
$B=K / M, 27$
$B^{(2)}, B^{(2)}=(B \times B)-\Delta(B), 85$
$B_{1}(0)$, unit ball, 29
$B_{\theta}(\cdot, \cdot)$, inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$ induced by $B$ and $\theta, 17$
$C(\varphi)$, constant depending on $\varphi, 71$
$C \Gamma$, conjugacy classes in $\Gamma, 21$
$C^{\infty}\left(G, \times_{M} V_{\pi}\right)$, space of sections, 60
$C^{\infty}(X)_{\pi}$, space of $M$-finite fucntions of type $\pi, 47$
$C^{\infty}\left(X \times_{M} \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\pi}\right)\right), 59$
$C^{\infty}\left(X \times_{M} \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\pi}\right)\right)$, space of sections, 43
$C_{c}^{\infty}(G / / K)$, space of bi- $K$-invariant functions of compact support, 55
$C_{k, j}$, constant associated to eigenfunctions $\varphi_{k}$ and $\varphi_{j}, 94$
$\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$, restricted root system of $\mathfrak{g}, 18$
$\Delta_{0}$, element of $U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{k}), 36$
$\Delta$, Laplacian on $G / K, 19$
$F_{\Gamma}$, fundamental domain for $\Gamma, 57$
$F_{\gamma}$, fundamental domain for $\langle\gamma\rangle, 57$
$F_{f}$, Abel transform of $f, 66$
$F_{\gamma_{0}}$, fundamental domain for $\Gamma_{\gamma}, 57$
$F_{a_{t} m}$, fundamental domain for $\left\langle a_{t} m\right\rangle$, 58
$G_{t}$, geodesic flow, 22
$\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}$, map from $\lambda\left(S\left(\mathfrak{z m}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp \mathfrak{m}}\right)\right) \otimes U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{k})$ to $U(\mathfrak{g}), 35$
$H: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$, projection, 27
$H: S O_{o}(1, l) \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$, Iwasawa projection, 26 $H^{1}, \ldots, H^{n}$, dual basis to $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{n}, 18$ $H_{1}$, unit vector in $\mathfrak{a}^{+}, 23$
$H_{\alpha}$, defining vector for root $\alpha$ in $\mathfrak{a}^{+}, 19$
$H_{\gamma}$, centralizer of $\delta_{\gamma}$ in $G, 22$
$H_{\rho}$, defining vector for $\rho$ in $\mathfrak{a}^{+}, 19$
$H_{i}$, part of ONB in $\mathfrak{a}, 18$
$I\left(a, b, \rho_{0}, k\right)$, normalizing constant, 93
$I_{\gamma}(\sigma)$, weight function, 58
$I_{l-1}$, identity matrix, 24
$J$, matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{l+1}$ defining $\theta, 24$
$K$, max. compact in $G, 17$
$K$, max. compact in $S O_{o}(1, l), 24$
$K_{\sigma}(x, g)$, intgeral kernel of $\sigma \cdot \pi_{R}(f), 56$
$L_{\gamma}$, lenght of $[\gamma], 66$
$L_{\lambda}$, intertwiner, 86
$L_{\mathrm{inf}}, 106$
$L_{\mathrm{inf}}$, infimum of length spectrum, 66
$M$, centralizer of $A$ in $K, 17$
$M$-finite of type $\pi, 47$
$M^{1}, \ldots, M^{k}$, dual basis to $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{k}, 18$
$M_{i}$, part of ONB in $\mathfrak{m}, 18$
$N$, nilpotent part of Iwasawa dec. of $S O_{o}(1, l), 24$
$N$, nilpotent subgroup of $G, 17$
$\Omega$, Casimir operator, 18
$S(\mathfrak{g})$, symmetric algebra of $\mathfrak{g}, 34$
$S O_{o}(1, l)$, identity component of $S O(1, l)$, 24
$S_{m}$, slice in $N, 68$
$T_{\varphi}$, boundary value, 83
$U(\mathfrak{g})$, universal enveloping of $\mathfrak{g}, 18$
$V^{M}, M$-invariant vectors in $V, 109$
$V_{\pi}$, representation space of $\pi, 43$
$W$, Weyl group, 20
$W_{i}, W_{i}=X_{i}+\theta X_{i}, 19$
$W_{n}(\sigma), 11$
$X$, (real hyperbolic) symmetric space, 23
$X^{1}, \ldots, X^{m}$, dual basis to $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, 18$
$X_{1}$, unit vector in $\mathfrak{n}, 32$
$X_{\Gamma}, 12,20$
$X_{\Gamma}, X_{\Gamma}=\Gamma \backslash X, 11$
$X_{i}$, part of ONB in $\mathfrak{n}, 18$
$X_{u}$, element of $\mathfrak{n}, 25$
$X_{e_{1}}$, element of $\mathfrak{n}$ to unit vector $e_{1}, 25$
$Y_{j}, 40$
$Y_{j}, Y_{j}=m \cdot L_{j}, 40$
$Z(\mathfrak{g})$, center of $U(\mathfrak{g}), 18$
$Z^{1}, \ldots, Z^{m}$, dual basis to $Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{m}, 18$ $Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}}, Z^{\exp -X^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Ad}\left(-X^{\prime}\right) Z, 33$ $Z_{M}(S)$, centralizer of $S$ in $M, 44$
$Z_{S}, 12$
$Z_{Y}$, element of $\mathfrak{z m}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp \mathfrak{m}}, 33$
$Z_{i}, Z_{i}=-\theta X_{i}, 18$
[ $\gamma$ ], 11
[ $x, y$ ], quadratic form on $\mathbb{R}^{l+1}, 24$
$\Gamma_{\gamma}$, centralizer of $\gamma$ in $G, 21$
$\chi_{\pi}$, character of $\pi, 47$
$\hat{f}$, euclidean Fourier transform, 28
$\langle.,$.$\rangle , inner product, 25$
$\log a$, element of $\mathfrak{a}, 27$
$\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$, constant depending on $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}, 94$
$\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(B)$, distributions on $B, 83$
$\mathcal{D}\left(k ; \varphi_{n}\right)$, holomorphic function on
$\{\operatorname{Re}(k)>0\}, 108$
$\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(X)$, dual of $C^{\infty}(X), 84$
$\mathcal{F}$, algebra of functions, 36
$\mathcal{F}^{+}$, subset of $\mathcal{F}, 36$
$\mathcal{P}$, set of poles, 100
$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}}$, intersection of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{S}, 102$
$\mathcal{S}$, strip in $\mathbb{C}, 102$
$\mathcal{S}(f, \lambda)$, spherical transform, 28
$\mathcal{Z}(\sigma)$, zeta function ass. with $\sigma, 111$
$\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(X)$, distributions on $X, 84$
$\mathcal{F}$, Fourier transform, 27
$\mathcal{O}_{\gamma}(f)$, (weighted) orbital integral, 58
$\mathcal{R}(k)=\mathcal{R}(k ; 1)$, auxiliary zeta function to $\varphi \equiv 1,80$
$\mathcal{R}(k ; \varphi), \mathcal{R}(\varphi)$, auxiliary zeta function, 68
$\mathcal{Z}(k)=\mathcal{Z}(k ; 1)$, zeta function to $\varphi \equiv 1,80$
$\mathcal{Z}(k ; \varphi), \mathcal{Z}(\varphi)$, zeta function, 71
$\mathfrak{a}^{+}$, positive Weyl chamber, 49
$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$, complex dual of $\mathfrak{a}, 83$
$\mathfrak{p}$, part of Cartan dec. of $\mathfrak{g}, 17$
$\mathfrak{a}$, Lie algebra of $A, 17$
$\mathfrak{k}$, Lie algebra of $K, 17$
$\mathfrak{m}, 17$
$\mathfrak{n}$, Lie algebra of $N, 17$
$\mathfrak{s}$, section in $\mathfrak{n}, 32$
$\mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$, regular elements in $\mathfrak{s}, 32$
$\mathfrak{z m}(\mathfrak{s})$, Lie algebra of $Z_{M}(\mathfrak{s}), 32$
$\mathfrak{z} \mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{s})^{\perp \mathfrak{m}}$, orthognal complement to $\mathfrak{z m}(\mathfrak{s})$, 32
$\mathrm{Op}(a), 11$
$\mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}, 87$
$\mathrm{PS}_{\varphi}$, Patterson-Sullivan distributions, 86
$\bar{N}, \bar{N}=\theta N, 28$
$\stackrel{\circ}{\perp}_{\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}, a \exp X^{\prime}}$, inverse of $\Gamma_{a \exp X^{\prime}}, 36$
$\widehat{\mathrm{PS}}_{\varphi}, 94$
$a=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}+i r\right), 50$
$a_{\gamma}$, element of $A^{+}, 66$
$a_{t}$, element of $A, 24$
$a_{\mathfrak{k}}$, element of $A_{\mathfrak{k}}, 21$
$a_{\mathfrak{p}}$, element in $A, 21$
$\alpha$, positive root, 24
$\alpha_{n}$, coefficient function in $L^{1}(A), 110$
$\alpha_{\gamma}, \alpha_{\gamma} \gamma \alpha_{\gamma}^{-1}=a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma}, 58$
$\alpha_{\gamma}$, element in $G$ conjugating $\gamma$ into $\delta_{\gamma}, 22$
$b=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{0}-i r\right), 50$
$\beta(k ; m)$, coefficient, 70
$c(\lambda)$, Harish-Chandra's $c$-function, 28
$c(\varphi, \gamma, \pi, k)$, coefficient in zeta function
$\mathcal{Z}(\varphi), 68$
$c\left(a_{\gamma} m_{\gamma}\right)$, coefficient, 81
$c=\frac{l-1}{2}=\rho_{0}, 49$
$c_{\gamma_{0}}$, prime, closed geodesic to $\gamma, 23$
$\chi$, cut-off, 86
$\chi_{\delta}$, character of $\delta, 59$
$d=\frac{k_{4}}{k_{1}} \sum_{j} \pi\left(Z_{Y_{j}}^{2}\right), V_{\pi}^{Z_{M}(S)} 49$
$d \dot{x}_{\gamma}$, Haar measure on $\Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\gamma}, 21$
$d_{\delta}$, dimension of $\delta, 59$
$d_{\lambda}(g M A)$, intermediate value, 86
$d_{\pi}, 59$
$d_{\pi}$, dimension of $V_{\pi}, 47$
$d a$, Haar measure on $A, 55$
$\delta(D), 39$
$\delta(D)$, radial part, 34
$\delta_{\gamma}, \delta_{\gamma}=m_{\gamma} a_{\gamma} \in M A^{+}, 21$
$d g$, Haar measure on $G, 55$
$d k$, Haar measure on $K, 55$
$d n$, Haar measure on $N, 55$
$d x_{\gamma}$, Haar measure on $G_{\gamma}, 21$
$e$, neutral element, 64
$f^{\pi}, \pi$-projection of $f, 59$
$f_{k}$, bi- $K$-invariant function, 28,65
$\varphi_{\lambda}$, spherical function to $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{+}, 27$
$\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi}(x)=\phi\left(x^{-1}\right), 56$
$\gamma_{0}$, primitive element to $\gamma, 21$
$h(m a)$, diffeomorphism on $N, 76$
$j_{0}$, index seperating principal and complementary series, 83
$l_{g}$, left-translation with $g, 62$
$l_{\text {inf }}$, infimum of $\left\{l_{\gamma}: 1 \neq[\gamma] \in C \Gamma\right\}, 22$
$\lambda: S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$, symmetrizer, 34
$n_{\gamma}, \gamma=\gamma_{0}^{n_{\gamma}}, 57$
$n_{u}$, element of $N, 24$
$o, o=K, 43$
$\omega_{l-1}$, volume of unit ball, 29
$p, p=\frac{1-c}{2}+\sqrt{\left(\frac{1-\rho_{0}}{2}\right)^{2}-d}, 54$
$p_{1,2}$, roots of indicial equation, 51
$\varphi * f$, convolution, 56
$\pi$-projection, 59, 60
$\pi(Z)$, derived operator from $\pi(\exp Z), 43$
$\pi_{1}$, projection from $U(\mathfrak{g})$ to $U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}) U(\mathfrak{k})$, 34
$\pi_{2}$, projection from $U(\mathfrak{g})$ to $U(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}), 35$
$\pi_{M}$, projection $C(G) \rightarrow C(G / M), 88$
$\pi_{R}$, right-regular representation of $G, 55$
$\pi_{R}(f)$, Fourier transform w.r.t. $\pi_{R}, 56$
$\check{\pi}$, contragradient representation of $\pi, 47$
$r, \mu=-\frac{1}{4}\left(\rho_{0}+\frac{r^{2}}{\rho_{0}}\right), 62$
$r_{g}$, right-translation with $g, 62$
$\rho, \rho=\frac{l-1}{2} \alpha, 24$
$\rho$, half-sum of positive roots times
dimension, 19
$\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}=\rho\left(H_{0}\right), 24$
$\theta$, Cartan involution, 17
w, 26
$w$, nontrivial weyl element, 20
${ }^{n}, f^{n}=f \circ r_{n}, 88$
(elementary) spherical, 27
admissible, 56
automorphic eigenfunction, 62
auxiliary zeta function, 68
Beta function, 29
boundary value, 83
Cartan decomposition, 17
Cartan involution, 17
Casimir operator, 18
class 1 spectrum, 109
closed geodesic, 21
complementary series, 83
elliptic, 21
Fourier transform, 27
Frobenius method, 52
fundamental domain, 57
geodesic flow, 22
geodesic loop, 21
Harish-Chandra's c-function, 28
horocycle bracket, 27
hyperbolic, 21
infimum of the length spectrum, 66
intermediate value, 86
intertwining operators, 86
Iwasawa decomposition, 17
Iwasawa projection, 26
Killing form, 17
Laplace operator, 19
length of a closed geodesic, 66
length spectrum, 66
normalized Patterson-Sullivan distribution, 94
orbital integral, 58
Patterson-Sullivan distributions, 86
polar coordinate form of $\Omega, 44$
polar coordinates, 29, 67
positive Weyl chamber, 49
prime geodesic, 21
primitive, 21
principal series, 83
radial part, 39
Radon transform, 85
regular element, 32
root space decomposition, 17
section, 31
slice, 31
smooth fundamental domain cut-off, 86
spectral trace, 85
spherical function, 27
spherical representation, 109
spherical spectrum, 109
spherical transform, 28
uniform lattice, 20
universal enveloping algebra, 18
weight function, 58

Weyl group, 20
Wigner distribution, 85
zeta function, 70


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In $[\mathbf{A Z O 7}]$ this weight function is called orbital integral but this seems to not quite compatible with the terminology of the classical Selberg trace formula.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note that $B(\cdot, \theta \cdot)=-B(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{a}$ while $B(\cdot, \theta \cdot)=B(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{m}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ In all other cases, we call $x$ parabolic. Since $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact, $\Gamma$ does not contain any parabolic elements.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ More precisely, $\gamma_{V}(t)=g \exp (t X) K$ for $V=d(x K \mapsto g x K)_{o} X \in T_{g}(G / K)$, see [Hil05, p.14].

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Lemma would still be true if the dimension of $(\mathfrak{m} \cdot X)^{\perp} \mathfrak{n}$ is two. In particular for any semisimple $\mathfrak{g}$ of real rank one, $M$ acts polarly on $\mathfrak{n}$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Later in Section 5.3 we will see that this is equivalent to the existence of certain $p_{i} \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{N}_{0}$ such that $\left(X_{i}^{2 p_{i}} f\right)(e)=0$ for all $i$, where $S_{i}=\exp \mathbb{R}^{+} X_{i}, X_{i}$ of unit length.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the integral definition of Harish-Chandra's $c$-function one normally requires $\int_{\theta N} \exp (-2 \rho(H(\theta n))) d \theta n=1$, see [Hel01, Ch. IV Th. 6.14]. We discuss this in Remark 6.2.16.

